

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY BELFAST

European Master's Programme in Human Rights and Democratisation A.Y. 2019/2020

When Forgetting is Dangerous

Transitional justice, collective remembrance and Brazil's shift to far-right populism

Author: Eduardo Monteiro Burkle Supervisor: Alice Panepinto

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I believe that writing a master's thesis is not an easy thing by itself. However, this year added some obstacles – which I do not need to remember here - in an already difficult task. While I am proud of myself for being able to attend this programme and write about my country, I would not be able to do so without the following people which I cannot thank enough for their support.

My parents Carlos and Helena, with their love and patience who even far away proved to be more present than ever. Also, a special thanks for my grandfather José Carlos for checking with me every day. All that is good in my heart was taught by them and I would not be here – or anywhere else – without you all.

My supervisor Alice Panepinto, which not only assisted me in this research but on *how to* research. If my wish is to continue my path on an academic career is because of professors like Alice, with her professionalism, kindness and competence. Needless to say, any merits of this thesis are a direct influence from my supervisor.

To the team from the Global Campus of Human Rights (Wiebke, Chiara, George, Daniella and others) that gave to me this life-changing opportunity to attend this programme. A special thanks to Wiebke Lamer and Stephan Parmentier for helping me to define the topic of this thesis.

To the team from Queen's University Belfast (Thérèse, Margaret, Sonya) whom without their support on the second semester I would not be able to complete this research. A special thanks to the EMA director at Belfast Thérèse Murphy.

To my friends back in Brazil, which were always present for me no matter what, I carry you all in my heart. To the friends I made along to way, that taught to me not only how big is this world, but how it is filled with amazing people.

Lastly, a special thanks for Carlos, João Pedro, Felipe, Ana Teresa, Filipe, Ana Monteiro and Gabriel which all discussed this thesis and its topics with me during the last months.

I was sailing in the Milky Way

Losing track of memories that weren't that day

Right by her side

As the stars flew by I did collide with memory

But somehow I survived

And became free

- Neil Young

You have to begin to lose your memory, if only in bits and pieces, to realize that memory is what makes our lives. Life without memory is no life at all, just as an intelligence without the possibility of expression is not really an intelligence. Our memory is our coherence, our reason, our feeling, even our action. Without it, we are nothing

- Luis Buñuel

ABSTRACT

Transitional justice measures such as, *inter alia*, trials, truth commissions and institutional reforms or a lack thereof have an impact on how societies deal with the past and help to shape the collective memories of these societies and their different groups. The aim of this paper is to analyze the Brazilian transition to democracy and how the lack of implementation of transitional justice measures, or their shortcomings, can explain the non-existence of a consensus towards its authoritarian past. By not having a shared understanding of its own past we argue that the Brazilian democracy is prone to revive its authoritarian past, as shown by the recent wave of autocratization it suffers. The rise of far-right populism in Brazil is linked to a dictatorship nostalgia, embodied in President Jair Bolsonaro and the intense presence of the military in his government. Without the proper reckoning with its past the Brazilian democracy display an inherent weakness associated to its amnesia towards the military Dictatorship (1964-1985).

Keywords: Transitional Justice, Collective Memory, Brazilian Military Dictatorship, Populism

TABLE OF ABREVIATIONS

AGU	Advocacia Geral de União
AI-5	Institutional Act Five
ARENA	Aliança Renovadora Nacional (National Renewal Alliance)
BRICS	Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa
CEMDP	Comissão Especial sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos
	(Commission on Political Deaths and Disappearances)
DOI-Codi	Department of Information Operations – Centre for
	Internal Defence Operations
DOPS	Department of Political and Social Order
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
Gen.	General
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICC	International Criminal Court (ICC)
LDI	Liberal Democracy Index
LSN	Law of National Security
MBL	Movimento Brasil Livre (Free Brazil Movement)
MDB	Movimento Democrático Brasileiro (Brazilian Democratic
	Movement)
MFA	Movimento Feminino pela Anistia (Feminist Amnesty
	Movement)
NGO	Non-governmental Organization
NTC	Brazilian National Truth Commission
OAB	Ordem dos Advogados do Brasil (Brazilian Bar
	Association)
РМ	Military Police
PMDB	Partido do Movimento Democrático Brasileiro (Brazilian
	Democratic Movement Party)
PSDB	Partido Social Democrata Brasileiro (Brazilian Social
	Democratic Party)

PSL	Partido Social Liberal (Liberal Social Party)
PT	Partido dos Trabalhadores (Worker's Party)
РТВ	Partido Trabalhista Brasileiro (Brazilian Labour Party)
SNI	National Intelligence Service (SNI)
STF	Supremo Tribunal Federal (Brazilian Supreme Court)
STM	Superior Tribunal Militar (Military Superior Tribunal)
TCU	Tribunal de Contas da União (Supreme Audit Agency)
TERNUMA	Terrorismo Nunca Mais (Terrorism Never Again)
US	United States Of America
UN	United Nations
V-Dem	Varieties of Democracies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	I
ABSTRACT	111
TABLE OF ABREVIATIONS	IV
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1 – TANKS AND BALLOTS: FROM THE MILITARY DICTATORSHIP (1964-1985) BOLSONARO (2018)	
1.1 THE COUP OF 1964 AND THE FORMATION OF THE MILITARY REGIME	4
1.2 THE COUNTRY IS BEING SWEPT BY STRONG WINDS: THE AI-5 AND THE ANOS DE CHUMBO	9
1.3 A CONTROLLED TRANSITION AND THE REBIRTH OF CIVIL SOCIETY: THE AMNESTY LAW AND DI	
JÁ MOVEMENT	
1.4 BOLSONARO: THE MILITARY BACK IN POWER THROUGH DEMOCRATIC ELECTIONS	16
CHAPTER 2 - DEALING WITH AN AUTHORITARIAN PAST: TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE	
MECHANISMS AND THE COLLECTIVE DIMENSIONS OF MEMORY	19
2.1 TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE: RECKONING WITH THE PAST	19
2.2 POLITICS OF MEMORY AND TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE	
2.3 THE COLLECTIVE DIMENSIONS OF MEMORY	
2.4 TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE MECHANISMS FOSTERING COLLECTIVE MEMORIES	28
2.4.1 Trials: Justice and memory	29
2.4.2 Truth Commissions: Truth and memory	30
2.5 TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE AND THE MEMORY ASSUMPTION: IT IS ALWAYS BETTER TO REMEMBER?	
2.6 POLITICS OF MEMORY AND THE PROCESS OF DEMOCRATIZATION	35
CHAPTER 3 - SHADOWS AND LIES CANNOT PROMOTE HARMONY: TRANSITIONAL JUS' AND THE BRAZILIAN CASE	
3.1 A NEGOTIATED TRANSITION AND A DELAYED TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE: THE BRAZILIAN CASE	39
3.2 Amnesty Law: A bridge to democracy or a pact for amnesia?	45
3.3 THE NATIONAL TRUTH COMMISSION (NTC): AN UNTAPPED POTENTIAL	48
3.4 Assessing the Transitional Justice in Brazil: An incomplete memory turn	52
CHAPTER 4 – BOLSONARISMO AND THE DICTATORSHIP NOSTALGIA: FAR-RIGHT POPULISM IN BRAZIL AND A RETURN TO AUTHORITARIANISM	57
4.1 FROM LULISMO TO BOLSONARISMO: AN IRRUPTION OF MEMORY AND THE RISE OF THE FAR-RIGH	IT IN
BRAZIL	
4.2 THE MILITARY MEMORY: FROM THE BARRACKS TO THE MAINSTREAM	62
4.3 Bolsonarismo and Far-right Right Populism: The dictatorship nostalgia	
4.4 A PAST AHEAD: AUTOCRATIZATION IN BOLSONARO'S BRAZIL	70
CONCLUSION	74
REFERENCES	77

INTRODUCTION

Salvador's Dali most famous painting is entitled "The Persistence of Memory". However, many refer to it simply as "Melting Clocks" in reference to the most distinguishable component of the surrealism icon. While Dali never fully explained the meaning of the melting clocks, they can be interpreted as how time works in a different way concerning memory, or as Sontag – echoing the work of Walter Benjamin – claims how the work of memory collapses time.¹

The intricate relation between time and memory gains another layer when we consider the existence of collective memories. These memories define how different groups not only remember the past, but form their identity and their lens to read the present. The existence of (sometimes dissonant) collective memories of authoritarian pasts is a useful perspective to grasp how decades-long events can resurface on the public sphere and profoundly influence the political scenario.

But does the Brazilian society remember its own authoritarian past? This research project analyses the transitional justice process in Brazil, using the perspective of memory studies and the concept of collective memory to identify the ongoing implications of the lack of understanding concerning the military dictatorship (1964-1985) period. By doing so, we try to explain the re-emergence of a dictatorship nostalgia and the rise of far-right populism in the country.

The election of Jair Bolsonaro in 2018, a former military famous for praising the regime, represented not only the rise of far-right populism in Brazil but brought back the armed forces to center stage of the national politics. Assessing the transitional justice experience in Brazil we can ask: Would the return of the military through the electoral vote be possible with the early implementation of transitional justice measures? The formation of a shared collective memory on the authoritarian past by transitional justice mechanisms allow a shield against this type of populism attached to a dictatorship nostalgia? The shortcomings and the late implementation of transitional justice in Brazil turned its democracy more fragile to figures like Bolsonaro?

Unlike many other countries, including its neighbors from Latin America, Brazil transitioned to a democratic state without deploying significative transitional justice measures. The amnesty

¹ Susan Sontag, Under the Sign of Saturn (Farrar, Straus & Giroux 1980) 115.

law approved during the military rule persists and no human rights trials took place in country until this day. This approach, emulating the conciliatory reasoning of the negotiated Brazilian transition to democracy shows that, at least initially, there was also a pact for amnesia.

The transitional justice practice developed a series of mechanisms that countries adopt not only to make their transition from authoritarian regimes to democratic ones, but also to "deal with the past". In this sense, measures such as truth commissions, trials, and institutional reforms exist not only to bring stability and legitimacy to new democratic regimes or to make justice for victims but also as a way to introduce a shared understanding of the past.

In this sense, transitional justice measures can help foster collective memories about authoritarian pasts. When successful, they do so in order to prevent countries from falling back into autocratic experiences, or as embodied in the motto of many transitional justice experiences throughout the world: *Nunca más*!²

In order to analyze this subject, this desk-based study resorted to primary sources, including the Brazilian amnesty law, the report from the National Truth Commission (NTC) and judgments from the Brazilian Supreme Court (STF) and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR). The secondary sources are academic works in English and Portuguese, mainly on the fields of transitional justice, memory studies, political science and history.

The first chapter lays out the historical background of the dissertation. It considers how the coup of 1964 was not an initiative of the military only, but enjoyed support across a significant section of Brazilian society, polarising Brazilian politics. A similar polarization happened in 2018 with the election of Jair Bolsonaro, when the military became part of political life again.

The second chapter deals with the theoretical aspects of transitional justice and collective memory. The objective is to discuss how transitional justice mechanisms, such as trials and truth commissions, have the potential of shaping a shared understanding of the past. The human rights-oriented framework of the transitional justice field implies that this memory work can have a meaningful impact on democratization processes.

The third chapter presents the transitional justice experience in Brazil, showing how the characteristics of the regime itself and the controlled transition to democracy also meant a pact for amnesia. Sparse and narrow measures of transitional justice, mostly focused on reparations programmes, failed to deliver justice for the victims of the dictatorship, and the absence of

² Or simply Never Again, in English.

debates in the public sphere about the authoritarian past prevented the matter from being publicly acknowledged. A more far-reaching approach, symbolized by the establishment of a truth commission in 2011, almost three decades after the transition to democracy, was interrupted by changes in the political scenario.

The fourth chapter is focused on the contemporary Brazilian politics and the rise of far-right populism and a dictatorship nostalgia, personified in Jair Bolsonaro, elected president in 2018. It shows not only how the period of autocratization that Brazil lives is linked with its past, but also how Bolsonaro's nostalgia for the military dictatorship and its political success would not thrive with the proper reckoning of the dictatorship years.

CHAPTER 1 – Tanks and Ballots: From the Military Dictatorship (1964-1985) to Bolsonaro (2018)

This chapter provides an overview of the history of the military dictatorship in Brazil (1964-1985), explaining the conditions that allowed the military to depose an elected president and to govern the country for more than two decades. The Institutional Act Five (a symbol of the authoritarianism of the regime), the Amnesty Law of 1979 and the *Diretas Já* (Direct Elections Now) movement are presented as milestones of the military in power and the subsequent process of democratization. Lastly, it presents the rise of Jair Bolsonaro as a political figure in Brazil and his trajectory to presidency in 2018, representing the return of the military in Brazilians politics, this time through democratic elections.

1.1 The Coup of 1964 and the Formation of the Military Regime

The Brazilian military dictatorship³ started in 1964 and would last for twenty-one years. On 31 March 1964, tanks were on streets as a military junta deposed president João Goulart and issued the Institutional Act 1^4 that annulled the mandate of the deputies of the left-wing parties, gave a veneer of legality to the *coup d'état*, and paved the way for General Castelo Branco to become president. What was left of the National Congress "elected" Castelo Branco on 11 April 1964 in an indirect election in which he was the one and only candidate. The votes of the members of the congress (361 votes and 72 abstentions) were geared by fear or by convenience, but expecting presidential elections would still happen in 1965.

In his speech that same day, Castelo Branco guaranteed there would be no more annulments of political rights in the congress and that he would hand over the presidency to a successor to be

³ Elio Gaspari, A Ditadura Envergonhada: As Ilusões Armadas (Companhia das Letras 2002); A Ditadura Escancarada: As Ilusões Armadas (Companhia das Letras 2002); A Ditadura Encurralada (Editora Intrinseca 2014); A Ditadura Derrotada (Editora Intrinseca 2014); A Ditadura Acabada (Editora Intrinseca 2017); Lilia Moritz Schwarcz and Heloisa Starling, Brazil : A Biography (Allen Lane 2018); Thomas E Skidmore, The Politics of Military Rule in Brazil, 1964-1985 (Oxford University Press 1990); Leslie Bethell and Celso Castro, 'Politics in Brazil Under Military Rule, 1964-1985' in Leslie Bethell (ed), Cambridge History of Latin America - Volume IX: Brazil since 1930 (Cambridge University Press 2008); Marcos Napolitano, 'The Brazilian Military Regime, 1964–1985', Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Latin American History (2018).

⁴ The military issued seventeen institutional acts during the regime, with different consequences on the Brazilian legal order. Their objective was to portray a sense of legitimacy to the dictatorship on what Anthony W. Pereira calls "authoritarian legality", see Anthony W Pereira, *Political (In)Justice: Authoritarianism and the Rule of Law in Brazil, Chile, and Argentina* (University of Pittsburgh Press 2005).

elected next year. As Schwarcz and Starling point out, he "said what everyone wanted to hear, but he kept none of his promises".⁵

Many political actors, including the deposed president João Goulart, firmly believed that the military would continue to play a role as "both protagonist and moderating power", as had happened many times throughout the 20th century in Brazilian politics, and that after a cooling-off period, they would allow the civilians to return to power.⁶

The military, with their newly self-given emergency powers, would end up electing⁷ another four generals after Castelo Branco (1964-67): Costa e Silva (1967-69), Garrastazu Médici (1969-74), Ernesto Geisel (1974-79) and João Figueiredo (1979-85). The end of the dictatorship was marked by the indirect election of a civilian in 1985, while the Brazilians would vote again for choosing directly their president only in 1989, with the election of Fernando Collor.⁸

However, how was the *coup d'état* possible? During João Goulart's presidency, the scenario was of an unprecedented polarization between the political forces. In 1963 there were two political agendas in Brazil, one on the left and one on the right, based on competing visions on how to transform the country, but showing no will to resolve political differences democratically.⁹

João Goulart, famously known by the nickname "Jango", was elected as a vice president and later assumed office after former president Janio Quadros renounced his post in 1961. In power, Goulart had a plan involving a broad reform agenda including agrarian, urban and electoral reforms. Politically fragile, Jango was far away from approving his plans, at least on the terms that would satisfy his political base. While his inefficiency dissatisfied the left (represented mainly by the urban and rural workers and students), the possibility of seeing Goulart's core reforms materialise was a nightmare for the right-wing (made up of traditional elites, entrepreneurs, military, and sectors of the middle class).¹⁰

⁵ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 513.

⁶ Marcos Napolitano, *1964: História Do Regime Militar Brasileiro* (Editora Contexto 2014) 68; Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 511–512.

 $^{^{7}}$ The five presidents that took office during the military rule, all of them senior (four-star) generals, were previously selected by the military high command and then indirectly elected by the congress, or later by an electoral college whose members the military was sure would support their candidate, see Bethell and Castro (n 3) 165.

⁸ Bryan McCann, *The Throes of Democracy: Brazil since 1989* (Zed Books 2013) 12–13; Leslie Bethell and Jairo Nicolau, 'Politics in Brazil, 1985-2002' in Leslie Bethell (ed), *The Cambridge History of Latin America, Volume IX - Brazil since 1930* (Cambridge University Press 2008).

⁹ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 505.

¹⁰ Napolitano (n 3) 2-3.

Opinion is divided among those pointing out that Goulart suffered from a "decision-making paralysis", and was incapable of dealing with polarized conflicts, and those who think that merely the "radicalization of the actors" from the left and right was the driving factor that led to his downfall.¹¹

However, the coup was not merely the product of a political crisis¹² and Jango's core reforms were not necessarily destined to fail. Moreover, public opinion polls suggest that he had an approval rate of at least 46% among the population at the time.¹³ Yet, Goulart was "deeply distrusted"¹⁴ by segments of the military and the conservative elite as both of them saw him as an extreme leftist.

A member of the Brazilian Labour Party (*Partido Trabalhista Brasileiro* or PTB), Goulart was far from being a communist. Still, the military until this day calls their intervention on Brazilian politics as the "revolution of 1964"¹⁵, and considers it a necessary and justified action in order to protect democracy from Jango and his own inevitable communist revolution.¹⁶

The *coup d'état* was a multifaceted, complex, and volatile project. It represented an overwhelming victory for the conservative forces in the country outweighing relevant sectors of the society, such as the trade unions and student movement. It unified almost all the military forces and the republican institutions. Not a single shot was fired, but regardless this right-wing order managed to remove a president that had been elected democratically.¹⁷

More than a military rebellion, the coup demonstrated the power of a rather heterogeneous group of collaborators, including civilians, military, politicians, entrepreneurs, liberals, the middle class, and authoritarians. Anti-communism was a unifying factor in fighting Jango's reforms while raising the flags of legitimate defense of democracy and "western and Christian"¹⁸ values against "left-wing extremism".¹⁹

¹¹ Napolitano (n 6) 11–12.

¹² ibid 67.

¹³ Daniel Aarão Reis, *Ditadura e Democracia No Brasil: Do Golpe de 1964 à Constituição de 1988* (Zahar 2014); Napolitano (n 6) 45; Rodrigo Patto Sá Motta, 'The 1964 Coup and Dictatorship in Opinion Polls' (2015) 20 Tempo 1.

¹⁴ Napolitano (n 3) 2.

¹⁵ João Roberto Martins Filho, 'The War of Memory: The Brazilian Military Dictatorship According to Militants and Military Men' (2009) 36 Latin American Perspectives 89.

¹⁶ Napolitano (n 3) 3.

