

NEW UNIVERSITY OF LISBON

European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation
A.Y. 2013/2014

“HEREDITARILY VALUABLE CHILDREN”

From Himmler's “fount of life” association
to present-day preimplantation genetic diagnosis

Author: Kira Vogt
Supervisor: Helena Pereira de Melo

Abstract

Eugenics, the idea of improving the quality of humankind, have been discussed since the times of Plato and Aristotle. During the *Third Reich*, the National-Socialists used eugenic practices to increase the population of their “master race” and, at the same time, prevent “inferior races” from reproducing. In addition to “marriage fitness certificates” granted only to persons without hereditary diseases, positive eugenics involved the creation of the secret *SS* association *Lebensborn e.V.* (“fount of life”). *Lebensborn* nursing homes were opened in order to discourage single “Aryan” women from aborting hereditarily healthy children of “precious German blood” and to provide them with pre- and post-natal care. As this was not enough to balance the losses in population suffered during the war, children of “Nordic blood” were kidnapped from the occupied Eastern territories and subjected to strict “Germanisation” measures. While the collapse of the *Third Reich* put an end to the operations, they often left the children scarred for life.

The recent developments in modern medicine, with the possibility to screen for hereditary diseases in embryos, have relaunched a heated discussion whether it is ethical to use eugenics. In Germany, the partial legalisation of preimplantation genetic diagnosis is controversial, not only with regard to conformity with the Basic Law, but also in consideration of the National-Socialist abuse of eugenics.

Table of contents

Introduction.....	1
Part I – The “fount of life” as part of the National-Socialist eugenics scheme.....	3
A. The foundations of National-Socialist racial and eugenic ideology.....	3
B. Positive eugenics: the creation of an Aryan “master race”	9
1. Facts and figures.....	9
2. Neither welfare institution nor breeding brothel.....	10
3. Strict selection.....	12
a. Selection criteria.....	13
b. Racial grades.....	16
c. Divergence between ideals and reality.....	17
C. Negative eugenics against “hereditarily diseased” persons.....	19
1. Sterilisations.....	19
2. Assassinations.....	23
a. “Treatment” in special children wards.....	24
b. “Aktion T4”	27
Part II – The fate of “hereditarily and racially valuable” children.....	30
A. “Germanisation” of children from the occupied Eastern territories.....	30
1. The collection of “Nordic blood” from entire families for a “Great Germanic Imperium”	30
2. Kidnappings of “racially valuable” children.....	32
a. Children from Poland.....	33
b. Children from Czechoslovakia.....	35
3. “Germanisation” measures.....	37
a. Assimilation homes.....	37
b. Lebensborn homes and boarding schools.....	38
c. Control exercised over the “Germanisation” by the Lebensborn association	40

4. Repatriation.....	41
5. Indemnification for victims of “Germanisation”.....	45
a. Trials against the persons responsible for the forced “Germanisation” of children.....	45
b. Human rights violations.....	47
c. Compensation payments.....	50
B. The influence of Lebensborn on children born in the association's homes.....	52
C. Learning from the past.....	58
Part III – The partial legalisation of preimplantation genetic diagnosis in Germany.....	63
A. The decision by the Federal Court of Justice of 6 July 2010.....	64
B. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis under the new § 3a of the Law for the Protection of Embryos.....	66
1. Constitutionality of the new § 3a of the Law for the Protection of Embryos...68	
a. Human dignity, right to life and bodily integrity of an embryo in vitro.....	68
b. The human dignity of the woman.....	70
c. The woman's right to bodily integrity.....	71
d. Balancing of the rights of the embryo and those of the woman.....	72
2. Concerns about the present legal framework for PGD in Germany and ideas for improvement.....	74
a. Lack of a list of “severe illnesses” and definition of “high risk” and “high probability”.....	74
b. Composition of the ethics commissions.....	76
c. Involvement of IVF patients in the decision-making process.....	77
C. Limitations to preimplantation genetic diagnosis.....	78
1. Screening for chromosomal and genetic diseases.....	79
2. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis for non-medical features.....	81
3. No obligation to use preimplantation genetic diagnosis.....	82
Conclusion.....	85

2014

Hereditarily valuable children : from Himmler's fount of life associated present-day preimplantation genetic diagnosis

Vogt, Kira

<https://doi.org/20.500.11825/470>

Downloaded from Open Knowledge Repository, Global Campus' institutional repository