RUSSIA'S LEGAL APPROACH TO INDIGENOUS PEOPLES' RIGHTS:

INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS & RUSSIAN CONTEXT

Louise de Brisson

Supervisor: Prof. Lauri Mälksoo

European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation Tartu University

ABSTRACT

Indigenous peoples themselves were primordial actors in the elaboration of universal instruments (United Nation Declaration on Indigenous Peoples Rights) and mechanisms (the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues). Using the tools of anthropology helps understand the indigenous international movement as a recognition of a global indigenous identity, and reconsider indigenous peoples' relation to law as a part of a political process to achieve recognition.

In the Russian Federation, indigenous laws shaped Russian indigeneity rather than the opposite. Although indigenous peoples influenced the policies addressed to them, there is still a dead-end in implementation. First, Russian indigeneity is factually limited to one organisation, and unable to step out of the definition and habitus dictated by the legal instruments. The tradition of advocacy is mainly of a political nature, with a good relation to power, but the legal tradition is still hesitant. Then, implementation is impaired by low Human Rights standards regarding individual rights. Indigenous peoples do not represent for Russia a threat as a group, and one could expect Russian Federation to be more open to collective rights, since the critique of Human Rights Regime in Russia is often based on individualism. However, the fact that Human Rights provided the nest for the thrive of indigenous rights strengthened their anchorage in human dignity and individual freedom.

Application of international standards would require not only a better record in human rights, but also a better integration of indigenous peoples of Russia in global indigeneity.

Thanks	to:
1 manns	$\iota o.$

Professor Lauri Mälksoo, for accepting to guide an anthropologist in the legal discipline, as well as as for profitable conditions of study;

My E.MA colleagues, especially my flatmates, for encouragement, laughter, and inspirational small talks over tea;

My friends for challenging the distance, and remaining as close as ever;

My family, my cheerful nephews & nieces and my heartening and patient parents, brother and sisters.

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

HRC Human Rights Committee

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ILO International Labour Organisation

NCA National Cultural Autonomy

NGO Non Governmental Organisation

PFII United Nations' Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues

RAIPON Russian Association of Indigenous Peoples Of the North

АКМНСС и ДВ РФ: Ассоциации Коренных Малочисленных Народов

Севера, Сибири и Дальнего Востока Российской Федерации

RAS Russian Academy of Science

TTNU Territory of Traditional Natural Land Use

ТТП: Территории Традиционного Природопользования

UNDRIP United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

UN United Nations

WGIP United Nations' Working Group on Indigenous Peoples

TABLE OF CONTENT

INTRODUCTION	4
1 Three points of view on indigenous rights	9
1.1 The State, demiurge of international law.	
1.1.1 the legal source for rights over a territory	. 10
1.1.2 Physical resistance & state's political identities.	
1.2 Indigenous Peoples' and law: the birth of 'indigeneity'.	
1.2.1 Theories of ethnicity	
1.2.2 Indigeneity under different lights	. 15
Indigeneity in anthropology	15
Indigeneity in geography and history	.16
1.2.3 Indigenous conquest of international law	
1.3 Human rights point of view	.18
1.3.1 Overview of the instruments	20
1.3.1.1 Specific instruments	20
1.3.1.2 Minority rights	21
1.3.2 Do collective rights exist under human rights law?	.22
1.3.2.1 The development of self-determination as a human right	.22
1.3.2.2 Deriving collective rights from individual rights	.25
1.3.3 The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous peoples.	
1.3.3.1 Drafting and sensitive questions	27
1.3.3.2 Towards a wider acceptance of collective rights	.28
1.3.3.3 Self-determination in UNDRIP	
1.3.4 Collective rights in human rights law	
2 Russian law and indigenous peoples' rights	.35
2.1 A short demographic assessment.	.37
2.2 Legal evolution	.38
2.2.1 Drawing the line between nations and natives.	
2.2.1.1 The 1822 Statutes and the «collective distinctiveness of 'aliens'»	. 39
2.2.1.2 Russian modern legal definition for indigenous peoples	.41
2.2.2 Domestic law	
2.2.2.1 Control over land.	.45
2.2.2.2 The environmental perspective and exploitation of natural	
resources.	.48
2.3 Legal behaviour of indigenous peoples in Russia	
2.3.1 Specificities of indigenous identity in Russia	
2.3.1.1 Maintenance of indigenous identities through the Soviet period:	
2.3.1.2 Indigeneity and inclusion in RAIPON	
2.3.2 The legal way: How do Indigenous peoples in Russia find their way t	
courts	
2.3.2.1 the relative success of political lobbying	
2.3.2.2 The unsuccessful battle for implementation	
2.3.2.3 anthropology and legal creativity	
2.3.3 Different legal cultures in Human Rights advocacy	
2.3.3.1 A comparison with National Minorities.	
2.3.3.2 Indigenous activism and the central power	.57

3 Russian Federation and indigenous peoples as subjects of international law	61
3.1 The matrioshka of international law:	. 61
3.1.1 Russia and international law	. 61
3.1.1.1 The constitution	61
3.1.1.2 Legal theory	. 62
3.1.1.3 Soft law	. 63
3.1.2 Human rights: the individual at stake	
3.1.3 Indigenous peoples' rights in international law	65
3.1.3.1 Ratified instruments.	. 65
3.2 Russian indigenous peoples' international legal culture	66
3.2.1 Russian indigenous peoples and indigenous transnational advocacy	
network.	66
3.2.2 Doors closed to claims under minority rights	67
3.2.3 Regional integration in the Arctic.	. 67
3.3 A theory of International Indigenous Peoples' Rights Regime in Russia	69
3.3.1 A Human Rights light on Russian laws on indigenous peoples	69
3.3.1.1 the issue of the definition in light of international law	69
3.3.1.2 Self-determination and autonomy	69
3.3.2 A Russian light on international indigenous peoples' rights	70
CONCLUSION	73
Bibliography	. 77