¹⁷ Reis (n 13) 21; Napolitano (n 6) 52.

¹⁸ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 509.

¹⁹ Napolitano (n 6) 49.

In a series of demonstrations, called the March of the Family with God for Freedom (*Marcha da Família com Deus pela Liberdade*), protesters swarmed the streets of all the major cities in Brazil²⁰. They gathered crowds in Anti-Goulart demonstrations with heavy Christian symbolism that defended "traditional values" and made clear "their repudiation of atheist and antinational Communism".²¹

In this sense, it is clear that the coup was sustained by a "broad social alliance"²² among civilians and the military that allowed the coup to be successful. As the coup evolved into a government, the rule of law and democracy shrunk and many of those who first backed the military started to withdraw their support (some later than others).

Even though the military always held the bulk of decision-making powers²³ the extent of civilian participation, both on the coup and in the subsequent government, led to a debate²⁴ on how to define the military in power. Dreifuss, for instance, classified the coup of 1964 as a "civilian military"²⁵ endeavor, emphasizing the participation of many political actors other than the military, as crucial to the coup. Similarly, some authors also qualified the regime itself as a civilian-military dictatorship²⁶ in reference to the complicity of the Brazilian society with the regime.²⁷ However, the majority still opts for define it as a military dictatorship, still acknowledging the tangled relationship between the military and civilians in the matter.²⁸

The military themselves were not a monolithic group. The armed forces held a long tradition of being involved in past governments²⁹, and among its different generations and even hierarchy represented a "wide variety of political ideologies".³⁰ The rivalry among different factions always emerged when it was time to select a new general to fill the presidency; however, the "disagreements between the rival factions within the armed power forces were not over power *per se*, but about what should be done with it".³¹

²⁰ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 509.

²¹ James N Green, Victoria Langland and Lilia Moritz Schwarcz, *The Brazil Reader: History, Culture, Politics* (Duke University Press 2018) 412.

²² Reis (n 13).

²³ Napolitano (n 6) 7.

²⁴Marcelo Ridenti, 'The Debate over Military (or Civilian-Military?) Dictatorship in Brazil in Historiographical Context' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 33.

²⁵ René Armand Dreifuss, 1964, a Conquista Do Estado: Ação Política, Poder e Golpe de Classe (Vozes 2006).

²⁶ Reis (n 13).

²⁷ Ridenti (n 24) 5.

²⁸ Napolitano (n 6).

²⁹ José M de Carvalho, Forças Armadas e Política No Brasil (Todavia 2019).

³⁰ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 515.

³¹ ibid.

From 1964 to 1985, the military Dictatorship ruled using emergency powers, repression, and controlling public information while adopting a modernization economic plan. Goulart's reformist agenda was replaced by another ideological and political model of a society and State, now with the military on command.³²

The investiture of General Castello Branco was a prelude to a complete change in Brazil's political system. This transformation was brought about by collaboration between the military and sectors of civilian society that wanted to implement a modernization project based on industrialization and economic growth, sustained by an openly dictatorial regime. This implied far-reaching changes to the structure of the state.³³

To fulfil its objectives, the Dictatorship relied on the creation (or re-orientation) of a legal framework and secret service designed to gather information and silence dissent through political repression and censorship.³⁴ This included the National Intelligence Service (SNI)³⁵, the DOI-Codi system (Department of Information Operations – Centre for Internal Defence Operations), the political police (Department of Political and Social Order or DOPS)³⁶, the Law of National Security (LSN)³⁷, and the creation of the Military Police (PM).³⁸

Starting in 1964, torture became widely adopted and used systematically by the regime as an interrogation technique. Three years later, cases of disappearances became recurrent after the Costa e Silva presidency in 1967. Already in 1972, Amnesty International published a report denouncing the use of torture by the armed forces in Brazil as a "instrument of power" as well the existence of death squads.³⁹ Many of these cases involved cover-ups, including the destruction of evidence to make the identification of the bodies impossible or by staging cases of suicide.⁴⁰

However, after the AI-5 (Institutional Act Five), the "killing machine" had risen to its fullest potential with torture and physical repression as methodical and coordinated large-scale tools.⁴¹ While in the first years of the Dictatorship, the targets were mainly left-wing activists that had

³² ibid 517.

³³ ibid 514.

³⁴ ibid.

³⁵ Law nº 4.341,13/06/1964

³⁶ Law n° 2304, 30/12/1924

³⁷ Decree-Law 314 (13/03/1967) and Decree-Law 898 (29/09/1969)

³⁸ Janaína de Almeida Teles, 'Democratic Transition and Conciliation: Human Rights and the Legacy of the Brazilian Dictatorship' in N Schneider (ed), *The Brazilian Truth Commission: Local, National and Global Perspectives* (Berghahn Books 2019) 86–87.

³⁹ 'Report on Allegations of Torture in Brazil ' (1972) 64 https://anistia.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Relatório-da-Tortura-1972.pdf> accessed 8 August 2020.

⁴⁰ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 528–529.

⁴¹ ibid 529.

supported João Goulart previously, with the AI-5 the military prioritized the targeting of students⁴² and the rising armed struggle, formed by a now clandestine left.⁴³

The so-called *anos de chumbo* (years of lead), characterized by institutionalized state repression, political exclusion, and gross human rights violations by the security apparatus had begun.⁴⁴

1.2 The Country is Being Swept by Strong Winds⁴⁵: The AI-5 and the anos de chumbo

The *anos de chumbo* (years of lead) represented the period in which the Dictatorship showed its brutal side more clearly. Before the AI-5, the regime usually avoided the explicit use of repression, especially against artists, intellectuals and the press, preferring to maintain part of the civil support as a way to legitimize the coup while still fighting dissent and critics.

The AI-5, approved on 13 December of 1968, was a game-changer. It marked the beginning of a period in which the Dictatorship used violence in a systematic way and would represent the closure of the public sphere. Censorship, torture and the disappearance of political prisoners peaked.⁴⁶ Based on this legal framework, the military closed the congress and increased the broad and discretionary powers of the regime. The state of exception⁴⁷ was clearer than ever before as the Dictatorship went from an "embarrassed" one to be "wide open" about its true nature.⁴⁸

The AI-5 suspended habeas corpus, freedom of expression and freedom of reunion; it permitted peremptory dismissals, the annulment of mandates and citizens' rights; and it determined that political trials would be conducted by military courts, with no right to appeal. It was imposed in a time of political unrest and increasingly hostile opposition activity. There had been student protests, strikes, pronouncements by pre-1964 political leaders, and the beginning of armed resistance by the revolutionary left.⁴⁹

⁴² Victoria Langland, Speaking of Flowers: Student Movements and the Making and Remembering of 1968 in Military Brazil (2013).

⁴³ Skidmore (n 3) 85.

⁴⁴ Langland (n 42) 5.

⁴⁵ On 14 December 1968, one day after the approval of the AI-5, in the *Jornal do Brasil* newspaper the weather forecast appeared on the front page: "Stormy Weather. Suffocating Temperature. Air unbreathable. The country is being swept by strong winds". In reality, it was a sunny day with a bright blue sky.
⁴⁶ Napolitano (n 6) 71.

⁴⁷ Giorgio Agamben, *State of Exception* (University of Chicago Press 2005).

⁴⁸ Gaspari, A Ditadura Envergonhada: As Ilusões Armadas (n 3); Gaspari, A Ditadura Escancarada: As Ilusões Armadas (n 3).

⁴⁹ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 522.

The "military extreme right", who would assume the executive with Costa e Silva and Geisel, adopted direct police violence and the use of paralegal instruments, such as the promulgation of institutional acts and a new constitution in 1967, as the opposition to the regime would take shape in the students movements and the clandestine left. Often described as a "coup within a coup" ushering in the "hardline" branch to power during the military regime⁵⁰, the AI-5 is better explained as the culmination of the military plan of establishing the legality of a state of exception.

Emboldened by a legal framework in support of their arbitrary measures, the military successfully created further limitations on the congress and the judiciary, as it "legalized the punishment of dissidents, prevented the opposition from organizing, and restricted any kind of political participation".⁵¹

The student movement had faded in 1968⁵² and were prevented from demonstrating in the years that followed. The repressive approach of the government made the armed struggle one of the few options for the resistance of a now clandestine left. Soon many small guerrilla groups were formed throughout the country, such as the *Guerrilha do Araguaia*,⁵³ but by 1976 these opposition groups had been practically decimated.⁵⁴

Even if they were not even close to harming the military given the inequality of arms, the action of these groups⁵⁵ had other effects, especially in the international news, demonstrating the systematic use of torture and politically-motivated incarceration in Brazil, undermining the dictatorship's standing in international relations.⁵⁶ Simultaneously, "advocacy networks" composed by organizations such as the Humans Rights Watch and the Amnesty International along with Brazilians exiles worked on denouncing the human rights violations by the military regime, especially towards the US foreign policy establishment.⁵⁷

⁵⁰ Reis (n 13) 72–73.

⁵¹ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 522–523.

⁵² Langland (n 42).

⁵³ Janaína de Almeida Teles, 'The Araguaia Guerrilla War (1972–1974): Armed Resistance to the Brazilian Dictatorship' (2017) 44 Latin American Perspectives 30.

⁵⁴ In *Gomes Lund and others v. Brazil* the Inter-American Court of Human Rights condemned the Brazilian state for the human rights violations regarding the disappearances, torture and prison of more than seventy members of the guerrilla, see chapter 3.

⁵⁵ The most famous being the kidnap of Charles Burke Elbrick, the U.S. Ambassador to Brazil at the time, later exchanged by the liberty of political prisoners.

⁵⁶ de Almeida Teles (n 38) 91.

⁵⁷ Juliana T de S Martins and Anthony W Pereira, 'The Politics of Human Rights' in Barry Amers (ed), *Routledge Handbook of Brazilian Politics* (Routledge 2018) 505; James N Green, *We Cannot Remain Silent* (Duke University Press 2010).

The *anos de chumbo* marked the period in which the Dictatorship would complete its transformation into a police state. At the same time, it would also show the capacity of the opposition to take to the streets and converge the actions of the left-wing guerrilla, the mass movements and cultural contestation.⁵⁸

Over the years the Dictatorship built a heavy repressive machine against society based on the tripod of vigilance, censorship and repression⁵⁹. The government's objective was to ban any cultural manifestation or opinion against the regime, while trying to control the public opinion and cultural production, as well as manipulating the coverage of the press of certain events. The pressure of the government drove many politicians, artists and intellectuals into exile.⁶⁰

However, why did the government give so much importance to having a legal framework to rule if they could have just assumed the executive *de facto*? The "legal facade" of the Dictatorship was significant for two reasons according to Napolitano⁶¹: 1) it would avoid the rupture between different military leaders; 2) it would allow a process of "authoritarian normalization" with some predictability to the actions of the government and consequently a stabilization of the regime.

The military leadership knew that the regime would not be sustainable in the long run if it continued resorting to the level of violence that marked the *anos de chumbo*. They would adopt a doctrine of "institutional authoritarianism" trying to control the political system and the society utilizing the states institutions while continuing to deploy the secret services more selectively. In this sense, the institutional acts were among the elements that structured the regime, but at the same time avoided the concentrations of power in only one political figure.

The state mechanisms to censor and repress used in the *anos de chumbo* only began to be dismantled in 1976, when the political cost for its use would jeopardize the plan of "political normalization" and institutionalization of the regime.⁶² A process of "gradual redemocratization then led to a reduction in political repression, which occurred slowly, and not always continuously".⁶³ On 31 December 1978, the AI-5 was annulled by president Geisel and

⁵⁸ Napolitano (n 6) 94.

⁵⁹ ibid 131.

⁶⁰ Denise Rollemberg and Timothy Thompson, 'The Brazilian Exile Experience: Remaking Identities' (2007) 34 Latin American Perspectives 81; Mario Sznajder and Luis Roniger, 'Political Exile in Latin America' (2007) 34 Latin American Perspectives 7, 18–21.

⁶¹ Napolitano (n 6) 80.

⁶² ibid 143.

⁶³ Schwarcz and Starling (n 3) 551.

the period of transition would begin, marked both by the approval of an Amnesty Law and a new constitution.

1.3 A Controlled Transition and the Rebirth of Civil Society: The Amnesty Law and *Diretas Já* movement

It was 11 February 1979, and Santos and Corinthians were facing each other on what seemed another regular Sunday of football in Brazil. However, that day was special. Among the *Gaviões da Fiel*, supporters of Corinthians, there was a huge banner calling for a "broad, general, and unrestricted amnesty".⁶⁴ The scene, broadcasted on nationwide television and printed on the papers next day, was an example (and symbol) of how the amnesty cause was able to unify the opposition and reach the general public with large-scale protests in the streets and many political rallies.⁶⁵

The Committees for Amnesty were organized throughout the country, infusing the society with manifestos, meetings and protests as they demanded a "broad, general and unrestricted amnesty". Some sectors of the left went further, calling for the dismantling of the institutions of repression and the prosecutions of the torturers. Others, such as the Brazilian Democratic Movement (MDB) were more realistic, as they thought the amnesty would not be possible without a pact with the conservative forces, and that demands like the prosecution of state agents would not be possible.⁶⁶

The military, on the other hand, was trying to frame the conflict with the clandestine left with its own "two demons" theory, arguing that "both sides" should be amnestied, even though this was far from the truth as the inequality of arms between sides was more than evident⁶⁷. In the end, through political maneuvering, the regime approved an Amnesty law on 28 August 1979 that would guarantee a reciprocal amnesty for both state agents and the resistance.

Nevertheless, it was an important step to the end of the regime. The "summer of amnesty"⁶⁸, between 1979 and 1980, saw the return of many relevant cultural and political figures to the

⁶⁴ ibid 552.

⁶⁵ ibid.

⁶⁶ Reis (n 13) 73–95.

⁶⁷ ibid 73–94.

⁶⁸ ibid 9–10.

country from their exile, and a new party-political structure that would influence the country in the (re)construction of the democracy until the present day.

This project was also influenced by an international relations dimension, with European allies of the government increasingly ashamed of maintaining ties to a state that adopted torture as official policy. For example, in 1976, with the election of Jimmy Carter, the United States, who in 1964 played a role in supporting the coup⁶⁹, changed the tone and started criticizing the Latin American dictatorships.⁷⁰

The fight for amnesty was a huge victory for the social movements, and represented the rebirth of civil society in Brazil, led by feminist initiatives such as the *Movimento Feminino pela Anistia* (Feminist Amnesty Movement or MFA)⁷¹ and a new trade unionism.⁷² However, the "broad, general and unrestricted amnesty" motto was skillfully used by the military. The amnesty (and the transition) were negotiated with the return to a democratic state in mind⁷³, which allowed the military to claim that, in the name of national reconciliation⁷⁴, the crimes of torturers were political ones, and therefore covered by the amnesty.

The approval of the Amnesty Law in 1979, kicked-off the dictatorship's strategy for a controlled political transition. After the *anos de chumbo*, the governments of Ernesto Geisel (1974-79) and João Figueiredo (1979-85) pursued the "slow, gradual and secure" liberalization of the regime.⁷⁵

The transition in Brazil began as "regime-initiate liberalization", and as Stepan notes, contained "the strongest elements of elite initiation and elite transaction".⁷⁶ This project entailed the gradual transfer of power to civilians while not jeopardizing the interests of a conservative elite.

⁶⁹ Matias Spektor, 'The United States and the 1964 Brazilian Military Coup' [2018] Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Latin American History 1; Anthony W Pereira, 'The US Role in the 1964 Coup in Brazil: A Reassessment' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 5.

 ⁷⁰ Kathryn Sikkink, *Mixed Signals: U.S. Human Rights Policy and Latin America* (Cornell University Press 2007).
 ⁷¹ Sonia E Alvarez, *Engendering Democracy in Brazil: Women's Movements in Transition Politics* (Princeton)

University Press 1990) 94.

⁷² Paulo Fontes and Larissa R Corrêa, 'Labor and Dictatorship in Brazil: A Historiographical Review' (2018) 93 International Labor and Working-Class History 27, 32.

⁷³ Paulo Ribeiro da Cunha, 'Militares e Anistia No Brasil: Um Dueto Desarmônico' in V Safatle and E Teles (eds), *O Que Resta da Ditadura: A Exceção Brasileira* (Boitempo Editorial 2019) 35–36.

⁷⁴ Flávia Piovesan, 'Direito Internacional Dos Direitos Humanos e Lei de Anistia: O Caso Brasileiro' in V Safatle and E Teles (eds), *O Que Resta da Ditadura: A Exceção Brasileira* (Boitempo Editorial 2019) 99–100.

 ⁷⁵ Adriano Codato, 'A Political History of the Brazilian Transition: From Military Dictatorship to Democracy' (2005) 2 Revista de Sociologia e Política 83, 16.

⁷⁶ Alfred C Stepan, *Democratizing Brazil: Problems of Transition and Consolidation* (Oxford University Press 1989) VII.

At the same time, the military ensured they kept influence in key areas of the state structure and avoided the criminal responsibility for crimes committed during the regime.⁷⁷

In this sense, the special character of the Brazilian transition was the dialectic between regime concessions and societal conquest, with a historical awakening of civil society as an essential factor for the democratization of the country, involving actors such as women's groups, entrepreneurs, lawyer associations, church linked organizations and the press.⁷⁸

It is hard to pinpoint where this awakening exactly began. However, the murder of journalist Vladimir Herzog while kept in prison by the military represent a turning point to an opposition uprising.⁷⁹ This process reached its peak with the *Diretas Já* (Direct Elections Now) campaign with a huge mobilization of the masses by the opposition forces.⁸⁰

The campaign was built around the Dante de Oliveira Amendment⁸¹ that would change the constitution and allow direct elections for the presidency in 1985. It is commonly described as the main example of the resurgence of civil society in Brazil, including the rising new trade unionism, social movements and political leaders of a broad range of ideologies pursuing the return to democracy after years of authoritarianism.⁸²

Nevertheless, the military still had the upper hand in the negotiations concerning the transition, at least in the congress, and were able to block the Dante de Oliveira Amendment.⁸³ The new president would be elected by the congress in an indirect election that chose the moderate politician Tancredo Neves. He would never assume the presidency as he passed away just before taking office, paving the way for José Sarney, a conservative politician that stood with the military for years, and originally elected as a vice president, to become the first civilian president after the many years of Dictatorship.

Nery argues that the *Diretas Já*, became a mere instrument for opposition politicians to negotiate a transition directly with the military after the failure to approve the direct election

⁷⁷ Anderson Deo, 'Uma Transição à Long Terme: A Institucionalização Da Autocracia Burguesa No Brasil' in M Pinheiro (ed), *Ditadura: o que resta da transição* (Boitempo Editorial 2015).

⁷⁸ Stepan (n 76).

⁷⁹ Skidmore (n 3) 176–177.

⁸⁰ Bethell and Castro (n 3) 223.

⁸¹ A constitutional amendment proposed by PMDB deputy Dante de Oliveira that would ensure direct elections in 1985.

⁸² Skidmore (n 1) 240–244; for a critical analysis of the movement see Vanderlei Elias Nery, 'Diretas Já: Mobilização de Massas Com Direção Burguesa' in M Pinheiro (ed), *Ditadura: o que resta da transição* (Boitempo Editorial 2015).

