DATA PROTECTION IN THE EUROPEAN UNION IN TIMES OF TERRORISM:

A CASE STUDY ON PASSENGER NAME RECORD AGREEMENTS

By Alba Bescos Pou.



European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation Academic Year: 2012-2013 Supervisor: Professor Wolfgang Benedek



KARL-FRANZENS UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ, AUSTRIA

ABSTRACT

The thesis is aimed at exploring whether the personal data of European citizens are protected under the counter-terrorism measures of the European Union from a legal perspective. Does an appropriate balance exist between security and data protection? What is the approach of the European Union? Does the EU provide a normative framework that ensures a high level of data protection? This thesis will determine the advantages and weaknesses of adopting a security or a data protection approach. Furthermore, it will show how the European Union has undertaken a human rights approach although some incoherencies and issues still remain. To that end the study closely analyzes the European framework in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice. Finally, a detailed analysis of the EU-US PNR agreement will be developed in order to underline the weaknesses of the European framework when it comes to undertaking particular measures which involve the exchange of information for law enforcement purposes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my special thanks and gratitude to Prof. Benedek for conducting the supervision of this thesis and for always being available and helpful. His extensive experience in the field has been an integral part of guiding me along this journey. Secondly, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Prof. Matthias Ketteman for his patience and for sharing his knowledge, enthusiasm and positive energy during the lasts six months.

Thirdly, I would like to take this opportunity to thank the team at the Institute of International Public law at the Karl-Franzens-Universität of Graz for making me feel at home.

Also, I would like to thank Francis McCabe for his patience in proofreading this thesis.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family for their unconditional support.

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFSJ Area of Freedom Security and Justice.

API Advance Passenger Information.

Article 29WP Article 29 Data Protection Working Party.

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union.

DHS Department of Homeland Security.

DPD Directive 95/46/EC of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals

with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement

of such data.

DPFD Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA.

ECHR European Convention in Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights.

EDPS European Data Protection Supervisor.

EU European Union.

FOIA Freedom of Information Act.

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

JHA Justice and Home Affairs.

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

OSI Optional Services instruction.

PNR Passenger Name Record.

SIS Schengen Information System.

SSI Sensitive Security Information.

SSR Special Service Requests Information.

SWIFT Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication Agreement.

TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

UDHR Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

UN United Nations.

UNSC United Nations Security Council.

VIS Visa Information System.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	7
CHAPTER 2: DATA PROTECTION, SECURITY AND COUNTER-TERF	RORISM13
A. Data Protection as a Human Right.	13
B. Security and Counter-terrorism measures	15
C. Trade-off between data protection and security: The Europe	ean and the
United States' approach.	18
I. Conceptual Issues	18
II. The approach of the United States	23
III. The approach of the European Union	26
D. Intermediate Conclusions.	30
CHAPTER 3. LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF THE PROTECTION OF I	PERSONAL
DATA APPLICABLE IN THE AREA OF COUNTER-TERRORISM	1 OF THE
EUROPEAN UNION.	32
A. Background	32
B. Data protection in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	: Applicable
legislation	33
I. Historical evolution of the data protection	regime in
Europe.	34
II. European Union legal framework	36
III. The Future of the European Union legal framework	44
C. Remaining challenges in the current data protection framework w	vithin the
European Union in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	47
I. Profiling and automated processing	48
II. Purpose limitation principle	51
III. Principle of accountability	54
IV. Privacy-by-design	55
D. Intermediate Conclusions	57

CHAPTER 4: PASSENGER NAME RECORD AGREEMENTS	59
A. Concept.	59
B. Background and historical evolution of the use of PNR in the EU	60
C. Legal framework applicable to the PNR Agreements	64
D. The EU-US PNR Agreement: Challenges	69
I. Necessity and proportionality	69
II. Purpose limitation	73
III. Period of retention and storage	77
IV. Method of transmission	79
V. Data Subject's Rights	80
VI. Supervision	82
E. Intermediate Conclusions	83
CHAPTER 5. CONCLUSIONS.	85
DIDI ICD ADUV	0.1