An Uneasy Decade: Examination of the First Ten Years of Explicit Interaction between Human Rights Law and the ICSID Investor-State Arbitration Tribunals

By

Ana Zbona

A Masters Thesis Submitted to Lund University, Lund, Sweden In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the European Masters Degree in Human Rights and Democratization Supervisor: Dr. Radu Mares

© Ana Zbona, 2013

Submitted: July 12th, 2013

[Vnesite besedilo]

Stateme	ent on the use of the E.MA thesis for library purpose
Name of the s	student: ANA ŽBONA
Second seme	ster host university: LUND UNIVE RSITY
Name of the s	supervisor: RADU MARES
	MA thesis AN UNEASY DECADE Examination of
	lears of Explicit Interaction Between Human Right
and the 1	CSID Investor - State Arbitration Tribunals
Academic yea	ar:2012_/13
	I hereby allow ²
o Publica ✔ Publica	ation of the <u>entire</u> thesis on the web ation <u>only</u> of the abstract and table of content of the thesis on the
Use of the	thesis in the library for the purposes of:
 ✓ Consul ✓ Photoc ✓ Loan 	itation only popying
	format (pdf) delivery (only for other Masterini)
	angele.
	Alla Zhous

[Vnesite besedilo]



Declaration against plagiarism¹

To be signed and placed at the beginning of the thesis

Name of the student:ÀNAŽBONA
Second semester host university: LUND UNIVERSITY
Name of the supervisor RADU MARES
Title of the E.MA thesis: AN UNEASY DECADE ! EXAMINATION
OF THE FIRST TEN YEARS OF EXPLICIT INTERACTION BETWEEN
HUMAN RIGHTS LAW AND THE ICSID INVESTOR-STATE ARBITRATION
Academic vear: 2002/13

I certify that the attached is all my own work.

I understand that I may be penalised if I use the words of others without acknowledgement.

(Signature)

¹ Art. 5.1.i of the E.MA Rules of Assessment: The thesis shall consist of an academic piece of work, written individually and independently by the student. It shall be different from work previously undertaken by the student outside the framework of the E.MA Programme, e.g. in another Master's programme. It shall be written in English. The student may write the thesis in French upon prior approval of the E.MA director of the second semester University. [...]

Abstract

The investor – state tribunals have gone from attempting to give effective protection to investors' rights for scarce, individual complaints to addressing fundamental questions about state measures taken for public purpose. Investor-state arbitration has come under great criticism in the recent years for allegedly overly constricting the states' ability to regulate in public interest. Drawing on a wide array of case law, this thesis evaluates how the limits of investor protection have been understood by the investor-state tribunals under the auspices of the ICSID over the past ten years, to assess what that means in the light of human rights obligations of the states and to review how successful the implemented reforms of the system were and are likely to be in increasing the human rights considerations in the ICSID system.

[Vnesite besedilo]

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1 Theme and purpose

1.2 Methodology and material

1.3 Disposition

1.4 Delimitations

2. Basics of the system

2.1 Traditional means of resolving investor-state disputes

2.2 Bilateral Investment Treaties

2.3 The Standing of an Investor in front of an Arbitration tribunal

2.4 Consent of the parties

2.5 Jurisdiction

2.6 Choice of law

2.7 Enforcement of ICSID Awards

2.8 Arbitrators

3. Human rights law to the Investment Arbitration system: The First Decade of Interaction

3.1 Human Rights and Investment Law from the point view of Theory

3.1.1 The State-Centered Investors' Rights View

3.1.2 The State-Centered Citizens' Rights View

3.1.3 The Investor-Centered View

3.1.4 The difficulties with the State-centered

investors' rights view

3.2 Human Rights and the Practice of Investor-State Arbitration Tribunals

3.2.1 Human Rights and the BIT Standards

3.2.2 Expropriation

3.2.2.1. Impact of the measure

3.2.2.2 .1 Human Rights Analysis 3.2.2.2 Deference 3.2.2.3 Police Powers v. Solo Effect 3.2.2.3.1 Human Rights Analysis 3.2.3 Fair and Equitable Treatment standard 3.2.3.1 FET: Stand-Alone provision or grounded in **Customary International Law 3.2.3.2 Legitimate Expectations** 3.2.3.3 The Relevance of the Investors' Behaviour **3.2.3.3.1 Human Rights Analysis** 3.3. Human Rights Concerns Arising as an Indirect or Direct **Consequence of** Investor-State Arbitration **3.3.1 Stabilization clauses** 3.3.1.1 The Actual and Potential Impact of **Stabilization Clauses** 3.3.1.2 Tribunals' Treatment of Stabilization Clauses **3.3.2 Regulatory Chill** 3.3.3 Human Rights Consequences of the Cost of **Arbitral Awards 3.3.3.1 Human Rights Analysis** 3.4 Invocation of Human Rights Law in Investment-Treaty Arbitration 3.4.1 Human rights law as proof of public purpose 3.4.2 Jurisdiction over human rights issues **3.4.3 Reading the Investment Treaty Provisions in the light** of Human Rights Law **3.5.3.1 ICESCR** 3.5.3.2 ICCPR **3.5.3.3** The Relevance of Non-Investment Treaty **Obligations** 4. The Evaluation of the Response to the Human Rights Arguments in

4.1 Putting the investor's rights and the human rights on the same plane

front of ICSID Tribunals and their Treatment by the Tribunals

4.2 The Unwillingness to consider explicit human rights law arguments

4.3 Lack of elaboration and lack of substantiation in Human Rights Law based Arguments

4.3.1 The Evaluation of the Tribunals' Approach and the Importance of the Substantiation of Human Rights based Arguments

5. Integrating Human Rights Considerations into Investment Treaty Arbitration: Changes so far

5.1 The Impact of the Amici curiae

5.2 The Changes in BIT Language

6. Conclusion and Some Comments on the Way Forward