⁸³ Bethell and Castro (n 3) 223–224.

for a president in 1985.⁸⁴ In this sense, even though it had brought millions to the streets, it did not reach its potential in going further with the democratization of the country.⁸⁵

The "conciliatory" reasoning of transition worked against a more radical transformation of the Brazilian society post-dictatorship, demonstrating the "conservative character of the Brazilian modernization".⁸⁶ The transition was pact-based and mostly controlled by the military, the conservative elite and the moderate politicians, or at least with their objectives and desires in mind, as shown by the Amnesty Law and later in the Constitution of 1988.

Nevertheless, 1979 was a pivotal year in the process of transition to democracy in Brazil. Some authors, considering the approval of the amnesty law and the abrogation of the Institutional acts, consider it the end of the Dictatorship⁸⁷, while the majority consider 1985, when the military step down from power (with the first election of a civilian for president in 21 years) as the definitive mark for the end of the Dictatorship.⁸⁸

The transition would officially end in 1988 with the approval of a new Constitution, commonly described as the 'Citizen's Constitution'. It was celebrated as the full reestablishment of a democratic regime in Brazil. The cycle of the Dictatorship was closed cordially.⁸⁹

Despite being a democratic state and achieving significant political and economic achievements, Brazil failed to reckon with its authoritarian past in the following decades. All the transitional justice measures adopted by the state were either too late or limited, as we are going to discuss in subsequent chapters. The lack of public scrutiny and condemnation of the military dictatorship enabled new forms of authoritarianism to rise when the country faced intense polarisation in its politics again. Not only inspired by the military but with them, Bolsonaro's far-right populism thrived on the absence of a collective national memory on its authoritarian past.

⁸⁴ Nery (n 82).

⁸⁵ Napolitano (n 3) 16.

⁸⁶ Deo (n 77).

⁸⁷ Reis (n 13); Marco A Villa, *Ditadura À Brasileira: 1964 - 1985 - A Democracia Golpeada à Esquerda e à Direita* (Leya 2014).

⁸⁸ Gaspari, A Ditadura Acabada (n 3); Napolitano (n 6); Skidmore (n 3).

⁸⁹ Reis (n 13) 16.

1.4 Bolsonaro: The military back in power through democratic elections

Jair Messias Bolsonaro was once an unknow captain in the armed forces. That changed in 1986 when he published an article in the big national magazine *Veja* criticizing the remunerations of the armed forces during José Sarney's government, the first president after the military dictatorship. One year later, he would appear again in the same magazine, now in a more polemic way.⁹⁰

The story featured by *Veja* accused Bolsonaro as being the co-author of the "*Beco sem saída*" (Blind alley) plan that had the objective of setting bombs in several military locations in order to pressure the government to raise the salary of the army. His case ended up in the Military Superior Tribunal (*Superior Tribunal Militar* or STM), in which he was absolved. The journalist Maklouf Carvalho⁹¹ argues that his acquittal, denying the media investigation and also preserving the image of the army, was conditioned to his discharge. Six months after the end of the trial, Bolsonaro retired from the army and paved his way to be elected city councilman for Rio de Janeiro.

Later, in 1990, he was elected for the National Congress, where he stayed for seven terms and almost twenty-eight years. As a Federal Deputy, Bolsonaro was part of the so-called *Baixo Clero* (Low Clergy) formed by deputies of the smaller parties, without much influence in the Congress and generally despised by the political leaders, except when they need a quorum for a specific vote.⁹²

During Bolsonaro's lackluster years at the National Congress, he was more famous for his mouth and persona than for his work, being the author of only two bills and by focusing more as being a representative of military interests in the Congress⁹³. He collected controversies, not only by praising torture and the military dictatorship publicly but also with homophobic and racist rants or by saying to a leftist congresswoman: "I would never rape you because you do not deserve it".⁹⁴

⁹⁰ Luiz M Carvalho, O Cadete e o Capitão: A Vida de Jair Bolsonaro No Quartel (Todavia 2019).
⁹¹ ibid.

⁹² Thaís Oyama, Tormenta: O Governo Bolsonaro: Crises, Intrigas e Segredos (Companhia das Letras 2020) 9.

⁹³ 'Em 26 Anos, Bolsonaro Apresentou 171 Projetos; Dois Foram Aprovados' (*GaúchaZH*, 2017) https://gauchazh.clicrbs.com.br/politica/noticia/2017/07/em-26-anos-bolsonaro-apresentou-171-projetos-dois-foram-aprovados-9850750.html accessed 17 June 2020.

⁹⁴ Anna Jean Kaiser, 'Woman Who Bolsonaro Insulted: "Our President-Elect Encourages Rape" (*The Guardian*, 2018) https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/dec/23/maria-do-rosario-jair-bolsonaro-brazil-rape accessed 17 July 2020.

For Bolsonaro, playing the role of the anti-political correctness hero already paid-off in the 2014 elections when he was the most voted congressman from the state of Rio de Janeiro, with almost half a million votes. The newspaper *El País* described him as "the caricature of an extreme right-wing candidate" that had multiplied by four his votes comparing with his last reelection, as the "Bolsonaro Phenomenon".⁹⁵

Nevertheless, four years later, when he decided to run for president no one predicted his victory or even considered him a serious candidate, what is shown by the fact he did not receive the support of any of the traditional parties and, running for the irrelevant PSL, had mere 8 seconds of public electoral television time.⁹⁶

However, in what can be considered the most surprising elections in Brazilian history, Bolsonaro and the far-right populism emerged victorious in what can be considered a "disruptive election"⁹⁷. Beyond the unmatched level of polarization since the democratization, the presidential elections of 2018 were also marked by the prison of ex-president Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva⁹⁸ months before the election, when he was the favorite to win according to the pools.⁹⁹ In addition, Bolsonaro was stabbed at a campaign rally.¹⁰⁰

Even though he was at that point already a career politician, with three of his sons also holding elected representative positions, Bolsonaro labeled himself as an outsider. The lack of support of traditional parties and politicians helped him to sustain this narrative at the cost of television time and party structure. Bolsonaro's campaign was able to overcome this, especially after he was stabbed, which brought him unprecedented media coverage.¹⁰¹

The event not only brought millions of followers to his digital platforms but also had dislocated the campaign to the internet, where Bolsonaro outperformed his adversaries. Moura and Corbellini¹⁰² explain that his victory was not only a consequence of Bolsonaro's assault, as pre-established factors contributed to it such as 1) the demoralization of the political elite and the

 ⁹⁵Francho Barón, 'O Inquietante "Fenômeno Bolsonaro" (*El País*, 2014)
 https://brasil.elpais.com/brasil/2014/10/07/politica/1412684374_628594.html accessed 17 July 2020.
 ⁹⁶ Maurício Moura and Juliano Corbellini, *A Eleição Disruptiva: Por Que Bolsonaro Venceu* (Record 2019)

^{126–129.}

⁹⁷ Moura and Corbellini (n 96).

⁹⁸ In the polemic judiciary-led Car-Wash operation (*Lava Jato*), see chapter 4

⁹⁹ 'Lula: Brazil's Jailed Ex-Leader Barred from Presidential Race by Electoral Court' (*BBC News*, 1 September 2018) https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-45380237> accessed 9 August 2020.

¹⁰⁰ Dom Phillips, 'Jair Bolsonaro: Brazil Presidential Frontrunner Stabbed at Campaign Rally' (*The Guardian*, 7 September 2018) https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/sep/06/brazil-jair-bolsonaro-far-right-presidential-candidate-stabbed> accessed 9 August 2020.

¹⁰¹ Moura and Corbellini (n 96) 1432.

¹⁰² ibid 233–238.

traditional parties by corruption scandals; 2) the public security crisis that was perceived now as an important national question; 3) the growing relevance of social media, in particular *WhatsApp*, that changed the way of running a campaign completely.

In power, Bolsonaro's "culturally conservative and economically neoliberal"¹⁰³ agenda has the military as one of its significant warrantors. In his own words, a "completely militarized"¹⁰⁴ cabinet where by June 2020, nine out of the twenty-two ministers were from the military (including the police).¹⁰⁵ The participation of members of the armed forces in the government exceeds those during the military dictatorship, in what some predict to be around three thousand posts. That motivated the TCU - *Tribunal de Contas da União* (Supreme Audit Agency) to order a mapping and find out the exact number, considering it could represent "a distortion of the role of the armed forces".¹⁰⁶

The election of Bolsonaro and his vice-president General Hamilton Mourão represented the return of the military to the center of Brazilian politics and a victory of far-right populism. Personified in Bolsonaro, the success of the far-right in Brazil, is closely tied with the military and the legacy of their dictatorship. For this reason, to understand how the military managed to get back in power through the popular vote its necessary to study the process of transitional justice in Brazil and the formation of collective memory on its authoritarian past. In the next chapter, we deal with the theoretical aspects of how transitional justice measures can help to shape collective memories.

¹⁰³ Ariel Alejandro Goldstein, 'The New Far-Right in Brazil and the Construction of a Right-Wing Order' (2019) 46 Latin American Perspectives 245, 258.

¹⁰⁴ Láis Lis, 'TCU Decide Apurar Quantos Militares Ocupam Cargos Civis No Governo Bolsonaro' (*G1 Política*, 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/06/17/tcu-decide-apurar-quantos-militares-ocupam-cargos-civis-no-governo-bolsonaro.ghtml>.

¹⁰⁵ Guilherme Mazui, 'Com Escolha de Braga Netto, Só Militares Ocupam Gabinetes de Ministros No Planalto' (*G1 Política*, 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/02/13/com-nomeacao-de-braga-neto-somilitares-ocupam-gabinetes-de-ministros-no-planalto.ghtml> accessed 17 July 2020.

¹⁰⁶ Matheus Simoni, 'TCU Decide Levantar Número de Militares No Governo Bolsonaro' (*Metro1*, 2020) https://www.metro1.com.br/noticias/politica/93514,tcu-decide-levantar-numero-de-militares-no-governo-bolsonaro> accessed 17 July 2020.

CHAPTER 2 - Dealing With an Authoritarian Past: Transitional justice mechanisms and the collective dimensions of memory

Transitional justice emerged as a way for societies to make sense of a violent past as they shift from conflict and authoritarianism to democracy. The different groups involved in such conflicts many times have contrasting views on the past while developing their collective memories of 'what happened'.

In this sense, the politics of memory offers a useful perspective on how transitional justice mechanisms, such as trials and truth commissions, influence the formation of collective memories of different groups. It shows how they can be stitched by legal or quasi-legal mechanisms, forming shared understandings of the past with long-term political implications, even though the process of memory-making is an everlasting one.

This chapter is structured in the following way: The first three parts are dedicated to theoretical aspects of transitional justice and collective memory, arguing how the transitional justice framework can offer a critical opportunity to form a shared understanding of the past in post-authoritarian scenarios. The fourth part deals with how transitional justice mechanisms can foster collective memories. The fifth part argues for importance of societies to reckon with their authoritarian and violent past. Lastly, this chapter summarizes how the politics of memory can influence the process of democratization of a country.

2.1 Transitional Justice: Reckoning with the past

The concept of "transitional justice"¹⁰⁷ *per se* appeared only in the mid-1990s, and the existence of an apparent field can be observed after the turn of the 21st century.¹⁰⁸ Paige Arthur, for instance, in her conceptual history of transitional justice, describes its origins emerging from

¹⁰⁷ There are different approaches to pinpoint the origins of transitional justice that range from Elter's analysis of historical precedents that include transitions policies that go back as far as ancient Athens, to other authors such as Teitel who traces back the origin of transitional justice to the end of the great wars and the Nuremberg trials, see Jon Elster, *Closing the Books: Transitional Justice in Historical Perspective* (2004); Ruti G Teitel, 'Human Rights in Transition: Transitional Justice Genealogy' (2003) 16 Harvard Human Rights Journal 69.

¹⁰⁸ Christine Bell, 'Transitional Justice, Interdisciplinarity and the State of the "Field" or "Non-Field" (2008) 3 International Journal of Transitional Justice 5, 7.

the transitions from authoritarian rule in Eastern Europe and Latin America in the late 1980s and early 1990s.¹⁰⁹

This period is marked by "accelerated democratization and political fragmentation"¹¹⁰ in the midst of what Huntington famously described as the third wave of democratization,¹¹¹ which includes the wave of liberalization with the transitions in the southern cone of South America and the collapse of the Soviet Union.

The new democracies emerging were faced with how to deal with past regimes and which justice policy would be adopted. The prior experience of the Nuremberg Trials based on postwar international justice was questioned as to whether it would be the best option for the still fragile democracies in the middle of tension to decide between punishment and amnesty.¹¹²

The deliberations over justice in transition are best understood when situated in the actual political realities and in the transitional political context, which included the features of the predecessor regime as well as political, juridical, and social contingencies. The feasibility of pursuing justice and its ability to contribute to transitional rule of law depended upon the scale of prior wrongdoings, as well as the extent to which they were systemic or state-sponsored.¹¹³

In this sense, these regimes had profound dilemmas on the extent to which "imposing transitional criminal justice" would contribute to the reestablishment of the rule of law. At the same time, these dilemmas went beyond the accountability of the human rights violations of predecessor regimes as they included a dimension of reconciliation and healing of the society. This favored the option for new methods for truth-seeking and accountability and the preoccupation with the political conditions that would allow nation-building.¹¹⁴

While there are many definitions for transitional justice, they all tend to "view transitional justice as the attempt to deal with past violence in societies undergoing or attempting some form of political transition"¹¹⁵ or "to refer to societies that are transitioning from conflict to peace or from authoritarian rule to democratic rule".¹¹⁶

¹⁰⁹ Paige Arthur, 'How "Transitions" Reshaped Human Rights: A Conceptual History of Transitional Justice' (2009) 31 Human Rights Quarterly 321.

¹¹⁰ Teitel (n 107) 71.

¹¹¹ Samuel Huntington, *The Third Wave: Democratization in the Late Twentieth Century* (University of Oklahoma Press 1993).

¹¹² Teitel (n 107) 75–76.

¹¹³ Teitel (n 107).

¹¹⁴ ibid 76–77.

¹¹⁵ Bell (n 108) 7.

¹¹⁶ Kirsten J Fisher, 'Defining a Relationship between Transitional Justice and Jus Post Bellum: A Call and an Opportunity for Post-Conflict Justice' [2018] Journal of International Political Theory 5.

Initially, transitional justice was characterized as the "legal responses to confront the wrongdoing of repressive predecessor regimes".¹¹⁷ However, the development of the field and its mechanisms allowed it to become an increasingly far-reaching concept, that stretches beyond legal responses promoting both "backward-looking and forward-looking justice".¹¹⁸

The importance of human rights, in particular the necessity of their efficacy in governing conduct needing to be (re)established, is deeply important to the field of transitional justice. The new political order emerging ought to commit to these rights – and protect them – while the previous regime, which publicly sanctioned violence, must be rejected.¹¹⁹

With the emergence of transitional justice mechanisms, the field has faced a dilemma between truth and justice.¹²⁰ This can be seen in human rights terms vis-à-vis conflicts between the right to truth¹²¹ (concerning the victims) and the duty to investigate (concerning the state). The core dynamic of this dilemma demonstrates the co-existence and conflict "among justice, history and memory".¹²²

At its broadest understanding, transitional justice can involve "anything that a society devises to deal with a legacy of conflict and/or widespread human rights violations".¹²³ This includes how to portray the authoritarian past in high school textbooks, the creation of memorials, museums and days of mourning, the reform of the judiciary and criminal codes, and even policies to tackle inequality.¹²⁴

Moreover, as a consequence of this broad canvas, the notion of transitional measures expanded even further, gaining importance in discussions involving reconciliation, democratization, truth-telling, and historical inquiry.

This expansion has also meant that transitional justice implies a "non-linear approach to time".¹²⁵ Transitional justice measures often revisit the past as a way to move forward:

[...] transitions are rare periods of rupture which offer a choice among contested narratives. The paradoxical goal in transition is to undo history. The aim is to reconceive

¹¹⁷ Teitel (n 107) 69.

¹¹⁸ Fisher (n 116) 4.

 ¹¹⁹ Colleen Murphy, *The Conceptual Foundations of Transitional Justice* (Cambridge University Press 2017) 18.
 ¹²⁰ Naomi Roht–Arriaza, 'The New Landscape of Transitional Justice' in Naomi Roht–Arriaza and Javier Mariezcurrena (eds), *Transitional Justice in the Twenty-First Century: Beyond Truth Versus Justice* (2006) 8; Bronwyn Leebaw, 'The Irreconcilable Goals of Transitional Justice' (2016) 2 The New Legal Realism 201, 103.
 ¹²¹ Alice M Panepinto, 'The Right to the Truth in International Law: The Significance of Strasbourg's Contributions' (2017) 37 Legal Studies 739.

 $^{^{122}}$ Teitel (n 107) 81.

¹²³ Roht–Arriaza (n 120) 2.

¹²⁴ ibid.

¹²⁵ Teitel (n 107) 86.

the social meaning of past conflicts, particularly defeats, in an attempt to reconstruct their present and future effects.¹²⁶

Sharp, for instance, highlights how transitional justice mechanisms and their interventions presume a "period of rupture in which some kind of extraordinary justice"¹²⁷ can be carried out. Transitions, in a sense, present an opportunity to contest historical narratives: the framework of transitional justice can be used to form new shared understandings of the past and build new collective memories about the previous regime with many social and political implications.

2.2 Politics of Memory and Transitional Justice

The transitional justice field is anchored in a 'dealing with the past' approach where different mechanisms are deployed in a complementary way assuming that seeking truth, identifying and punishing perpetrators, building memorials to victims, setting reparations programmes, among others measures, have a positive impact on bringing legitimacy and stability to a democratic order.¹²⁸

Meanwhile, societies in transition tend to have deeply divided and often radically different conceptions of 'what happened'. Narratives can be constructed in different ways by each group, with dissonant interpretations on the images of the parts involved and the conflict itself. In this sense, the transitional period (and the transitional justice mechanisms) are vital in finding and establishing a "minimal core of shared truths" as they can forge a process of "reconstructing the basis of a shared understanding of the past and future".¹²⁹

Periods of political transition show the intricate links between memory, truth, and justice.¹³⁰ For this reason, the field of transitional justice can be enriched by the insights of the memory studies to add "historical and contextual depth" to its approach.¹³¹

¹²⁷ Dustin N Sharp, *Re-Thinking Transitional Justice for the 21st Century* (Cambridge University Press 2018) 6.

¹²⁸ Rosemary Nagy, 'Transitional Justice as Global Project: Critical Reflections' (2008) 29 Third World Quarterly 275, 276; Mihaela Mihai, 'Transitional Justice and the Quest for Democracy: A Contribution to a Political Theory of Democratic Transformations' (2010) 23 Ratio Juris 183, 187; Jelena Subotic, 'Expanding the Scope of Post-Conflict Justice: Individual, State and Societal Responsibility for Mass Atrocity' (2011) 48 Journal of Peace Research 157, 158.

¹²⁶ ibid 86-87.

¹²⁹ Susanne Karstedt, 'Introduction: The Legacy of Maurice Halbwachs' in S Karstedt (ed), *Legal Institutions and Collective Memories* (Hart 2009) 7–10.

¹³⁰ ibid 1–2.

¹³¹ Alexandra Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (2010) 15 South European Society and Politics 359, 359.

