



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA

HUMAN RIGHTS CENTRE OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF PADOVA

EIUC European Inter - University Centre
for Human Rights and Democratisation

EUROPEAN MASTER'S DEGREE
IN HUMAN RIGHTS
AND DEMOCRATISATION

LIVING IN LIMBO – BETWEEN HOPE, CRIME AND DEPORTATION

Non-removable irregular migrants in Austria

Supervisor: Prof. Paolo De Stefani

Candidate: Philipp Hamedl

A.Y. 2013/2014

ABSTRACT

The number of failed asylum seekers and irregular migrants who have lost or never had the right to stay but cannot be removed to their countries of origin is rising all over Europe. Practical and technical obstacles such as the absence of travel documents due to the lack of cooperation from countries of origin and difficulties in identifying the individual are the most frequent reasons preventing removal. The EU Directive 2008/115/EC on common standards and procedures for returning illegally staying third-country nationals lacks clear guidelines on how to deal with this residual group of “undesirable” migrants. Only a few EU Member States provide sufficient legislation to protect the human rights of non-removable migrants, others leave them vulnerable to human rights violations, in a legal limbo situation for years.

This research examines the policies and procedures of Austrian immigration and prison authorities and their correlation with social exclusion and crime. It highlights the vicious circle of irregularity and its effect on the well-being by focusing with semi-structured interviews with prison inmates on this even more excluded group of migrants who are considered a “threat to the society”. Recommendations in order to meet international human rights obligations conclude this thesis.

Key words: irregular migrants, return, removal, non-removability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES.....	9
INTRODUCTION	10
1. Context and content.....	10
2. Structure and approach of the thesis	15
3. Case story.....	17
PART I – THE ISSUE: Non-removable irregular migrants	21
1. Number of IM and NRIM in Austria and the EU	21
1.1 Number of IM in Austria	22
1.2 Number of IM in the EU	23
1.3 Number of NRIM in Austria.....	25
1.4 Number of NRIM in the EU.....	27
2. Legal analysis of irregularity and non-removability	29
2.1 The main pathways into irregularity	30
2.2 The Return Directive	33
2.3 Reasons preventing removal	35
2.4 Practical circumstances and technical reasons preventing removal	37
2.5 Cooperation with/of the country of origin.....	38
2.6 Cooperation of the IM	40
2.7 Policy options of the immigration authorities of Austria.....	42
3. Assessment.....	47
PART II – CASE STUDY ON NRIM IN AUSTRIA: Trapped	50
1. Human rights of IM.....	51
2. Social exclusion of NRIM	53
3. Psychosocial strains of NRIM.....	57
4. The well-being of NRIM.....	59
4.1 Pre-migration	61
4.2 The migration / flight.....	62
4.3. Post-migration	62
5. Qualitative study: Interviews with inmates of an Austrian prison	63

5.1	Methodology	63
5.2	Aim of the interviews.....	67
5.3	Results and analysis	67
6.	Foreigners in Prison.....	83
7.	Comparing data with regard to the nationalities of the interviewees.	91
PART III – CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		98
1.	Basic Welfare Support.....	102
2.	Toleration Certificate – “Duldung”	104
3.	Prison rehabilitation.....	105
APPENDIX.....		107
BIBLIOGRAPHY		109