

EUROPEAN MASTER'S DEGREE IN HUMAN RIGHTS AND DEMOCRATISATION
2009/2010

Disregarding Human Rights for a Veiled Threat?

Facing the Ban on Full Veils in France

JULIEN BERTRAND

Supervisor
Eva Maria Lassen

**Danish Institute for Human Rights
University of Southern Denmark**

Abstract

The emergent debate in Europe around the wearing of full veils by Muslim women illustrates a social malaise within some European societies towards the growing visibility and influence of Islam. Full veils are indeed considered by many to be manifestations of fundamentalist ideologies in deep contradiction with liberal values and Western standards of normal social behaviours and gender equality.

After an extensive two-year public debate during which the French government controversially showed its determination to put an end to the use of the full veil in the country, France is currently adopting a law that would ban the concealment of the face in all public places. Considered by many as an excessive limitation of the rights and freedoms of the women wearing a full veil, this ban faces a high risk to be censored both by French jurisdictions and by the European Courts of Human rights.

This thesis discusses the evolution of the debate in France and the consequences of the adoption of a ban on the concealment of the face in public areas. It argues that despite the fact that the full veil might be perceived by some people as a negation of Western liberal and democratic principles, the desire to protect the French Republican values does not necessitate nor justify the adoption of a law that is in such opposition to human rights and that risks affecting the Muslim community living in France and abroad.

Acknowledgements

The present thesis has been written during the second semester of the Academic Year 2009/2010 of the European Master's Programme in Human Rights and Democratisation, which I had the pleasure to spend at the Danish Institute for Human Rights, in Copenhagen (Denmark).

I owe gratitude to the Danish Institute for Human Rights for welcoming us with open arms, and to my supervisor Eva Maria Lassen, for all her support, her patience and her kindness.

Furthermore I want to thank my fellow Masterini for sharing this year with me and making it such a great experience. I especially want to thank Blerina Balla, Laura Van den Eynde and Malin Pahlmblad for helping me out whenever I needed them.

And last but not least I want to thank my family, without whose support none of this would have been possible and my sister Stéphanie for being the best proofreader (and more) there is!

*Julien Bertrand
Copenhagen, July 2010*

Table of Content

1 Introduction.....	1
<i>Methodology and Additional Comments.....</i>	5
2 The Context: The Relations between Islam and the State in France.....	7
2.1 The French Singular Perception of Secularism.....	8
2.2 The Integration of Islam into the French <i>Laïc</i> Society.....	11
2.2.1 <i>The Apparent Contradiction Between Laïcité and Islam.....</i>	12
2.2.2 <i>The Regulation of Islam by the State.....</i>	14
2.2.3 <i>The Persistence of Difficulties in the Relations Between Islam and the State.....</i>	16
3 Key Elements of the Debate on the Full Veil in France.....	19
3.1 Chronology of the Debate on the Muslim Full Veil in France.....	20
3.2 A Phenomenon Largely Disapproved.....	24
3.3 Divergences Concerning the Appropriate Response from the State.....	27
3.4 Conclusion of Chapter 3.....	30
4 Uncertainties Related to the Conformity of the Ban to French and European Human Rights Standards.....	31
4.1 The Government Avoided a General Interdiction of the Muslim Full Veil as Such.....	35
4.2 The Prohibition of the Wearing of Clothes Intended to Conceal the Face in Public Spaces Remains a Limitation of Human Rights.....	37
4.2.1 <i>A Limitation of the Right to a Private life.....</i>	37
4.2.2 <i>A Limitation of Freedom of Expression.....</i>	38
4.2.3 <i>A Limitation of the Freedom to Manifest One’s Religion.....</i>	38
4.3 Is the Ban on the Concealment of the Face in Public Spaces “Prescribed by Law”?.....	40
4.4 Is the Ban on the Concealment of the Face in Public Spaces “Pursuing a Legitimate Aim”?.....	42
4.5 Is the Ban on the Concealment of the Face in Public Spaces “Necessary in a Democratic Society”?.....	44
4.5.1 <i>The Protection of Public Security.....</i>	47
4.5.2 <i>The Protection of Morals.....</i>	49
4.5.3 <i>The Protection of Human Dignity.....</i>	50
4.5.4 <i>The Protection of “the Minimum Requirement for Reciprocal Demands and Essential Guarantees of Life in Society”</i>	52
4.6 Conclusion of Chapter 4.....	54

5 Controversies: an Unnecessary, Intolerant, and Ineffective Ban.....	56
5.1 A Debate Surrounded by Controversial Circumstances.....	56
5.1.1 <i>Banning the Full Veil: a Political Strategy?</i>	56
5.1.2 <i>A Lack of Consideration for the Women's Points of View</i>	58
5.1.3 <i>A Government Overlooking Human Rights</i>	61
5.2 Controversies Surrounding the Ban's Effectiveness.....	63
5.2.1 <i>The Ban May not be Applicable</i>	63
5.2.2 <i>The Ban Could Actually Increase the Reclusion of Women</i>	64
5.2.3 <i>The Ban May not Reduce Religious Radicalism</i>	65
5.3 Conclusion of Chapter 5.....	67
6 Conclusion.....	69
Bibliography.....	72