Through the perspective of the politics of memory, transitional justice mechanisms are deployed in a specific period of the whole process in which a "society interprets its past in a post-authoritarian context".¹³² In this sense, the politics of memory are intertwined with transitional justice policies – and their mechanisms – but differs from them qualitatively and temporally.¹³³

The 'politics of memory' refers to the various ways that political elites, social groups and institutions reinterpret the past and the breakdown of civility and propagate new interpretative narratives about the 'what happened' to legitimate a new political dispensation and develop a new vision of the future for the polity.¹³⁴

In the lens of memory studies, the collective and social aspect of memory is highlighted, as it can "shift the boundaries, and patterns, of social and political inclusion and exclusion, thus marking new social and political continuities and discontinuities", shaping in this process both the present and the future of these societies.¹³⁵

Thus, the politics of memory can help observe the memory-process unchained by postauthoritarian transitions in a broader historical context. Many times, memories created a long time ago can determine how certain groups justify their actions in the present day.¹³⁶

Therefore, the insights of memory studies opens the possibility of reframing transitional justice as part of a "continuous process generating ongoing cycles of social memory-making".¹³⁷ Political transitions present a scenario where there is no social consensus on the former authoritarian regime, and where transitional justice mechanisms, such as trials and truth commissions, can generate a relatively homogenous narrative of the past.

At the same time, transitional justice seems progressively to be recognized as a continuous process of transformation, not delimited by a set of interventions but recognized as an "openended process of reckoning".¹³⁸ This is essential if we are to understand the power involved in pairing transitional justice with the politics of memory.

Moreover, intertwining the transitional justice perspective with the politics of memory can help in comprehending how societies can, at least momentarily, choose amnesia instead of the

¹³² ibid 360.

¹³³ ibid 360.

¹³⁴ ibid 360.

¹³⁵ ibid 360-361.

¹³⁶ ibid 361.

¹³⁷ ibid 364.

¹³⁸ Laurel E Fletcher, Harvey M Weinstein and Jamie Rowen, 'Context, Timing and the Dynamics of Transitional Justice: A Historical Perspective' (2009) 31 Human Rights Quarterly 163, 416–417.

pursuit of justice, considering the political situation the new regime faces. In this sense, the insights of memory studies can mitigate the problems of an "overly abstract" normative view of transitional justice.¹³⁹

Even though the memory-making process is an everlasting one, the period of transition represents a time in which "consensus breaks down" and new "foundational values need to be restated and reworked".¹⁴⁰ Here the transitional justice mechanisms contribute to shaping memories, in a process that otherwise happens holistically, and can strengthen the stability and legitimacy of a new regime.

The birds-eye perspective of the politics of memory can be used to decipher the long-term effects of transitional justice mechanisms and the political use of their findings. The outcomes of transitional justice mechanisms such as trials, truth commissions, and amnesty laws have a fundamental and pragmatic aspect, which is paving the way for different groups involved in the conflict to 'move on'. However, the maintenance of these narratives, in the long term, faces "cognitive battles",¹⁴¹ which shows "there is not one 'truth' but various competing 'truths' that will compete to gain ascendancy, and the dominance of one' narrative' over another may shift with the passage of time".¹⁴²

The facts and the truth recovered in transitional periods "that have been an undercurrent in social life and society finally surface into the public realm and collective memory consciousness",¹⁴³ but with time, the narratives can change as well as their political uses. For this reason, it is essential to understand how collective memories work.

2.3 The Collective Dimensions of Memory

Maurice Halbwachs is recognized as the theorist who coined the term 'collective memory' in the early 1920s.¹⁴⁴ The French philosopher and historian's most significant contribution was to acknowledge the social and collective dimension of memory. For him, our memories are not

¹⁴² Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 365.

¹³⁹ Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 365.

¹⁴⁰ ibid 364.

¹⁴¹ Eviatar Zerubavel, Social Mindscapes: An Invitation to Cognitive Sociology (Harvard University Press 1997).

¹⁴³ Karstedt (n 129) 21.

¹⁴⁴ Maurice Halbwachs, La Mémoire Collective (A Michel 1997).

neutral, or merely a recalling of facts; instead, they are embedded within groups with which we are affiliated and by other social and cultural aids as well.

The central idea in his take on memory is that no human lives are socially isolated; in fact, the opposite is true; all human activities are socially constructed. For Halbwachs, memories are more than a simple imprint: they are recollections where there is an effective selection and reconstruction of the past that are inevitably influenced by our social interchanges.¹⁴⁵

Therefore, collective memories are formed and recollected by a "dynamic social process" where our different affiliations can determine how we remember our own history.¹⁴⁶ In this sense, memories are both "flexible and multilayered" as they adopt their true meaning from the perspective of a "broader social, cultural, or historical context".¹⁴⁷ The process of memorialization fluctuates over time in the politics of memory.

The work of Halbwachs acquired fresh relevance during the so-called "memory boom" of memory studies.¹⁴⁸ In this "contemporary public prominence of memory", terms such as public, social, cultural, or collective became common adjectives to accompany memory. They all converge in the understanding that "there is no way of thinking about memory outside its histories and politics".¹⁴⁹

Memory, in this scheme of things, is not an impossibility, but a pre-constituted, actuallyexisting site of conflict, in which many contrary forces converge and in which the interactions between memory and forgetting are contingent as much as they are systemic. In whatever guise it is manifest, the politics of memory is always overdetermined and unstable, the consequence of incessant human intervention.¹⁵⁰

Even if remembering is an individual activity, memories are inherent "socially communicated and socially and historically embedded".¹⁵¹ Barahona de Britto catalogs four reasons for this: 1) Collective memory is the memory commonly shared by individuals; 2) Society plays an essential role in constructing and editing how and what societies remember; 3) Memory is social

¹⁴⁵ Erika Apfelbaum, 'Halbwachs and the Social Properties of Memory' in Susannah Radstone and Bill Schwarz (eds), *Memory: Histories, Theories, Debates* (Fordham Univ Press 2010) 85.

¹⁴⁶ ibid.

¹⁴⁷ ibid 86.

¹⁴⁸ Jeffrey K Olick, Vered Vinitzky-Seroussi and Daniel Levy, 'Introduction' in Jeffrey K Olick, Vered Vinitzky-Seroussi and Daniel Levy (eds), *The collective memory reader* (Oxford University Press 2011).

 ¹⁴⁹ Susannah Radstone and Bill Schwarz, 'Introduction: Mapping Memory' in Susannah Radstone and Bill Schwarz (eds), *Memory: Histories, Theories, Debates* (Fordham Univ Press 2010) 4.
 ¹⁵⁰ ibid.

¹⁵¹ Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 362.

because its existence is ruled by our relations with others; 4) Memory requires and presumes its transmission and articulation.¹⁵²

Therefore, memories can appear and be transmitted in society in a myriad of forms, that range from legal institutions,¹⁵³ as they "reflect, codify, and reinforce society's shared values and understanding of the past"¹⁵⁴, to the resources individuals share¹⁵⁵ and reflect in social and cultural institutions and their products (books, museums, libraries, among others) and commemorative ceremonies.¹⁵⁶

Collective memory is then "built and continually altered".¹⁵⁷ This "memory work"¹⁵⁸ explains how members of different groups in society engage dialectally with their memories and how individuals share, collaborate, and interpret certain events inside these groups that also have an undeniable role of forming social bonds within its members.¹⁵⁹

Memory studies define these groups, whose importance was recognized as far back as Halbwachs' work, as mnemonic groups, giving them significant importance as they "socialise us to what should be remembered and what should be forgotten".¹⁶⁰ From this notion, it is possible to acknowledge the existence of parallel memories existing within society. More than that, considering how memories work "both in the public sphere and in everyday life",¹⁶¹ it is clear that people do not act only in a conscious or pragmatic sense. Instead, many times they act "in light of the memories and narratives they have adopted and that make sense to them as members of a particular 'memory group'".¹⁶²

Individual memory is a product heavily influenced by the fact that an individual shares collective memory with the multiple groups to which that person belongs. For this reason, an

¹⁵² ibid.

¹⁵³ Michael Schudson, *Watergate in American Memory: How We Remember, Forget, and Reconstruct the Past* (BasicBooks 1992).

¹⁵⁴ Rachel López, 'Legalising Collective Remembrance after Mass Atrocities' in Fraser M Ottanelli S. Elizabeth Bird (ed), *The Performance of Memory as Transitional Justice* (2015) 25.

¹⁵⁵ Zarecka, Frames of Remembrance: The Dynamics of Collective Memory (Transaction 1994).

¹⁵⁶ Paul Connerton, *How Societies Remember* (Cambridge University Press 1989).

¹⁵⁷ Sharon K Hom and Eric K Yamamoto, 'Collective Memory, History, and Social Justice' (2000) 47 UCLA L Rev 1747, 1764.

¹⁵⁸ Zarecka (n 155).

¹⁵⁹ López (n 154) 25.

¹⁶⁰ Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 362–363.

¹⁶¹ Radstone and Schwarz (n 149) 3.

¹⁶² Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 362.

individual's memory is a bricolage, with contributions from shared memories with mnemonic groups/communities (with the nation being the main one^{163}), but not identical to it.

For Halbwachs, each subject's autobiographical memory is dialectically related not only to the collective memories of the various groups to which he or she is affiliated, but also to a broader historical memory of the society in which he or she lives. The traces of this past constitute the background foundation of the construction of one's identity: they carry the notion of duration, stability, permanence, and a sense of rootedness vital to the maintenance of memory and identity.¹⁶⁴

This explains the importance for states in transition to 'deal with the past'. Transitional mechanisms in their legal forms are geared "primarily toward justice" or "toward establishing truth", and aim to provide an "official narrative and a framework to account for past events".¹⁶⁵ In a transition, many mnemonic communities can be observed (e.g. victims, oppressors, militaries, human rights activists) with their own "particular constellations of memories and particular meaning-engendering social narratives".¹⁶⁶

Moreover, we live in a period of "unprecedented politicization of memory"¹⁶⁷: the way groups interact with memory has become more complex than ever before. How different groups reinterpret, forget or distort the past can have many political implications, but at the same time their choices are influenced by power dynamics. In the following chapters we are going to analyze how a period of political unrest in Brazil was used by the far-right and the armed forces to distort the past favoring the military memory of the dictatorship period.

In fact, the relationship between different mnemonic groups can impact on what is perceived as the historical canon. Molden, for example, argues that the politics of history and memory can be profoundly changed by the relation of forces between "hegemonic master narratives, defiant counter-memories, and silent majorities".¹⁶⁸

This mnemonic power relation shows how the tension between groups, with opposed collective memories, can have a profound impact not only in the political realm but also in terms of rearranging "the cultural coordinates of the broader community".¹⁶⁹ Recognizing this, Molden acknowledges how "constitutive categories" for collective memory, already recognized by

¹⁶³ Benedict Anderson, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism* (Verso 2016).

¹⁶⁴ Apfelbaum (n 145) 91.

¹⁶⁵ ibid 90.

¹⁶⁶ Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 363.

¹⁶⁷ Radstone and Schwarz (n 149) 10.

¹⁶⁸ Berthold Molden, 'Resistant Pasts versus Mnemonic Hegemony: On the Power Relations of Collective Memory' (2016) 9 Memory Studies 125.

¹⁶⁹ ibid 131.

Halbwachs, such as class, gender, political and religious identities have a significant impact on collective understandings of the past.¹⁷⁰

2.4 Transitional Justice Mechanisms Fostering Collective Memories

Having described the social and collective aspects of memory and how influential they are in different mnemonics communities; it is possible to translate this conceptualization to the parties involved in a transition process. The use of categories such as victims, perpetrators, political parties, human rights activists can foreground different groups with different collective memories about the past.¹⁷¹ Thus, memory studies can "broaden our understanding of what shapes the choices and actions of different individuals and groups"¹⁷² and also be part of the analysis of the outcomes and long-term effects of transitional justice measures.

In this sense, it is possible to "enrich our understanding of transitional justice" by not limiting the perception of transitional justice policies in a narrow way, but instead deepening the analysis beyond the "more time-limited analysis of transition type" and adopting an approach that observes the "balance of power between incoming democratic and outgoing authoritarian elites".¹⁷³

Moreover, transitional situations show more clearly the relation between legal institutions and collective memories. Osiel highlights how our collective memories are constructed many times by "legal blueprints".¹⁷⁴ The construction of collective memory is complex and multifaceted, including the role of legal institutions, which explains the importance of legal and quasi-legal procedures in transitions.

Even though the state does not have a monopoly in "organizing the production of memories", the period of transition is where it does have an opportunity in "establishing a particular and 'politicised' common memory" via legal and quasi-legal procedures and institutions such as trials and truth commissions.¹⁷⁵

¹⁷⁰ ibid 137.

¹⁷¹ It is important to realize that this categories can also be read in a critical way, see Adriana Rudling, "'I'm Not That Chained-Up Little Person" Four Paragons of Victimhood in Transitional Justice Discourse' (2019) 41 Human Rights Quarterly 421.

¹⁷² Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 363.

¹⁷³ ibid.

¹⁷⁴ Mark Osiel, Mass Atrocity, Collective Memory, and the Law (Transaction Publishers 1997) 209.

¹⁷⁵ Karstedt (n 129) 10-13.

2.4.1 Trials: Justice and memory

Many scholars describe the relationship between collective memory and justice efforts, highlighting how legal proceedings can play a role in "strengthening a shared understanding of the past",¹⁷⁶ contributing to reconciliation and societal healing. Teitel, for instance, describes trials as "the long-standing ceremonial forms of collective history making".¹⁷⁷ In similar vein, Osiel argues that trials can promote reconciliation while establishing a collective memory as, by prosecuting oppressors, a society can (re)build its social values. In this sense, courtrooms are a "theater of ideas", where the "large question of collective memory and even national identity are engaged".¹⁷⁸

Legal proceedings can also ignite public discussion of the past beyond the walls of the courts, offering an "important venue for a renegotiation of the collective memory"¹⁷⁹ or a "touchstone"¹⁸⁰ for its formation. This notion is linked to the idea that healing runs in parallel with the role of trials in a divided society. By setting the stage for a shared understanding of the past, trials have a cathartic quality inherent to them.¹⁸¹

Moreover, the growth and expansion of regional human rights systems and mechanisms, such as the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR) and the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), demonstrate how victims seek redress and state accountability in these legal institutions, and Lopez has argued that these human rights mechanisms should acknowledge the significance of collective memory by the use of their remedial powers.¹⁸²

Still, many countries fail, at least during early transition processes, to bring to justice those who committed human rights violations in authoritarian periods. Many times, because of the existence of amnesties that grant immunities to perpetrators. While amnesties laws can be a stabilizing measure that ensures the transition to democracy, they can also present in the long term the nurturing of a culture of impunity and a dead-end to the transitional justice process in

¹⁷⁶ López (n 154) 29.

¹⁷⁷ Ruti G Teitel, *Transitional Justice* (Oxford University Press 2000) 72.

¹⁷⁸ Osiel (n 174) 3; Also on the theatrics of the courtrooms and show trials, see Awol K Allo, 'The Show in the Show Trial: Contextualising the Politicization of the Courtroom' (2010) 15 Barry Law Review 41. ¹⁷⁹ López (n 154) 32.

¹⁸⁰ Jody Lynee Madeira, 'When It's So Hard to Relate: Can Legal Systems Mitigate the Trauma of Victim-Offender Relationships' (2009) 46 Hous L Rev 401.

¹⁸¹ López (n 154) 32–33; Mark Osiel, 'Ever Again : Legal Remembrance of Administrative Massacre' (1995) 144 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 463.

¹⁸² López (n 154) 38.

a particular country. In this sense, amnesties are perceived as a "transitional justice measure of last resort".¹⁸³

For this reason, often, these amnesties are revised further in the transitional process of a country. This trend caused the rise in human rights prosecutions happening at both the national and international levels, in what Sikkink and Lutz have described as a "justice cascade".¹⁸⁴ Yet, there is still some skepticism that considers that these trials are capable of re-igniting conflicts or undermine democracy.¹⁸⁵ Data from Latin America show that this skepticism does not stand, and in fact, they contribute to the improvement of human rights and the enhancing of the rule of law in those countries.¹⁸⁶

In Brazil, however, the amnesty law persisted even decades following the transition to democracy. Different from the majority of countries in Latin American there were no trials of state agents. In the next chapter we focus on the transitional experience in Brazil and how the amnesty law represented a hindrance not only for human rights trials but for transitional justice overall.

2.4.2 Truth Commissions: Truth and memory

Considering the limited reach that courts have, and also the fact the prosecutions would not solve by themselves the process of transitional justice in the societies, many countries have adopted truth commissions as a central component in dealing with past atrocities, with each context calling for specific investigatory mandates and powers that take account of the needs and political realities of the society in question.¹⁸⁷ Thus, gradually trials and truth commissions started to be seen as complementary to the task of truth-seeking and truth-telling and as parts of a broader "set of obligations" that pursue the triad of truth, justice, and guarantees of non-repetition.¹⁸⁸

¹⁸³ Anja Mihr, 'Transitional Justice and the Quality of Democracy' (2013) 7 International Journal of Conflict and Violence 298, 301.

¹⁸⁴ Kathryn Sikkink and Ellen Lutz, 'The Justice Cascade: The Evolution and Impact of Foreign Human Rights Trials in Latin America' (2001) 2 Chicago Journal of International Law.

¹⁸⁵ Kathryn Sikkink and Carrie Booth Walling, 'The Impact of Human Rights Trials in Latin America' (2007) 44 Journal of Peace Research 427, 433–434.

¹⁸⁶ ibid 443.

¹⁸⁷ Priscilla Hayner, Unspeakable Truths: Transitional Justice and the Challenge of Truth Commissions (2 ed, Taylor & Francis 2010).

¹⁸⁸ Roht-Arriaza (n 120) 8.

The classic definition of a truth commission is one that fits Priscila Hayner's conceptualization, allowing some variation, and refers to bodies with the following characteristics: 1) truth commissions focus on the past; 2) they investigate a pattern of abuses over a period of time rather than a specific event; 3) a truth commission is a temporary body, typically in operation for six months to two years, and completing its work with the submission of a report; and 4) these commissions are officially sanctioned, authorized, or empowered by the state.¹⁸⁹

As for the objectives of truth commissions, Popkin and Roht-Arriaza offer the following list: truth commissions can establish an authoritative record, help victims by providing them with both a platform and redress, make recommendations for reforms, and promote accountability.¹⁹⁰ Relatedly, Daly highlights that truth commissions can promote reconciliation and create a clear distinction between past and present.¹⁹¹

There is a growing skepticism, however, on the work of truth commissions and the 'truth' they produce.¹⁹² This includes questions about how truth commissions can provide an official authoritative account about the past that many times is a contested one. Chapman and Ball, for instance, criticize the focus on individual cases and testimonies rather than on the causes and patterns of violations that occurred.¹⁹³ In this sense, truth commissions provide "micro-truth", more prone to "subjective limitations and distortions of memory",¹⁹⁴ as opposed to "macro-truths".

However, this criticism cannot diminish the relevance and importance of truth commissions. There are two reasons for this: First, there is no defined canonical model for a truth commission. As they proliferated throughout the world, the design and purposes of individual truth commissions were different and context-sensitive. Second, truth commissions are "keyed to a context of a post-conflict or post-repression political transition",¹⁹⁵ which underlines a moral project, part of which is harmonize with transitional justice mechanisms in order to secure legitimacy and stability for the new regime.

¹⁸⁹ Hayner (n 187) 11–12.

