



# **Indigenous Justice Systems in Guatemala: Barrier or Opportunity for Women?**



Student: Kristina Eger

Supervisor: Prof. Vanessa Munro, University of Nottingham

European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation 2009/2010

## Thanks to:

Prof. Vanessa Munro, my supervisor, for her constructive comments and advice, which have been very valuable for this thesis.

All interviewees for their openness, trust and patience, especially those families that shared their house with me and let me be part of their daily lives.

All those that made this research possible, especially Markus Zander, Benjamin Cohuoj and the German Development Service.

Cover Photos: Markus Zander, Kristina Eger

#### **Abstract**

Indigenous justice systems have often been criticised for violating women's rights, yet it is not clear how justice systems in communities with changing power structures and influences from both ladino and indigenous migrants treat women's conflicts. Based on interview material from a qualitative field study in three communities in Guatemala, this study aims to answer the question to what extent and in what way the community justice systems respond to rural and indigenous women's conflicts. Women in the research area faced particular conflicts, especially domestic violence and violations of their property rights, yet these were rarely resolved in their communities. This was partly due to women's reluctance to report their problems to community authorities because of a lack of information, confidence, confidentiality, fear of reprisal and alternative forums. Yet, even when women reported their conflicts, these were often rejected or not resolved satisfactorily in community reunions because of discrimination, power imbalances, lack of community support and ineffective agreements. From these findings this study concludes that women in the investigated communities face additional barriers to reporting and treating their conflicts than women in traditional indigenous communities. Human rights reforms have made minor improvements to women's rights, but have failed to create a human rights consciousness.

### **Abbreviations**

ASIES Association of Investigation and Social Studies (Spanish: Asociación

de Investigación y Estudios Sociales)

CEDAW Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against

Women

DEMI Office for the Protection of Indigenous Women (Spanish: Defensoría

de la Mujer Indígena)

et al. and others (Latin: et alii)

GTZ German Technical Cooperation (German: Deutsche Gesellschaft für

Technische Zusammenarbeit)

Ibid. at the same place (Latin: Ibidem)

ILO International Labour Organisation

OACNUDH Office of the High Commissioner of the United Nations for Human

Rights in Guatemala (Spanish: Oficina del Alto Comisionado de las

Naciones Unidas para los Derechos Humanos en Guatemala)

PDH Office of the Human Rights Ombudsman (Spanish: Procuraduría de

los Derechos Humanos)

UNDRIP United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

# **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction	1
2. Methodology	3
2.1. Research Area	3
2.2. Field Study	4
2.2.1. Previous Research	5
2.3. Data Analysis	8
3. Women's Conflicts: Definition and Choice of Forum	9
3.1. What Conflicts Affect Women?	9
3.2. Where are Women's Conflicts Treated?	16
3.2.1. According to Legal Norms	16
3.2.2. According to the Opinion of Institutional Representatives	18
3.2.3. According to the Opinion of Community Inhabitants	21
4. Barriers to the Treatment of Women's Conflicts	26
4.1. Reporting Barriers	26
4.1.1. Lack of Information	27
4.1.2. Lack of Confidence and Confidentiality	28
4.1.3. Fear of Reprisals	
4.1.4. Religious Alternatives	
4.2. Treatment Barriers	32
4.2.1. Differential Treatment	
4.2.2. Reproduction of Power Imbalances	
4.2.3. Lack of Female Participation in Conflict Resolution	
4.2.5. Ineffectiveness of the Mechanism	
4.3. Conclusion: Women's Rational Choice for (not) Reporting Conflicts	
5. Translating Human Rights into Indigenous Justice Systems	47
5.1. Perception of Human Rights in the Communities	
5.2. Changing Community Practice	
5.2.1. Tactical Concessions.	
5.2.2. Women's Initiatives	
6. Conclusion	59
Bibliography	63