



*European Master's Degree
in Human Rights and Democratisation
2010/2011*

Master Thesis

Rights of Refugee under International Law
Comparison Case Studies
of Thailand and Italy

By Ms. Preparat Khachornboon

2nd semester

Ca' Foscari Università

Venice, Italy

Prof. Adalberto Peruli and Prof. Lauso Zagato

12 July 2012

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for
the European Master in Human Rights and Democratisation (EMA)



*European Inter - University Centre
for Human Rights and Democratisation*

Rights off Refugees under International Law Comparison Case Studies of Thailand and Italy

ABSTRACT

Since the history, the conflicts have caused refugees in many countries. Refugee rights have been recognized under the international law. However in many situations refugee rights are not well protected. The United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR) has mandate to ensure and protect refugee rights. The European Union (EU) new policies increase their interest to assist other countries regarding human rights violation. A host country and an original country have the most significant roles to protect refugee rights.

However in many cases, the assistance and supports from international organisations could be improved. This thesis is to look for how refugee rights could be protected better. It could be problematic when the situation in an original country is still uncertain and dangerous for refugees to return home and the opportunity for the integration in a host county is impossible. In such case, the international and regional community are very helpful to assist refugee and a host country in providing protection and finding durable solutions; voluntary repatriation, local integration and resettlement in a third country.

This thesis is to compare the two case studies of Thailand and Italy to find solutions for better protection to refugees and asylum seekers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 General | 1 |
| 1.2 Research Aims | 2 |
| 1.3 Key Concepts | 3 |
| 1.3.1 Nature of International Law | 3 |
| 1.3.2 The Sources of International law | 3 |
| 1.3.3 Refugee Rights under International Law | 5 |
| 1.3.4 A Brief of Refugee Situations in Thailand and Italy | 12 |
| 1.4 Methodology | 15 |
| 1.5 Structure of Thesis | 15 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: THAILAND | 17 |
| 2.1 Brief history of Burma | 17 |
| 2.2 Camp location and conditions | 18 |
| 2.3 Refugee background (Ethnic, Language and Religion) | 19 |
| 2.4 Camp administration | 20 |
| 2.5 Registration | 21 |
| 2.6 Status of refugee living in refugee camps | 22 |
| 2.7 Assistances provided to refugee in camps | 23 |
| 2.8 Significant issues and problems | 26 |
| 2.9 Domestic Law | 28 |
| 2.10 Regional mechanism in Southeast Asia | 36 |
| 2.11 Thailand's obligations to international law | 38 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 3: ITALY | 44 |
| 3.1 Lampedusa island | 44 |
| 3.2 A mass influx in Lampedusa in early 2011 | 45 |
| 3.3 After arriving in Lampedusa | 47 |
| 3.4 Access to asylum procedure | 48 |
| 3.5 Transferring to the village of solidarity in Mineo | 49 |
| 3.6 Action taken by Italy and the EU | 49 |
| 3.7 The European Union Law | 50 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 4: COMPARISON AND ANALYSIS | 57 |
| 4.1 Law and policies on refugees and asylum seekers | 57 |
| 4.2 A Mass influx and border situations | 57 |
| 4.3 Problems, Concerns and Protection | 58 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS | 59 |