¹⁹⁰ Margaret Popkin and Naomi Roht-Arriaza, 'Truth as Justice: Investigatory Commissions in Latin America' (1995) 20 Law & Social Inquiry 79.

¹⁹¹ Erin Daly, 'Truth Skepticism: An Inquiry into the Value of Truth in Times of Transition' (2008) 2 International Journal of Transitional Justice 23, 27.

¹⁹² Margaret Urban Walker, 'Troubles with Truth Commissions: Putting the Moral Aims of Truth Commissions to the Fore' in Fraser M Ottanelli S. Elizabeth Bird (ed), *The Performance of Memory as Transitional Justice* (2015) 10.

¹⁹³ Audrey R Chapman and Patrick Ball, 'The Truth of Truth Commissions: Comparative Lessons from Haiti, South Africa, and Guatemala' (2001) 23 Human Rights Quarterly 1.

¹⁹⁴ Walker (n 192) 10.

¹⁹⁵ ibid 17–19.

Onur Bakiner argues that, beyond forensic investigation, truth commissions have a great potential in developing historical narratives that are able to explain "causes and patterns" of an authoritarian past; he describes this as a "historiographical function".¹⁹⁶

Truth commissions have emerged in political contexts where societies' conventional mechanisms for investigating serious crimes and writing unbiased accounts of the past (the judiciary and the media, chief among them) had ceased to function. They face the twofold task of discovering forensic facts and forging societal consensus over the meaning of the past. On the one hand, political decision makers grant them, at least ideally, the authority to publicize the truth about the nation's past, above and beyond political and societal debates. On the other hand, commissions are firmly embedded in the social struggles over memory and history, which makes the reception of their findings and narratives dependent on larger political and societal processes. They produce one truth among others.¹⁹⁷

Even though a truth commission cannot claim to represent the truth 'once for all', this also can be said of any initiative concerning historical narratives. In any case, truth commissions can present an "inclusive, truthful, and accurate historical explanation"¹⁹⁸ concerning past human rights violations, thereby contributing to the formation of a nation's collective memory.

In this sense, even with their inherent limitations, truth commissions play an essential role in giving voices to victims, reaffirming their dignity, and providing an extensive (and reliable) documentation of past abuses.

For this reason, truth commissions need to be understood as a critical moment in a deliberate "open-ended and long-term process of uncovering and preserving truths".¹⁹⁹ The alreadydiscussed limits of the truth commissions sign how they can better serve as a kick-off for multiple projects of truth recovery practices to be developed through time.

This long-term preoccupation is better observed in the recommendations, usually issued by a truth commission at the end of its reports, where truth recovery and preservation can be prescribed via other projects and institutions as a continuous project. Thus, even though a truth commission is a "limited transitional institution",²⁰⁰ its intervention can set a more substantial project of finding, rethinking, and preserving a society's truth.

¹⁹⁶ Onur Bakiner, *Truth Commissions : Memory, Power, and Legitimacy* (University of Pennsylvania Press 2016) 62–63.

¹⁹⁷ ibid 63.

¹⁹⁸ ibid 236.

¹⁹⁹ Walker (n 192) 20.

²⁰⁰ ibid 21.

However, what is the impact of a truth commission when it is established decades after the transition to democracy? While normally they tend to inaugurate the process of transitional justice, in Brazil this logic was reversed. On the subsequent chapter we deal with the Brazilian Truth Commission, and its achievements and shortcomings as a late truth commission.

2.5 Transitional Justice and the Memory Assumption: It is always better to remember?

Rotondi and Eisikovits' claim that transitional justice scholarship generally agrees that societies must deal with their past, which entails a 'memory assumption' of the field. For them, there is a broad consensus that accountability helps "nurture budding non-authoritarian institutions" and that burying the past is a "profound injustice to victims" that would also perpetuate a culture impunity.²⁰¹

However, while acknowledging that there are "strong grounds for the memory assumption", Rotondi and Eisikovits argue that it should not work as dogma. This is not intended as a reason to "undermine the rationale for remembering", but to pinpoint cases where the memory assumption can be problematic, and an argument for forgetfulness can thrive.²⁰²

They present three cases in which forgetting can be a valid strategy:

conflicts with significant cultural variance on the question of how best to deal with the past; conflicts where there is a complicated division of guilt between the parties; and political situations where an insistence on commemoration and thorough accountability risks reigniting the conflict.²⁰³

The third case - circumstances of 'extreme political volatility' - is where the authors dedicate their efforts to form a "philosophical argument for the legitimacy" of forgetting. These situations present the "peace vs. justice" dilemma at its apex. They imply that pursuing truth and remembering can jeopardize the transition itself or turn it dangerous.²⁰⁴

Rotondi and Eisikovits argue that political transitions often require compromises. This often materializes in the need to balance the desire for accountability and the necessity of ensuring peace and social stability for the new regime. Such cases can present "legitimate, largely non-

²⁰¹ Jack Volpe Rotondi and Nir Eisikovits, 'Forgetting after War: A Qualified Defense' in Claudio Corradetti, Nir Eisikovits, Jack Volpe Rotondi (ed), Theorizing Transitional Justice (Routledge 2015) 26-27. ²⁰² ibid 27.

²⁰³ ibid.

²⁰⁴ ibid 28-31.

cynical reasons for foregoing transitional justice due to rational fears of reinstating massive violence".²⁰⁵

However, both authors also seem to recognize that even though "a partial erasure of historical detail is inevitable", because of factors that range from political contexts to the possibility of accessing archival materials, this should not be seen as an argument to "abuse history".²⁰⁶

Further, even in the narrow cases in which Rotondi and Eisikovits seek to justify the decision to forget, they acknowledge there might be legal implications to this option. They note in particular the residual authority of the International Criminal Court (ICC) and the recognition of a right to truth in customary international law, which includes the responsibility of states at the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and the European Court of Human Rights²⁰⁷ to provide "full information to victims about the circumstances under which they were abused (in addition to general information about patterns of injustice)". Waldron provides another reason to be concerned: he argues that forgetting can mean the perpetuation of unjust social relations. In particular, he makes the point that remembering can sustain "the moral and cultural reality of self and community".²⁰⁸ Recollection allows a community to look back to the past and see how they would want certain events in their history to have actually unfolded. In so doing it can become clear that a society would not accept the reoccurrence of similar events.²⁰⁹

In this sense, knowledge about the past is "important in itself" as a "moral right" for victims and their descendants. Moreover, without a "reasonably complete truth", all the goals of transitional justice - from sanctions to reconciliation - are jeopardized. In order to reckon with past atrocities, a society should "investigate, establish, and publicly disseminate the truth about them".²¹⁰

If transitional justice mechanisms are implemented without proper attention to "relevant ethical questions", then the outcomes of the transitional process tend to be "ad hoc, ineffective, inconsistent, and unstable".²¹¹

²⁰⁵ ibid 31-34.

²⁰⁶ ibid 34.

²⁰⁷ Panepinto (n 121).

²⁰⁸ Jeremy Waldron, 'Superseding Historic Injustice' (1992) 103 Ethics 4, 6.

²⁰⁹ ibid 5.

²¹⁰ David A Crocker, 'Reckoning with Past Wrongs: A Normative Framework' (1999) 13 Ethics and International Affairs 43, 49-50.

²¹¹ ibid.

This means that a "'one-size-fits-all,' technocratic and decontextualized solution"²¹² must not be applied to all societies in transition. Transitional justice measures need to be approached in a "context-sensitive manner".²¹³ This implies that "transitional justice processes need to be pursued holistically" by dealing with past wrongdoing with the objective of societal transformation²¹⁴ and regime consolidation.

Thus, it is only in a minimal sense that forgetfulness can enhance the process of transition, as shown by Rotondi and Eisikovits. Moreover, the open concept of transition in transitional scholarship, plus the adoption of a "non-linear approach to time"²¹⁵ means that forgetfulness can only be understood as a strategic approach towards transitional justice that can be revisited later.

In the process of transitional justice, truth is recognized as an essential component for successful transitions. The myriad of mechanisms to deal with the past dislocate the debate to whether measures, such as truth commissions, must be adopted or not by post-conflict societies, but as how to compose a tailor-made solution that encompasses the particularity of each transition.

2.6 Politics of Memory and the Process of Democratization

Transitional justice mechanisms can have a profound effect on the democratization of a society in transition. At the same time, the outcomes of these measures help to shape the collective memories of society, where it is possible to analyze the "dynamic links between democratization and accountability policies".²¹⁶

The type of transition itself shapes these possible outcomes. When a transition occurs after the defeat of an authoritarian regime and the elite that surrounds it, then the scope for truth and justice policies tends to be more extensive. For this reason, it is possible to classify two types of transition - transition either by rupture or by negotiation.²¹⁷

In any case, the key variable seems to be the relative strength of pro-reform groups emerging from the old regime, moderate opposition, and intransigent groups on both

²¹² Nagy (n 128).

²¹³ Murphy (n 119) 10.

²¹⁴ ibid 194-195.

²¹⁵ Teitel (n 107) 86.

²¹⁶ Alexandra Barahona de Brito, 'Introduction' in P Barahona de Brito, Alexandra; Enriquez; C.; Aguilar (ed), *The Politics of Memory: Transitional Justice in Democratazing Societies* (OUP Oxford 2001) 2.

²¹⁷ Barahona de Brito, 'Introduction' (n 216).

sides, namely the authoritarian elite and radicals within the opposition. Negotiations between these political groups and their relative strength in the transitional processes are crucial variables for understanding when and how retroactive justice measures, such as purges, truth commissions, and trials, are adopted. The politics of memory, such as the symbolic and economic rehabilitation of the victims, the building of monuments and ceremonies, and public recognition of the suffering of the victims, will also depend on this equilibrium.²¹⁸

Transitions by rupture mean that the representatives of the former regime "have lost their capacity to maneuver as their political, police, or military vehicles have been totally or almost completely destroyed and cannot be reconstituted". In contrast, transitions that are negotiated usually are marked by a reform-oriented pact between elites. In the latter, "the repressors or outgoing regime authorities tend to retain such a measure of power that the new democratizing elite must co-exist and must constantly negotiate change with the old guard".²¹⁹

Moreover, there is a series of conditions that influence how new regimes decide to deal with the past, making them "historically grounded and thus peculiar to each country".²²⁰ These conditions include the beliefs and values of the emerging new regimes,²²¹ structural factors, the existence of strong human rights movements, the international context, and the presence of an engaged and articulated civil society. The way these factors are presented in which society can determine if they try to 'keep memory alive' when there might be some resistance to accountability, or if they opt for (at least temporary) forgetting.

At the same time, the existence of a previous democratic tradition can fuel the transitional measures taken by the new regime and facilitate the process of accountability. Where there is a weak democratic tradition and a permanent legacy of authoritarianism, the period of transition tends to produce a "weak or fearful society".²²² In this scenario, many years can pass before backward-looking accountability becomes possible.

Every transitional period entails at least a tentative democratization or deepening of an existent democracy. This process means progress in several areas such as "political, legal, institutional, cultural ideological, social, and economic arenas".²²³ Garretón, for instance, acknowledges that

²¹⁸ ibid 13.

²¹⁹ ibid 12.

²²⁰ ibid 17.

²²¹ Jon Elster, 'Coming to Terms with the Past. A Framework for the Study of Justice in the Transition to Democracy' (1998) 39 European Journal of Sociology 7.

²²² Barahona de Brito, 'Introduction' (n 216) 17–18.

²²³ ibid 29-30.

truth and justice are linked to institutional reform and that without these elements, brought by transitional justice mechanisms, democracy is weaker.²²⁴

However, the exact role of truth and justice in a democratization process is heavily dependent on how those policies are formulated, the level of social interest in engaging with them, and the expectation surrounding their outcomes. Moreover, specific national conditions, such as the political scenario, are essential in evaluating their impact.²²⁵

Even though there are examples of democratization without accountability, backward-looking truth and justice can indeed set in motion a process of broader reforms, deepening democracy. Also, they produce a social engagement that can be vital for the politics of democratization.²²⁶

The potential of how transitional truth and justice can improve the process of democratization is dependent on the power dynamics of the transition itself. Barahona de Britto argues that the level of popular participation and interest in the process and if they are democratic themselves can determine if they are genuinely conceived of as a way to break with an undemocratic past and build a new democracy.²²⁷

The understanding of how collective memory works can help us to realize that the choices and actions of individuals and the groups are dictated by how they remember the past.²²⁸ Transitional justice mechanisms try to stitch the fragmented and parallel memories of such groups, bridging the gaps between them, in order to compose a human rights orientated vision of the past, which can lay the ground for a new democratic regime.

The pursuit of 'truth' in its many forms – as recovery of what happened, as clarification of its moral content, as a consensual truth – is the central and common focus of legal and quasi-legal procedures, of individual remembrance and collective renderings of the past. It is the core problem of transitional justice: how to realign truth in these various forms with the needs of reconciliation and recovery within post-violence societies. As selective, distorting and restricting as legal institutions and quasi-legal procedures are, likewise are individual and collective memories, and as such, neither the individual sphere nor the institutional or wider social realm differs in principle in rendering distorted, orchestrated or manipulated 'truths'.²²⁹

²²⁴ Garreton M Manuel Antonio, 'Human Rights in Processes of Democratisation' (1994) 26 Journal of Latin American Studies 221.

²²⁵ Barahona de Brito, 'Introduction' (n 216) 31.

²²⁶ ibid 31-34.

²²⁷ ibid 34-35.

²²⁸ Barahona de Brito, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (n 131) 363.

²²⁹ Karstedt (n 129) 8.

Nevertheless, the politics of memory transcends transitional justice mechanisms and their pursuit of truth and justice in transition. It is also concerned with "how a society interprets and appropriates its past, in an ongoing attempt to mold its future (social memory)".²³⁰ Therefore, the politics of memory is "is an integral part of the process of building various social, political, or 'collective' identities, which shape the way different social groups view national politics and the goals they wish to pursue for the future".²³¹

The link between the collective dimension of memory and the transitional justice field can be observed closely through the lens of the politics of memory. It shows us how the collective memory of different groups can have a powerful effect on how a society interprets its past, with political consequences in the present. Furthermore, transitional justice mechanisms are capable of contributing to the formation of a shared understanding of the past, which can be a factor that deepens democratization processes. In the next chapter, this theoretical framework will be applied to the Brazilian transition, demonstrating how the lack of transitional justice mechanisms in the Brazilian case explains the presence of parallel collective memories on the military dictatorship.

²³⁰ ibid 37-38.

²³¹ ibid 37-38.

CHAPTER 3 - Shadows and Lies Cannot Promote Harmony²³²: Transitional justice and the Brazilian case

This chapter deals with the Brazilian transitional justice experience, and how the late and sparse implementation of transitional justice measures allowed amnesia to thrive after the transition to democracy. At the heart of this gap in the nation's collective memory on the military regime is a blanket amnesty approved in 1979 that meant impunity for the gross human rights violations committed by the armed forces.

In order to tackle this analysis of the Brazilian case of transitional justice the first part of the chapter will deal with the transition to democracy and the instauration of two reparation commissions by the Brazilian state. The second part is dedicated to the amnesty law and its dissonant interpretation between the Brazilian Supreme Court and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR). Next, the National Truth Commision is shown as the pinnacle of a gradual turn in the politics of memory in Brazil. Lastly, this chapter discusses the shortcomings of this new phase of the transitional justice in Brazil and, also, how it was interrupted as soon as the Worker's Party left power in 2016.

3.1 A Negotiated Transition and a Delayed Transitional Justice: The Brazilian case

The transition to democracy in Brazil was one of the longest in Latin America. It began in the second half of the 70s in Ernesto Geisel's term with a liberalization plan aiming at the "institutionalization of the regime".²³³ The so-called *abertura* was a synonym for a restrict and punctual strategic plan by the military. Later, the insurgence by the civil society and (part of) the political system, tipped the *abertura* into a long-term project of democratic transition, that would be implemented in controlled and gradual steps, encompassing the Amnesty Law (1979),

²³² In her speech at the in the inauguration of the National Truth Commision in 2012, Dilma Rousseff said 'Ignorance of history does not bring peace, on the contrary, it preserves latent pains and grudges. Disinformation does not appease, it only helps drive intolerance. Shadows and lies cannot promote harmony. Brazil deserves the truth. The new generations deserve the truth, and, most of all, those who lost friends and relatives and are still suffering as if their loved ones kept dying everyday, they deserve the factual truth', for the translation of part of the speech see Samantha Viz Quadrat, 'The Skirmish of Memories and Political Violence in Dictatorial Brazil' in E Allier-montaño and E Crenzel (eds), *The Struggle for Memory in Latin America: Recent History and Political Violence* (Palgrave Macmillan 2015) 86.

²³³ Napolitano (n 6) 245–246.

the indirect election of a civilian president (1985), the approval of a new constitution (1988) and the first direct election for a president (1990) after the coup in 1964.²³⁴

The Brazilian transition was a "regime-initiated liberalization"²³⁵ with the military retaining "substantial control over the transition to democracy".²³⁶ The pressure by civil society was a key component in the process. However, the liberalization of the regime was provoked by the loss of the support of the regime provided by the elite and middle class²³⁷, which disintegrated in the last years of the regime with the end of the "economic miracle"²³⁸ the government sustained between 1969 and 1973.

Beyond military control, other factors help explain the Brazilian transition and how it shaped the transitional justice experience in the country. Perhaps the most significant, in comparison with other dictatorships of the southern cone, is that Brazil had the most "legalized form of military regime".²³⁹

In authoritarian States, law is often used as an instrument against opposition, but also to promote the institutionalization of the regime, giving it a veneer of legitimacy.²⁴⁰

That is to say, rule by law instead of rule of law.²⁴¹ This is also true when the military are in power and are able to have strong bonds with the judiciary. The civilian-military cooperation in the dictatorships of the southern cone, which included the judiciary, led O'Donnell to coin the term "bureaucratic authoritarianism".²⁴²

Analyzing the "authoritarian legality" of the regimes in Brazil, Argentina and Chile, Pereira illustrate how the degrees of integration and consensus between the judiciary and the military were higher in the Brazilian case.²⁴³ While this led to lower levels of lethal violence, as the

²³⁴ Codato (n 75); Bethell and Castro (n 3); Bethell and Nicolau (n 8).

²³⁵ Alfred C Stepan, 'Paths Toward Redemocratization: Theoretical and Comparative Considerations' in L O'Donnell, G; Schimitter, P; Whitehead (ed), *Transitions from Authoritarian Rule: Comparative Perspectives* (Johns Hopkins University Press 1986).

²³⁶ Marcelo Torelly, 'Assessing a Late Truth Commission: Challenges and Achievements of the Brazilian National Truth Commission' (2018) 12 International Journal of Transitional Justice 194, 197.

²³⁷ Alexandra Barahona de Brito, "'Justiça Transicional" Em Câmera Lenta: O Caso Do Brasil' in Antonio Costa Pinto and Francisco Carlos Palmomanes Martinho (eds), *O Passado que não Passa: A sombra das ditaduras na Europa do Sul e na América Latina* (Civilização Brasileira 2012) 169.

²³⁸ Bethell and Castro (n 3) 203.

²³⁹ Torelly (n 236) 196.

²⁴⁰ Tamir Moustafa, 'Law and Courts in Authoritarian Regimes' (2014) 10 Annual Review of Law and Social Science 281, 283.

²⁴¹ Mark Tushnet, 'Rule by Law or Rule of Law?' (2014) 22 Asia Pacific Law Review 79.

²⁴² Guillermo O'Donnell, *Modernization and Bureaucratic-Authoritarianism: Studies in South American Politics* (Institute of International Studies, University of California 1973).

²⁴³ Pereira, *Political (In)Justice: Authoritarianism and the Rule of Law in Brazil, Chile, and Argentina (n 4).*

regime was able to utilize political trials to neutralize the opposition, it created an "immense barrier"²⁴⁴ for reforms after the end of the dictatorship.

A direct consequence of this authoritarian legality was the adoption of a different system of repression. In Brazil, the "judicial branch's adherence to authoritarianism allowed political enemies to be prosecuted, imprisoned and expelled from the country in formal ways".²⁴⁵ The legal appearance of the regime also included a restricted congress functioning, presidential terms, and controlled two-party elections for the legislative. While the military always kept a tight control of this environment, Alfred Stepan argues that the dictatorship in Brazil never articulated "a systematic attack on democracy as system of government"²⁴⁶, in contrast with other authoritarian regimes of the southern cone, for example.

Perhaps the most symbolic face of the "democratic façade"²⁴⁷ of the military regime was the existence of a bi-partisan system with a government party, the National Renewal Alliance (*Aliança Renovadora Nacional* or ARENA), and an opposition party, the Brazilian Democratic Movement (*Movimento Democrático Brasileiro* or MBD). However, the regime had authority to appoint representatives to some seats in the congress, were able to suspended political rights of individuals and suspend mandates.²⁴⁸ In essence, it was a "rigged legislative system"²⁴⁹ that was shut down when refused to comply with the military.

The success of this "repressive legal strategy"²⁵⁰ was undeniable. It allowed the regime to rely mostly on the use of the judiciary, instead of large-scale clandestine operations and disappearances, which impacted the struggle for accountability later. Torelly argues how while in other countries of the southern cone the crimes committed by the dictatorship were an unavoidable topic in the return to democracy, in Brazil they were not a central issue. Ultimately, this led to "less social mobilization for justice".²⁵¹

The controlled transition, with a high degree of continuity of the political and economic elite, did not allow the implementation of transitional justice measures, at least initially. During the terms of José Sarney (1985-1990), Fernando Collor de Mello (1990-1992) and Itamar Franco

²⁴⁴ ibid 172.

²⁴⁵ Torelly (n 236) 196.

²⁴⁶ Stepan (n 76) X.

²⁴⁷ Nina Schneider, 'Impunity in Post-Authoritarian Brazil: The Supreme Court's Recent Verdict on the Amnesty Law' (2011) European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies 39.

²⁴⁸ Ann M Schneider, 'Legislative Efforts against Impunity in the 1979 Amnesty Debate in Brazil' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 18, 3–4.

²⁴⁹ ibid 2.

²⁵⁰ Torelly (n 236) 198.

²⁵¹ ibid.

(1992-1995)²⁵² no significative measures were taken concerning the recognition of State responsibility or the redress of victims.

Things started to change in the Fernando Henrique Cardoso administration (1995-2003), while the bulk of transitional justice initiatives happened or were completed during the mandates of Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva (2003-2011) and Dilma Rousseff (2011-2016), from the left-wing Worker's Party. All the three of them were victims of the dictatorship²⁵³ either by being exiled (Fernando Henrique Cardoso), arrested (Lula) or tortured (Dilma).

Transitional Justice in Brazil can be summed as the combination of "reparation, some truth, and none justice".²⁵⁴ Brazil struggled to implement transitional justice measures, in what can be classified as a "slow-motion transitional justice."²⁵⁵ Moreover, the victim-centered approach did not allowed far-reaching effects of the outcomes of the mechanisms applied.

The Special Commission on Political Deaths and Disappearances (*Comissão Especial sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos*, or CEMDP)²⁵⁶ was the first direct transitional justice measures implemented by the Brazilian State. Established in 1995, around ten years after the end of the dictatorship, it was dedicated to recognize state responsibility for death and disappearances for political reasons during the regime. It also granted financial reparations for their families, but was not able to provide full accountability for the crimes committed by the State agents or the location of missing corpses.²⁵⁷

In addition, the Amnesty Commission was established later in 2001, with a broader mandate, being able to promote economic reparations to civilians and military offices who suffered

²⁵² Itamar Franco assumed the presidency after the impeachment of Fernando Collor following a corruption scandal. The process was seen at the time as a sign of a health democracy functioning in Brazil, as after two decades of dictatorship and the indirect election of José Sarney in 1985, the Brazilians were able not only to vote for Collor but also to take him out of the office, see Bethell and Nicolau (n 8) 254.

²⁵³ Quadrat (n 232) 72.

²⁵⁴ Napolitano (n 6) 345–346.

²⁵⁵ Barahona de Brito, "Justiça Transicional" Em Câmera Lenta: O Caso Do Brasil' (n 237) 183.

²⁵⁶ The CEMDP was created by law 9140/95 on December 4,1995. Among the criticism the commission faced was that it determined the relatives had the burden of proof, including the responsibility for DNA exams and the localization of missing bodies. Moreover, it delimited the recognition of the state to deaths the occurred inside of sites controlled by the military, see Quadrat (n 232) 80.

²⁵⁷ Edson Teles and Renan Quinalha, 'Scopes and Limits to the Transitional Justice Discourse in Brazil' in Nina Schneider and Marcia Esparza (eds), *Legacies of state violence and transitional justice in Latin America : a Janus-faced paradigm?* (Lexington Books 2015) 29.

political persecution by the regime.²⁵⁸ Both commissions exemplify how the reparations program worked as the "structuring axis"²⁵⁹ of the transitional justice in Brazil.

The military pressure on the conduction these initiatives was evident. Not only they had seats on both commissions, but they also made sure that their mandate was quite limited.²⁶⁰ The commissions did investigate human rights violations but did not had power to indict state agents. In the end, the armed forces managed to control these processes, including having an informal veto power, which meant they controlled not only access to information but also exercised influence on "the official narrative regarding the past".²⁶¹

Even with the military influence in the transitional justice efforts, the commissions played an essential role "despite their limited truth-seeking mandate"²⁶² by investigating and recognizing several human rights violations that the armed forces never admitted. In this context, even though they were created as reparation initiatives, they managed to change the political scenario, as "murder, disappearances, torture and kidnappings were no longer 'alleged' but were recognized by the state"²⁶³ in numbers that far exceed what was previously believed.

Nina Schneider argues that the strategy of post-1985 governments in Brazil was to "silence the military past",²⁶⁴ with the few transitional justice measures being focused on official apologizes by the state and compensation to victims. However, after 2005, under the Worker's Party administrations it is possible to notice a change on the transitional justice process in Brazil, including a re-orientation of the pre-existing commissions to produce projects concerning the politics of memory.

This new state policy included the creation of museums, educational programmes, monuments and official reports.²⁶⁵ For example, the report "Right to Memory and Truth" (*Direito à Memória e à Verdade*)²⁶⁶ summarized the work of the CEMDP and recognized almost four

²⁵⁸ Cecília MacDowell Santos, 'Transitional Justice from the Margins: Legal Mobilization and Memory Politics in Brazil' in N Schneider and M Esparza (eds), *Legacies of state violence and transitional justice in Latin America* : *a Janus-faced paradigm*? (Lexington Books 2015) 45.

²⁵⁹ Paulo Abrão and Marcelo Torelly, 'Mutações Do Conceito de Anistia Na Justiça de Transição Brasileira: A Terceira Fase Da Luta Pela Anistia' in C Prittwitz and others (eds), *Justiça de Transição: Análises Comparadas Brasil-Alemanha* (2015) 21.

²⁶⁰ Torelly (n 236) 198.

²⁶¹ ibid 198–199.

²⁶² ibid 199.

²⁶³ ibid.

²⁶⁴ Nina Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (2011) 30 Bulletin of Latin American Research 198, 201.

²⁶⁵ ibid 206.

²⁶⁶ Secretaria Especial dos Direitos Humanos da Presidência da República (SEDH), 'Direito à Verdade e à Memória: Comissão Especial Sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos' (2007).

hundred deaths and disappearances as crimes committed by the dictatorship. It is important to notice that the state recognition was made by the civilian part of the state, while the military always rejected to recognize the human rights violations of the regime, considering that there were "excesses", but not regular and institutionalized violations by the armed forces.²⁶⁷

Under the Amnesty Commission, the Amnesty Caravans (*Caravanas da Anistia*) encompassed public events happening throughout the country, normally in places relevant to the opposition to the dictatorship in that particular city, that combined an educational aspect and also promoted official apologies by the state to victims.²⁶⁸ The same commission also launched in 2010 the project Marks of Memory (*Marcas da Memórias*), destined to register the oral history of those persecuted by the regime and to fund civil society initiatives of preserving and disseminating the memory of the authoritarian past.²⁶⁹

Another relevant initiative was the creation in 2009, under the Brazilian National Archive of the Revealed Memories (*Memórias Reveladas*) centre. The objective was to form a network of archives of the military regime, stimulating research and the academic and political debate on the theme.²⁷⁰

However, all these efforts worked upon the logic of the amnesty law and the post-authoritarian "informal veto power"²⁷¹ the military held. Teles and Quinalha consider that the transitional justice in Brazil shows a "central ambiguity"²⁷² where important advancements were made, especially concerning reparations to the victims and their families, but recognition measures were sparse and lacked publicity. Moreover, not a single state agent was convicted and there were no relevant reforms in the armed forces or the judiciary.

In this sense, the transitional justice rhetoric was many times utilized a strategy "to hide and legitimate processes of impunity and to block demands for justice, truth and compensation".²⁷³ Although, human rights movements demanded justice and a more assertive approach towards the transitional justice, other segments of the state and the military reproduced "a historical interpretation of the 'two sides' of the conflict".²⁷⁴ This discourse implied that any transitional justice measure needed to consider a permanent threat to the stability and governability of the

²⁶⁷ Quadrat (n 232) 81.

²⁶⁸ ibid 83.

²⁶⁹ ibid.

²⁷⁰ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 207.

²⁷¹ Torelly (n 236) 202.

²⁷² Teles and Quinalha (n 257).

²⁷³ ibid 33.

²⁷⁴ ibid 30.

country and should be built around a "rhetoric of peace and conciliation,"²⁷⁵ even if that meant oblivion and impunity.

3.2 Amnesty Law: A bridge to democracy or a pact for amnesia?

A significant example of the military control in the transition can be found in the Amnesty Law approved in 1979, six years before the military stepped out of power. The movement for the approval of an Amnesty law started within civil society and aimed the liberty of political prisoners, readmission of public servants purged by the military, and the return of exiled Brazilians. However, in the political negotiations, the regime re-purposed the amnesty law by shaping it as an instrument for impunity and forgetting the abuses it had committed.

The amnesty law is at the heart of the military exit plan. Abrão and Torelly describe this plan involved three steps: 1) the approval of an auto-amnesty law able to marginalize radical politics; 2) indirect elections to secure the continuity of the regime logic and not allow a relevant rupture to happen; 3) extensive destruction of public archives of the repressive institutions in order to erase the traces of the human rights violations and to avoid further individual responsibility.²⁷⁶

Nevertheless, the Amnesty law is considered a milestone for democratization in Brazil, in what is better described as a "paradox of everyone's victory".²⁷⁷ On the one hand, it represented a historical, social mobilization for political openness, but at on the other, it was used as an instrument for impunity and forgetfulness by the military regime.²⁷⁸

Torelly and Abrão highlight two dimensions of the Brazilian amnesty law. The juridical dimension was to secure that there would not be prosecution against the military. The political dimension was the negotiation of pact of reconciliation which the military argued were unavoidable for the transition of power. The amnesty law, in the terms it was approved, was framed by the military as the only possible way to achieve democracy.²⁷⁹

This political pact can be critiqued in several ways. First, it was not approved in a free and democratic space. Second, it suffers of an inherent legitimacy deficit, as the political

²⁷⁵ ibid.

²⁷⁶ Abrão and Torelly (n 259) 26.

²⁷⁷ Marcelo Torelly, *Justiça de Transição e Estado Constitucional de Direito: Perspectiva Teórico-Comparativa e Análise Do Caso Brasileiro* (Editora Fórum 2012).

²⁷⁸ Abrão and Torelly (n 259) 21–22.

²⁷⁹ ibid 24.

representation at the congress in 1979 was restricted, including representatives that were not chosen by direct vote.²⁸⁰ Therefore, it is possible to question the equality between the political actors that negotiated the pact and its fairness. Under the proposed reconciliation by a reciprocal amnesty the military in fact promoted a political racketeering where the concession of freedom and the return of the exiled was only permitted in exchange for impunity for the crimes of the regime.

While the push for amnesty started and was led by an insurgent civil society, the 1979 Amnesty Law in Brazil was a bill introduced by the military and approved in their terms. The regime managed to exclude from the amnesty those convicted for the so-called "blood crimes"²⁸¹ (acts of terrorism, robbery, kidnapping, and physical attacks), which meant basically the exclusion from the amnesty of the guerrilla members arrested by the armed forces. In addition, they implicitly included "under the aegis of crimes connected to political crimes"²⁸² the amnesty for state agents involved in crimes of torture, execution and forced disappearances.

The Amnesty law encompasses three main aspects with cultural, political and juridical dimensions that Abrão and Torelly identify as 1) the adoption of forgetfulness as the better way to deal with the past; 2) the denial of the existence of victims and the justification of the use of violence via a "two demons" justification where the amnesty was a reciprocal; 3) the guarantee of impunity via the judicial interpretation of the Amnesty Law.²⁸³

Decades later, the extensive Brazilian reparation programme, combined with the new phase on the transitional justice during the Worker's Party administrations, strengthened the position of independent organizations fighting for justice.²⁸⁴ In this environment, more favorable to transitional justice, the Brazilian Bar Association (*Ordem dos Advogados do Brasil* or OAB) appealed to the Brazilian Supreme Court (*Supremo Tribunal Federal* or STF) questioning the constitutionality of the Amnesty Law.

In the ADPF 153²⁸⁵ the OAB argued that the crime of torture could not be considered a political crime, as in the interpretation of the Amnesty Law to that point, but instead a crime against

²⁸⁰ ibid.

²⁸¹ Schneider, 'Legislative Efforts against Impunity in the 1979 Amnesty Debate in Brazil' (n 248) 5; Glenda Mezarobba, 'Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil' (2010) Sur - International Journal on Human Rights 7, 17.

²⁸² Schneider, 'Legislative Efforts against Impunity in the 1979 Amnesty Debate in Brazil' (n 248) 5.

²⁸³ Abrão and Torelly (n 259) 28.

²⁸⁴ Torelly (n 236) 200.

²⁸⁵ADPF153,SupremoTribunalFederal(STF),29/04/2010<http://redir.stf.jus.br/paginadorpub/paginador.jsp?docTP=AC&docID=612960>.29/04/201029/04/2010

humanity, following the international human rights law, and for that reason could not be forgiven by a blanket amnesty.²⁸⁶ Additionally, a "one-sided" interpretation of the amnesty excluded those involved in "acts of terrorism" (i.e. the armed struggle), but included military personnel who had been part of "state terrorism", highlighting it was not a reciprocal amnesty after all. Lastly, the OAB claimed that torture could not be amnestied under the 1988 Constitution and the UN Human Rights declaration.

However, the outcome was negative as the Court found the Amnesty Law to be constitutional by seven to two votes.²⁸⁷ The winning argument was based in considering that the Amnesty Law was a bilateral negotiation between the civil and the military, and therefore not an auto amnesty and that as a reconciliation law, only the legislative power had the prerogative to modify it.²⁸⁸ The Supreme Court decision was a significant blow for the transitional justice process in Brazil, as it extended and solidified the impunity that emanated from the Amnesty Law to the military crimes committed during the dictatorship.

On the international level, the Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR) ruled against Brazil in the case concerning the Guerrilha do Araguaia (Gomes Lund and Others v Brazil), which concentrates the higher number of direct victims of the regime, counting 64 guerrilla members dead or missing.²⁸⁹ In the judgment, which happened only six months after the ADPF 153, the court considered that the Brazilian amnesty law could not be used as a hindrance to block the investigation and prosecution of gross human rights violations.²⁹⁰

The IACtHR considered that the Brazilian Amnesty Law by preventing the investigation and sanction of gross human rights violations is incompatible with the American Convention on Human Rights and should be revised. The ruling, in contrast with the Brazilian Federal Supreme Court, considered that the Brazilian Amnesty law was, in fact, no different from auto amnesty laws also approved in other Latin American dictatorships.²⁹¹ The IACtHR used the example of

²⁸⁶ Emilio Peluso Neder Meyer, 'Criminal Responsibility in Brazilian Transitional Justice: A Constitutional Interpretative Process under the Paradigm of International Human Rights Law' (2017) 4 Indonesian Journal of International & Comparative Law 41, 49.

²⁸⁷ 'Voto Do Ministro Eros Grau é Pela Anistia Ampla, Geral e Irrestrita' (Supremo Tribunal Federal (STF), 2010) http://www.stf.jus.br/portal/cms/verNoticiaDetalhe.asp?idConteudo=125398> accessed 30 July 2020. ²⁸⁸ Abrão and Torelly (n 259) 35.

²⁸⁹ Quadrat (n 232) 75.

²⁹⁰ Torelly (n 236) 200–201.

²⁹¹ Meyer (n 286) 62.

Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Peru and Uruguay as countries with self-amnesties that later revised those laws "taking into account in good faith their international obligations".²⁹²

The court, while recognizing the efforts of the Brazilian state in its reparations programme, determined that it should criminally investigate the facts of the *Araguaia* case.²⁹³ In 2018, the IACtHR, in *Herzog et al. v Brazil*²⁹⁴ reinterred the decision that evaluated the Brazilian amnesty law as incompatible with the American Convention on Human Rights. Moreover, it argued that the Brazilian state should also re-open the Vladimir Herzog case and investigate the murder the journalist who was tortured and killed by the political police of the military dictatorship, which later forged his death as a staged suicide.

So far, the Brazilian state failed to comply with the judgment of the IACtHR and most of its recommendations²⁹⁵, which included the publication of documents in custody of the armed forces, the determination of the whereabouts of the victims and the prosecution and punishment of those responsible for the crimes in the Araguaia. The main exception, concerning the compliance with the court recommendations, was the creation of a National Truth Commission.

3.3 The National Truth Commission (NTC): An untapped potential

The Brazilian National Truth Commission (NTC) was established in 2011, almost three decades after the end of the military rule, differing from most of the countries, which usually establishes truth commissions within three years after a transition.²⁹⁶ By then, most of the work of truth recovery had already been done by civil society and the earlier reparation commissions, having "little room for new historical discoveries".²⁹⁷

Three important truth-seeking initiatives happened before the NTC, including the statesponsored reparation commissions (CEMPD and the Amnesty Commission). As for the civil society, the main contribution was the 1985 report *Brasil Nunca Mais*²⁹⁸ (Brazil Never Again),

²⁹² Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 'Case of Gomes Lund Et Al . ("Guerrilha Do Araguaia") V . Brazil' (2010) 2010 59.

²⁹³ Mezarobba (n 281) 18.

²⁹⁴ Vladimir Herzog et al. v Brazil, Judgment of March 15, 2018.

²⁹⁵ Meyer (n 286); de Almeida Teles (n 38) 99–100.

²⁹⁶ Onur Bakiner, 'Truth Commission Impact: An Assessment of How Commissions Influence Politics and Society' (2014) 8 International Journal of Transitional Justice 6, 17.

²⁹⁷ Torelly (n 236) 213.

²⁹⁸ Paulo Evaristo Arns and Catholic Church Archdiocese of São Paulo (Brazil), *Brasil, Nunca Mais* (Vozes 1985); The report was also translated to the english language and plublished as 'Torture in Brazil: A report', see Catholic

a project led by the Catholic Church and based on secretly copied documents of the military archives. Published in 1985, and an instant best-seller, the *Brasil Nunca Mais* documented the systematic use of torture by the military dictatorship a decade before any transitional justice initiative by the Brazilian state.²⁹⁹

Being a late truth commission, the NTC had different from an "immediately post conflict investigative body" and its importance of improving stability or establishing truth³⁰⁰ for a new democratic regime. The work of the NTC is better perceived as the "largest rupture with the 'transition by transformation' model" that had marked the Brazilian transitional efforts that far.³⁰¹

More than improving the accountability of the authoritarian past and pressuring the justice system to comply with the international law, the most important contribution of the NTC was to challenge the "informal veto power"³⁰² of the military, representing the first transitional justice mechanism that broke the "tradition regarding military involvement in transitional justice issues in Brazil".³⁰³

The establishment of the NTC caused a "upsurge in transitional justice work in Brazil".³⁰⁴ The most innovative characteristic of the truth commission experience in the country was the existence of concomitant local truth commissions led by universities, trade unions, and municipal and regional governments. A network of around one hundred local truth commissions³⁰⁵ was formed, and many of them also engaged with the NTC providing documents and sharing their findings or even formalizing their partnership in letters of cooperation.³⁰⁶

Church Archdiocese of São Paulo (Brazil) and others, *Torture in Brazil: A Report* (Vintage Books 1986); A digital version entitled 'Brasil Nunca Mais Digit@l', which includes all the documents used to write the report can be found at: http://bnmdigital.mpf.mp.br/pt-br/sobre.html.

²⁹⁹ Nina Schneider and Gisele Iecker de Almeida, 'The Brazilian National Truth Commission (2012–2014) as a State-Commissioned History' in Berber Bevernage and Nico Wouters (eds), *The Palgrave Handbook of State-Sponsored History After 1945* (Palgrave Macmillan UK 2018) 638.

³⁰⁰ Torelly (n 236) 213.

³⁰¹ ibid 195–196.

³⁰² ibid.

³⁰³ ibid 214–215.

³⁰⁴ Anthony W Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' in Peter R Kingstone and Timothy J Power (eds), *Democratic Brazil Divided* (University of Pittsburgh Press 2017) 156.

 ³⁰⁵ Nina Schneider, 'Introduction: The Brazilian Truth Commission in Local, National and Global Perspective' in Nina Schneider (ed), *The Brazilian Truth Commission: Local, National and Global Perspective* (Berghahn 2019)
 3.

³⁰⁶ Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 641–642; Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 156.

The work of the NTC led to a report that "mainly systematizes previously existing information",³⁰⁷ improving accountability by recognizing the human rights violations of the regime in a systematized way and by the first time the Brazilian transitional justice, naming the perpetrators. Torelly highlights that even if "criminal accountability never occurs, some relevant historical accountability has been achieved".³⁰⁸

In this sense, an important contribution of the NTC was to bridge the contradiction between the amnesty law of 1979 and the international treaties signed by the Brazilian state.³⁰⁹ In accordance with the IACtHR ruling in the Gomes Lund case, the NTC considered that the broad and systematic violations of human rights committed by the armed forces were crimes against the humanity and therefore cannot be amnestied.³¹⁰

The final report of the Commission, published in 2014, for the first-time name 377 perpetrators of human rights violations during the military regime. Moreover, it presents an official account of 434 victims of the dictatorship.³¹¹ Beyond the report, the NTC also was integrated to the Brazilian national archives, making available documents from the regime also and part of the audio and video recordings of the commission itself.³¹²

The recommendations of the NTC, distinguishing it from the other commission's reports, are mostly "forward-looking."³¹³ Most of the 29 recommendations denounce human rights violations that still persists in the present days.³¹⁴ Among the recommendations concerning public security and the strengthening of human rights related institutions, the NTC recommended reforms in the judiciary, armed forces, and law enforcement.³¹⁵ It also included changes in the military recruitment and education.³¹⁶

In addition, the NTC recommendations also encompass the armed forces must officially acknowledge the gross violations of human rights committed during the regime. It also recommends the revision of the Brazilian Amnesty law, making reference to the judgment by

³⁰⁷ Torelly (n 236) 213.

³⁰⁸ ibid 214.

 ³⁰⁹ Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 163.
 ³¹⁰ Meyer (n 286) 68; Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 171.

³¹¹ Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 152– 153.

³¹² Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 642.

 ³¹³ Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 170.
 ³¹⁴ Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 643.

³¹⁵ CNV, 'Comissão Nacional Da Verdade - Relatório' (2014) 964–975.

³¹⁶ ibid 967.

the IACtHR.³¹⁷ The commission also present follow-up steps, including the creation of a body to check the enforcement of its recommendations by state authorities.³¹⁸

The report was well received by the international human rights community, but had "mixed reception" from victim groups.³¹⁹ While recognizing the relevance of the commission recommendations, they pointed that there was no relevant advances towards the location of missing corpses or no signal of criminal convictions following the NTC's Report.³²⁰ The president of the victim group *Tortura Nunca Mais – Rio de Janeiro* (Torture Never Again) considered the final report "superficial" and that the investigations could have gone further considering the two years and seven months of the duration of the commission.³²¹

So far, the NTC report has not impacted on policies adopted by the Brazilian state, meaning that no relevant outcome from the NTC, other than the report itself can be noted. Moreover, the report failed to reach mass audiences and is "not being actively promoted and distributed in the form of a public awareness campaign".³²² While it is available free online, the volume of the report with 3.383 pages and the adoption of legal vocabulary can explain the apathy of the Brazilian society towards the report. In addition, the commission could not agree on an executive summary of the report, which would help its dissemination.³²³

Schneider and Almeida acknowledge that concerning the impact on collective memory the NTC has a great potential, by denouncing a "a number of myths about the military dictatorship", including the "portrayal by the military institution of a "war" provoked by the Left and clearly argues that state-sponsored violence took place right from the outset of the military period". However, this potential is not fulfilled considering the modest dissemination and circulation of the report and its findings.³²⁴

³¹⁷ ibid 965; Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 644.

³¹⁸ CNV (n 315) 973.

³¹⁹ Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 646.

³²⁰ Torelly (n 236) 213.

³²¹ "Fundadora do Tortura Nunca Mais considera frustrante relatório da CNV", (Folha de SP, 10/12/2014). Disponível em: http://www1.folha.uol.com.br/poder/2014/12/1560330-fundadora-do-tortura-nuncamaisconsidera-frustrante-relatorio-da-cnv.shtml. Acesso em janeiro de 2015

³²² Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 647.

³²³ ibid 645.

³²⁴ ibid.

3.4 Assessing the Transitional Justice in Brazil: An incomplete memory turn

The transitional justice experience in Brazil, since the formal end of the dictatorship, failed perform a "transformative rescue of the memory" in the country.³²⁵ The sparse transitional measures taken since the 1990s led Teles to observe a "mistreatment of memory"³²⁶ in Brazil. Even in more assertive measures, such as the NTC, memory was processed only a theme or a piece of information without a creative or transformative approach.

Brazil has since lived a pact of oblivion as an argument of reconciliation, of which the amnesty law the main symbol of "institutionalized amnesia".³²⁷ The main sponsors of this policy were the military, with significant support from political actors in the country.

During the Worker's Party governments (2003-2016) it is possible to notice a shift in the approach of the Brazilian state to the transitional justice. This is evident in the incorporation of initiatives of truth-seeking and memorialization in the pre-existing reparation commissions, while the climax of this new phase of transitional justice was the establishment of a truth commission. Nina Schneider defined this a shift from "politics of silence to politics of memory".³²⁸ or as Rebecca Atencio puts it, a "memory's turn" where the Brazilian state started to "slowly abandoning its previous discourse of reconciliation by institutionalized forgetting in favor of a new one based on reconciliation by institutionalized memory".³²⁹

Although the military resistance to the implementation of transitional justice measures is indisputable, another significant obstacle to it is the lack of interest of the Brazilian society itself in dealing with its violent past. Differing from other countries in Latin American that faced similar military regimes, in Brazil there is a "collective denial of the military past"³³⁰ and the memory was reduced to the "private remembrance" of the victims, their families, and some institutions that supported then such as the Catholic Church and human rights activists.³³¹

³²⁵ Tayara Talita Lemos, 'Justiça de Transição e 1988 Ou Sobre Porque Precisamos de Um Constitucionalismo Transicional e Políticas de Justa Memória Permanentes' in Lucas Azevedo Paulino (ed), *Constituição, democracia e jurisdição: um panorama dos últimos 30 anos* (IDDE 2018) 316–318.

³²⁶ Edson Teles, 'Transição, Consenso e Violência Política Na Democracia Brasileira' in Pontes et Al (ed), *Cultura, memória e poder: diálogos interdisciplinares* (EdUERJ 2013).

³²⁷ Lemos (n 325) 316–318.

³²⁸ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 199.

³²⁹ Rebecca Atencio, *Memory's Turn: Reckoning with Dictatorship in Brazil* (University of Wisconsin Press 2014) 17.

 ³³⁰ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 199.
 ³³¹ ibid.

While the struggle for the amnesty and the mobilization of the *Diretas Já* evidenced great involvement of the Brazilian society during the democratization period, the same was not shown concerning the appeal for justice and accountability surrounding the gross human rights violations of the regime.³³² Schneider argues that while in the Argentinian context there is a "dominant memory" on the authoritarian rule of the military, the Brazilian case is a defined by a "denial" or a deeply divided notion of the past, or simply put a "unmastered past."³³³ This means that the historical legacy of the military dictatorship is still unresolved in the collective memory of the nation, while silence or polarized views thrive among the different groups of society. The lack of a public consensus means that Brazil fails to narrate its own history in a "broadly accepted manner."³³⁴

However, this indifference or desire for forgetfulness is not collective, and agents from different groups in civil society wished the preservation of their memories and perspectives of the past.³³⁵ Groups such as the victims and a more engaged civil society found more resonance for their memories, especially in the reparation's commissions and latter with the NTC. Still, all these actions had limited publicity and framed as a "stage for political debate"³³⁶ between the ones who supported the government or not.

The pressure of such groups was not sufficient to generate mobilization for more far-reaching measures involving accountability and justice, at least on the national level.³³⁷ The Brazilian state only conceded an extensive reparation program, while keeping the legacy of the amnesty law intact. The focus on the victim-centered financial compensation, combined with the lack of publicity of the other initiatives of the reparation commissions, led to the dissemination of distorted view of these reparations³³⁸ as a "dictatorship pension".³³⁹

³³² Mezarobba (n 281) 16.

³³³ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 204; Schneider draws the concept of unmastered past from Gavriel Rosenfeld that definies it as a "historical legacy that has acquired an exception, abnormal, or otherwise unsettled status in the collective memory of a given society, see Gavriel D Rosenfeld, 'A Looming Crash or a Soft Landing? Forecasting the Future of the Memory "Industry" (2009) 81 Journal of Modern History 122, 196–197.

 ³³⁴ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 204.
 ³³⁵ Ana Paula Ferreira de Brito and Maria Leiticia M Ferreira, 'As Reivindicações Por Memória e Verdade e a Comissão Nacional Da Verdade: Construindo a Memória Social Sobre o Período Militar No Brasil' in Emílio Peluso Neder Meyer and Marcelo Andrade Cattoni De Oliveira (eds), *Justiça de Transição nos 25 anos da Constituição de 1988* (Initia Via 2014) 39.
 ³³⁶ ibid 56.

³³⁷ Mezarobba (n 281) 13–14.

³³⁸ ibid 16.

³³⁹ Quadrat (n 232) 83.

The focus on the reparation program led to a "individualization and a depoliticizing of the question of truth",³⁴⁰ reinforcing a false narrative that the amnesty law provided a reciprocal forgiveness to both sides, radicals (or terrorists) and the armed forces, who were part of a revolutionary war.³⁴¹ The persistence of the amnesty law, sedimented by the Brazilian Supreme Court in the "spirit of national reconciliation"³⁴², proved to be the major obstacle to the implementation of transitional justice in Brazil, while still being a "core element"³⁴³ concerning the construction of the memory of the dictatorship.

The lack of a thorough transitional justice project in Brazil contributed to the non-existence of a hegemonic national collective memory of the military dictatorship. The result is that specific groups, such as victims and the military, had room to construct and brew their memories for long decades, apart from state-sponsored initiatives. This had significant implications for different groups, as for the victims, it meant more obstacles in the pursuit of justice, as the Amnesty Law was based in this pact of reconciliation as forgetfulness.³⁴⁴ For the military, this entailed more complex ramifications. On one side, they argued for forgetfulness by defending the Amnesty Law and its current interpretation, but on the other side, they also formed their own collective memory on the dictatorship.

Even if the post-1985 period presented a shift towards the implementation of transitional justice measures, this process was not a constant and on-going, but rather a volatile one. The Fernando Henrique Cardoso administration (1995-2003) inaugurated the first reparation commission, but also approved a legislation that increased the limitation for access to "top secret" documents, making it increasingly difficult to access information in the archives of the armed forces.³⁴⁵ The Worker's Party administrations (2003-2016), on the other hand, faced criticism on failing to comply with the IACtHR ruling that demanded the investigation of the *Guerrilla do Araguaia* case and the revision of the amnesty Law. In this sense, the establishment of the truth commission can be read as a "diplomatic project"³⁴⁶ towards the international human rights community with no significant outcomes.

³⁴⁰ Nina Schneider, "'Too Little Too Late" or "Premature"? The Brazilian Truth Commission and the Question of "Best Timing" (2013) 19 Journal of Iberian and Latin American Research 149, 154.

³⁴¹ Quadrat (n 232) 77.

³⁴² Teles and Quinalha (n 257) 31.

³⁴³ Quadrat (n 232) 77.

³⁴⁴ Atencio, Memory's Turn: Reckoning with Dictatorship in Brazil (n 329) 15.

³⁴⁵ Santos (n 258) 59.

³⁴⁶ Schneider, "Too Little Too Late" or "Premature"? The Brazilian Truth Commission and the Question of "Best Timing" (n 340) 154.

It is crucial to notice how the approval of the NTC and its mandate happened during the Dilma Rousseff administration. Rousseff's approval ratings were sky-high³⁴⁷ when the NTC started to work, but soon after the delivery of its report, the government was facing a substantial economic and political crisis that preceded her impeachment in 2016.³⁴⁸ This adds another layer to the explanation concerning the lack of implementation of the recommendations of the NTC and transitional justice measures overall. It shows how this new phase of transitional justice was too dependent of the political will of those in power at the time and, for this reason, vulnerable to the dynamics of politics and power struggles.³⁴⁹ The complete halt in the transitional justice process in Brazil after Rousseff's impeachment show an incisive interruption in this "memory turn"³⁵⁰ that was happening, even if slowly, since 2005 in the country.

During the Michel Temer administration (2016-2019) the creation of a post-NTC monitoring body was canceled³⁵¹ and six members of the Amnesty Commission were exonerated. In the same day, Temer appointed twenty new members to it, changing the 16-member format to 30 members in total, in an unprecedent interference on the work of the commission.³⁵² Victimgroups protested outraged with the fact that some of the new components had direct links with the military regime.³⁵³ In addition, Temer established that new financial compensations for victims needed the approval of the AGU (*Advocacia Geral de União*) representing the end of the autonomy of the Amnesty Commission. This led to the increase of rejection in the applications of the commission to a 37% rate in 2017 to 88% in 2018.³⁵⁴

³⁴⁷ On April/2012, one month before the NTC started to function, Dilma's government was approved by 56% of the Brazilians, this number went to 12% on April/2015, just four months before the NTC published its final report, see

³⁴⁸ On Rousseff's impeachment process, see chapter 4

³⁴⁹ Schneider, "Too Little Too Late" or "Premature"? The Brazilian Truth Commission and the Question of "Best Timing" (n 340) 150.

³⁵⁰ Atencio, Memory's Turn: Reckoning with Dictatorship in Brazil (n 329).

³⁵¹ Torelly (n 236) 214–215.

³⁵² Marcella Fernandes, 'Mudanças Do Governo Bolsonaro Em Comissões Desmontam Anos de Políticas de Reparação Da Ditadura ' (*HuffPost Brasil*, 1 February 2020) https://www.huffpostbrasil.com/entry/comissos-ditadura-

 $bolson aro_br_5e2eed99c5b6779e9c37adc1?guccounter=1\&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29vZ2xlLmNvbS8\&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAANmoXm-6EvlA7inJOOKi7eFnc1G7k30-$

CIdRqOLw_eBEya7Z_TC4V1rE8PsxLK6F0fZdhA6gMbYHbET-

⁶BaXQsdGnnWqxsLQdsTheSurDrHiRyRlYbrGf-Z5a8CzBS1eB0-

vOnRbE5Wl6jyFV0bjDy5EWNY4U7LifJ1_ICuoc0OF> accessed 29 July 2020.

³⁵³ 'Defensores de Direitos Humanos Repudiam Intervenção de Temer Na Comissão de Anistia ' (*Ponte Jornalismo*, 3 September 2016) accessed 29 July 2020.">https://ponte.org/governo-temer-intervem-na-comissao-da-anistia/> accessed 29 July 2020.

³⁵⁴ Fernandes (n 352).

Overall, the transitional justice experience in Brazil can be considered so far to be "moderate, gradual, non-confrontational, and conservative".³⁵⁵ If the turn to memory that started in the Worker's Party governments was stopped after Rousseff's impeachment, the election of Jair Bolsonaro, presented not only a challenge for the late and incipient transitional justice in Brazil, but the democracy itself. In the next chapter, we deal with the effects of *Bolsonarismo* on the perception about the military regime in the public sphere, and how the participation of the military in the rise of far-right populism in putting Brazilian democracy in a wave of autocratization.

³⁵⁵ Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 158.

CHAPTER 4 – Bolsonarismo and the Dictatorship Nostalgia: Far-right populism in Brazil and a return to authoritarianism

This chapter is dedicated to the rise of far-right populism in Brazil and its relations with a dictatorship nostalgia in the country. The rise of *Bolsonarismo* presented the re-emergence of the military to the central stage of Brazilian politics and also entailed the elevation of the military memory on the dictatorship from the barracks to the mainstream. Lastly, it presents the detrimental effects of *Bolsonarismo* in democracy and how the characteristics of the transitional justice experience in Brazil led the country to be more prone to authoritarianism revivals.

4.1 From Lulismo to Bolsonarismo: An irruption of memory and the rise of the far-right in Brazil

The period between 2002-2016 marked the years of *Lulismo*³⁵⁶ in Brazil, encompassing four electoral victories of the left-wing Worker's Party (PT) in the country in the governments by its main leader Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva (2003-2010) and his successor and *protégé* Dilma Rouseff (2011-2016). The downfall of the Worker's Party³⁵⁷, after thirteen years in power, meant more than the rise of a new right-wing order, embodied in Bolsonaro's populism, but also an irruption of memory concerning the legacy of the military dictatorship in the country.

During the years of the Worker's Party in power, Brazilian democracy showed important signs of stability and consolidation.³⁵⁸ Among its achievements were massive programs of social inclusion such as *Bolsa Família* and *Fome Zero* and the sustained economic growth³⁵⁹, which reduced social inequality.³⁶⁰ Moreover, Brazil's "growing influence on international

³⁵⁶ André Singer, *Os Sentidos Do Lulismo: Reforma Gradual e Pacto Conservador* (Companhia das Letras 2012); David Samuels and Cesar Zucco, 'Lulismo, Petismo , and the Future of Brazilian Politics ' (2014) 6 Journal of Politics in Latin America 129.

³⁵⁷ Ruy Braga and Sean Purdy, 'A Precarious Hegemony: Neo-Liberalism, Social Struggles, and the End of Lulismo in Brazil' (2019) 16 Globalizations 201.

³⁵⁸ Peter R Kingstone and Timothy J Power, 'Introduction: A Fourth Decade of Brazilian Democracy' [2017] Democratic Brazil Divided 3, 5.

³⁵⁹ Laura Carvalho, Valsa Brasileira: Do Boom Ao Caos Econômico (Todavia 2018).

³⁶⁰ Wendy Hunter and Natasha Borges Sugiyama, 'Assessing the Bolsa Família' [2017] Democratic Brazil Divided 131; Anthony W Pereira, 'Bolsa Família and Democracy in Brazil' (2015) 36 Third World Quarterly 1682.

relations^{"361} could be perceived by its role in the BRICS and a more active and relevant foreign policy.

Singer describes the project of the Workers' Party as a "Rooseveltian dream"³⁶² with policies aimed towards social integration and tackling inequality. The success of these policies shaped the relations of the popular sectors of Brazilian society and Lula, especially in the more impoverished northeast region.³⁶³

However, corruption scandals and the poor economic performance in the last years of Rousseff's term coincided with the rise of a new right in the country. The decline of *Lulismo* can be pointed in three major happenings: the demonstrations of June 2013, the Car-Wash (*Lava Jato*) operation, and, lastly, the impeachment of Rousseff in 2016.

The demonstrations of June 2013 marked a period when "uncertainty emerged in Brazilian politics".³⁶⁴ The protests started in São Paulo, demanding a reduction in public transportations fares, and mainly supported by left-wing movements, but suddenly and unexpectedly became something entirely else.³⁶⁵ The demonstrations "showed the contradictions between the image of Brazil as an emerging international power and a country whose inequality and poverty were still among its principal problems".³⁶⁶ The protests in Brazil, much like the Arab Spring³⁶⁷, suggested that the years of economic growth and social mobility had "stimulated new demands among the lower middle class, while the upper middle class felt its status threatened by 'newcomers' from below".³⁶⁸

By then, the Workers' Party, in the government for more than a decade, had "consolidated as a bureaucracy over the state".³⁶⁹ With its main leaders participating in the federal administration, the party had lost presence in the streets and a closer connection with social movements and Unions. These characteristics were part of the identity of the party and the reason for its electoral success.³⁷⁰

³⁶¹ Goldstein (n 103) 247.

³⁶² André Singer, *O Lulismo Em Crise: Um Quebra-Cabeça Do Período Dilma (2011-2016)* (Companhia das Letras 2018).

³⁶³ Goldstein (n 103) 247.

³⁶⁴ ibid.

³⁶⁵ Braga and Purdy (n 357) 206.

³⁶⁶ Goldstein (n 103) 247.

³⁶⁷ Rosana Pinheiro-Machado, Amanhã Vai Ser Maior: O Que Aconteceu Com o Brasil e Possíveis Rotas de Fuga Para a Crise Atual (Planeta 2019) 204.

³⁶⁸ Goldstein (n 103) 247.

³⁶⁹ ibid 248.

³⁷⁰ ibid.

While the federal government underestimated the significance and the dimensions of these new actors, they were capitalized by emerging right-wing movements³⁷¹. Some of them already in formation in the past decade, these movements faced a perfect storm with their antiestablishment and corruption discourse echoing in the streets and social media. Pinheiro-Machado, for instance, argues how, with the help of the mainstream media coverage, soon, the protests started to be read as mere criticism to the corruption in the Worker's Party government.³⁷²

The 2014 presidential elections happened amidst a polarization unseen since the democratization in 1985. Dilma's narrow victory for reelection against the PSDB (*Partido Social Democrata Brasileiro*) candidate Aécio Neves was followed by a "destabilization tactic"³⁷³ adopted by the opposition in a twofold plan including by not accepting the results of the presidential election and coopting the government's main ally in Congress; the *Partido do Movimento Democrático Brasileiro* (PMDB).

Rousseff's second term would not reach its end. By August 2016, the PMDB-PSDB led impeachment process ended the almost fourteen years of the Worker's Party in government and turned her vice-president and former ally Michel Temer an improbable and unpopular president. His Administration had an inherent weakness as he lacked popularity and political credibility, being in power only due to a controversial impeachment process, which many consider a palace coup.³⁷⁴ For this reason, Temer resorted to other centers of political power, such as the military, to hold itself on the office.³⁷⁵

The Car-Wash Operation (*Lava Jato*) was hugely influential, not only on the 2016 impeachment but further on the election for Temer's succession. It started as an operation dealing with corruption scandals in oil state company Petrobras, but soon derailed into a lawfare instrument, especially by the hands of judge Sergio Moro, raised to the condition of judicial celebrity and national hero against corruption. Moro contributed to the impeachment of Rousseff by leaking compromising, but illegal, hearings of the president at the time, inflaming the political crisis Dilma was already suffering. Later, already in 2018, he ordered Lula's imprisonment, utilizing a new and controversial constitutional interpretation, and taking the former president out of the

³⁷¹ ibid 247–248.

³⁷² Pinheiro-Machado (n 367) 326.

³⁷³ Goldstein (n 103) 248.

³⁷⁴ Braga and Purdy (n 357).

³⁷⁵ Goldstein (n 103) 250–251.

electoral run. Months later, he was appointed Minister of Justice of the Bolsonaro government.³⁷⁶

Ariel Goldstein claims that "Bolsonaro's appeal has grown where the order constructed in the past decade by *Lulismo* has eroded".³⁷⁷ Parallel to the decline of *Lulismo*, the radical right-wing advanced and impacted both the political culture and public discourse. If, in the last decade, the main challenges and debates in Brazilian politics were about "inequality and unfair wealth distribution", now they were dislocated to a discussion about "corruption and dishonesty" that favored populist approaches.³⁷⁸

A new right-wing order emerged, at first not by popular vote but by Temer's presidency. In this sense, the many corruption scandals – that were detrimental not only to PT but to the whole political class – plus a total disenchantment with democracy itself that had started since the 2013 demonstrations and was boosted during Temer's unpopular and unstable term, paved the way for "extreme solutions"³⁷⁹ to be found in the ballot.

Bolsonarismo was only possible in this context of a "clear cultural battle to redefine issues in the public square from a right-wing perspective"³⁸⁰ that favored his unlikely presidential run in 2018. This conservative uprising included new "digital movements" such as MBL (Movimento Brasil Livre), *Revoltados Online* and *Vem Pra Rua³⁸¹* emerging from the June 2013 demonstrations, but also public figures such as Evangelical leaders³⁸² with close ties to politics and media and long-time regime apologists such as the polemicist Olavo de Carvalho.

In the middle of this "struggle over cultural hegemony"³⁸³ that reshaped the public sphere, the strategy of this emerging radical right was to isolate politically the still relevant politically left that had ruled the country for more than a decade. What bonded all these different actors were the construction of a "leftist enemy".³⁸⁴ Then the narrative of anti-communism and the revisionism towards the dictatorship thrived, not only restricted within small circles of militaries and their supporters but on a broader political sphere.

³⁷⁶ Perry Anderson, *Brazil Apart: 1964-2019* (Verso Books 2019).

³⁷⁷ Goldstein (n 103) 254–255.

³⁷⁸ ibid 252–253.

³⁷⁹ ibid 254.

³⁸⁰ ibid 256.

³⁸¹ Vitor de Angelo, 'Saudade Dos Militares: Os Pedidos de Retorno Das Forças Armadas Ao Poder No Brasil' (2018) 5 REB - Revista de Estudios Brasileños 93, 97.

³⁸² Ronaldo de Almeida, 'The Broken Wave: Evangelicals and Conservatism in the Brazilian Crisis' (2020) 10 HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory 32.

³⁸³ Goldstein (n 103) 256.

³⁸⁴ ibid 247.

This represents a direct link from the present to the past, as this group re-enacted the pseudodilemma the military use until today to justify the coup in 1964. The protests for Dilma's impeachment in 2015 represented the first time the right was in the streets since the democratization.³⁸⁵ The new right order paired with the military as saviors of democracy prompted to assume the power in order to avoid an implausible communist threat. The left, on the other hand, were labeled as communists that corrupted the political order and should be avoided at all costs.

Moreover, it gathered support from the same middle class that supported the coup in 1964. Aesthetically, the marches re-enacted in the present day the Marches of the Family with God for Freedom (*Marcha da Família com Deus pela Liberdade*) that preceded João Goulart's coup. One more time, the military was seen as an alternative to combat supposed radicals in power, showing that a significant part of the population had a positive memory about the 1964-1985 period.³⁸⁶ Many signs of the protesters made direct references to the military and their regime asking for a "military intervention" to "save us once again from communism" or asking, "why haven't you killed them all in 1964?".³⁸⁷

This re-emergence of popular support for military evidences how that even when during the period of transition is decided to not deal with the past, the topic is still susceptible to return, sometimes suddenly to the public sphere. We have already seen how the political elites avoided the reckoning with the dictatorial past in Brazil for many years after the transition. This led to the collective memories of groups such as the military and victims to be shaped without those topics reaching the public sphere. However, these repressed memories can always come back to the political arena, influencing the outcomes of current political events.

Wilde, analyzing Chile after Pinochet's arrest, pointed out how periods of political turmoil can cause an "irruption of memory".³⁸⁸ In his words, those irruptions happen in the context of a "deeply divided public discourse" where "contending and mutually exclusive collective representations of the past" are in shock.³⁸⁹ The downfall of *Lulismo* and the rise of *Bolsonarismo* echoed the same type of polarization in politics of 1964, as this series of events

³⁸⁵ Angelo (n 381) 97.

³⁸⁶ ibid 102.

³⁸⁷ Angelo (n 381).

³⁸⁸ Alexander Wilde, 'Irruptions of Memory: Expressive Politics in Chile's Transition to Democracy' (1999) 31 Journal of Latin American Studies 473.

³⁸⁹ ibid 475.

- from the protests of 2013 to Rousseff's impeachment - represented not only a disenchantment with democracy but also an irruption of memory concerning the Brazilian authoritarian past.

The rise of a new right-wing order in Brazil paved the way for a specific type of far-right populism that is proving itself particularly dangerous to Brazilian democracy. The pandora box was opened, and a wave of revisionist narratives about the dictatorship flooded the public sphere. In common, they all downplayed the gross violations of human rights by the military regime, while exalted the economic performance during the "economic miracle" of the dictatorship years. Besides, they portrayed the military as incorruptible figures that were essential to avoid Brazil's fate of becoming a Cuba-like regime in the 60s.³⁹⁰

A former military himself, Bolsonaro always praised the legacy of the military regime. If, after the democratization, the topic was a taboo for politicians, the same could not be said after his election in 2018.³⁹¹ This irruption of memory paved the way for politicians like Bolsonaro to be elected, which was unthinkable before. Moreover, it allowed an opportunity for the revisionist collective memory of the military concerning the regime to leave the barracks to the mainstream.

4.2 The Military Memory: From the barracks to the mainstream

The military perspective can be noticed by their reluctance to engage with any transitional justice measure taken in the country, but also by their interpretation of the events that happened during the dictatorship. Officially, the Armed Forces never recognized that a *coup d'état* happened in 1964, still celebrating the 31 of march and refereeing to it as the "revolution of 64". Moreover, the military always resisted giving complete access to their files on the period or officially apologized for the victims and their families.³⁹²

³⁹⁰ Gisele lecker de Almeida, 'Undoing Brazil's Dictatorial Past' in Natascha Mueller-Hirth and Sandra Rios Oyola (eds), *Time and Temporality in Transitional and Post-Conflict Societies* (Routledge 2018).

³⁹¹ Rebecca J Atencio, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (2019) 118 Current History 68, 68.

³⁹² Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 158; Atencio, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (n 391) 69.

At the heart of the military collective memory is the Brazilian version of a "two demons" theory were violations happened at "both sides" of a revolutionary war.³⁹³ This discourse can be noted in the reluctance of the armed forces in participating in transitional justice measures and the criticism they direct towards all the initiatives. While the reparation commissions were merely tolerated, a more reactive approach can be observed by the military reaction towards revisions in the Amnesty Law and the establishment of the NTC. The military, in all cases, was particularly worried about the possible punishment of agents.³⁹⁴

The military always labeled any transitional justice measure, especially those towards justice, as a vendetta of the left in Brazil (*revanchismo*)³⁹⁵ and as a campaign to demoralize the armed forces. The military commonly uses the term *revanchismo* in order to refute punishment or criticism for the human rights violations that occurred in the regime, by suggesting the claim for justice or accountability is demand based on the personal vengeance of the victims or the left in general.³⁹⁶

The reaction of the president of the *Clube Militar* (Military Club)³⁹⁷ to the final report of the NTC is a perfect example of how the military perceives any transitional justice measure as *revanchismo*:

The report only could be a collection of half-truths, calumnies, and whole lies, packed with pieces of truth which disclosure is biased because of the socialist orientation of its commissioners. From the 29 recommendations, many targets the Armed Forces, as agents of the State. There is no reference to the activity of terrorists, guerrilla fighters, kidnappers, and leftist murderers that were trying to take power by force and establish in the country a communist totalitarian regime following the Soviet, Chinese or Cuban model. [...] The hate and the wish of vengeance are so big that lawyers, jurists and university professors sign such absurd. All in the name of the socialist cause. [...] The report is as laughable and partial as the commission itself. (*Author's translation*)³⁹⁸

As we saw previously, the Amnesty Law represented a pact for amnesia³⁹⁹, however, as democracy was slowly consolidating and human rights started being part of the national agenda,

³⁹⁷ Organization of retired members of the Armed Forces

³⁹³ Renan Honório Quinalha, 'Com Quantos Lados Se Faz Uma Verdade? Notas Sobre a Comissão Nacional Da Verdade e a "Teoria Dos Dois Demônios" (2013) 15 Revista Jurídica da Presidência 181, 192; Quadrat (n 232) 77.

³⁹⁴ Schneider and de Almeida (n 299) 641.

³⁹⁵ Fernanda Teixeira Moreira, 'Para Além Das Casernas: Memória de Direita, Revanchismo e Justiça de Transição No Brasil' in Maria Paula Araujo and António Costa Pinto (eds), *Democratização, memória e justiça de transição nos países lusófonos* (Autografia and EDUPE 2017) 43.

³⁹⁶ Schneider, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (n 264) 204.

³⁹⁸ Lucas Salomão, 'Clube Militar vê Coleção de "calúnias" Em Relatório Da Comissão Da Verdade' (*G1 - Política*, December 2014) http://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2014/12/relatorio-e-absurdo-em-nome-da-causa-socialista-diz-clube-militar.html accessed 4 August 2020.

³⁹⁹ Moreira (n 395) 44.

politics of reparation and memory advanced as well. Simultaneously, groups formed not only by members of the armed forces but also by regime apologists surfaced to defend the prerogatives of the military and a positive memory of the coup in 1964 and the regime itself.⁴⁰⁰ Moreover, they perceive negatively those victims who are part of the reparation program, considering it a way to make money, and how many leftist militants that opposed to the dictatorship participate in politics in the post-authoritarian governments.⁴⁰¹

These positions did not suddenly appear in the political scenario or were mere reactions to the implementation of transitional justice in Brazil. Instead, they reflect the existence of a battle for memory about the military dictatorship. As a counterpoint to the voices of victims, a right-winged intellectual field started to "deliberately interfere in the public space"⁴⁰² in order to legitimate a specific view of the past.

As described previously in chapter 2, the past is a construction that serves to "justify the present order", but its arrangement is never something truly stable.⁴⁰³ This is true because the collective remembrance of political events is a direct product of "specific power constellations of a given society".⁴⁰⁴ While for many years the military memory of the events of the dictatorship could be considered to be in the margins of the public discourse, the rise of this new right-wing order presented an opportunity for a change in the general perception of the legacy of the military in power.

Molden states that a community never has an entirely homogenous view of the past as "tensions between different groups (often with opposed collective memories) do persist within the collective", thus the "meaning of the past is always negotiated".⁴⁰⁵ In this sense, drastic changes in the political scenario also present a window where groups can challenge the predominant interpretation of history to impose a new narrative about the past.⁴⁰⁶

The military and regime apologists, posed as victims of the leftist *revanchismo*, started to dispute the memories of the military regime in the public sphere⁴⁰⁷, mostly by the publication of books and biographies, and the creation of websites which offered a revisionist reflection of the memories of the dictatorship. They tried to relativize or justify topics such as the use of

⁴⁰⁰ ibid.

⁴⁰¹ Quadrat (n 232) 81-83.

⁴⁰² Moreira (n 395) 42–43.

⁴⁰³ Molden (n 168) 117.

⁴⁰⁴ ibid 118.

⁴⁰⁵ ibid 131.

⁴⁰⁶ ibid 140.

⁴⁰⁷ Moreira (n 395) 46.

torture, the disappearances, and the repression. Among these publications, the book *A Verdade Sufocada* (The Strangled Truth) published by the former Colonel Brilhante Ustra⁴⁰⁸ in which he wrote: "Enough of silence! Write. Do it like they do, even if you are not a writer"⁴⁰⁹.

Part of their strategy was mirroring civil society's initiatives oriented against the legacy of the dictatorship. The NGO "*Tortura Nunca Mais*" (Torture Never Again) had as its counterpart "*Terrorismo Nunca Mais*" (Terrorism Never Again) or TERNUMA to exteriorize a positive image of the military regime, among their founders, was Ustra. These groups intended not only to dispute the findings of the truth-seeking transitional justice measures implemented by the state, but they also entailed an extreme right political project.⁴¹⁰

Olavo de Carvalho, polemicist and political guru of president Bolsonaro, said about the TERNUMA, that the "collective memory is entirely held hostage by two forces: the media and the national system of education" and that those who dominate these forces would be able to "change the past, counterfeit the present, and put the people in the way for a fictitious future". For Carvalho, the far-right sought to "reconquest the true perspective of the whole, that was robbed from the Brazilian memory by Manichean manipulators".⁴¹¹

The construction of a positive image of the dictatorship cannot co-exist with the recognition of victims and the widespread and systematized use of torture by the military. The use of categories such as victims and perpetrators, as well as the human rights lexicon⁴¹², turns transitional justice an obstacle in the proliferation of this revisionist way to deal with the past adopted by the military and absorbed by the populist far-right.

The negligence towards a broader and far-reaching politics of memory in the post-authoritarian Brazil meant that the discussion about the dictatorship does not reach the society, as more effective and far-reaching measures were never taken. Even if the debate is centered on the memories of restricted groups, such as the victims, the civil society and the military, the fact that the debate is always perceived as a political-partisan topic⁴¹³, between the left *versus* the

⁴⁰⁸ Chief of the OBAN/DOI-CODI, repression organ of the Army, during the dictatorship.

⁴⁰⁹ Carlos Alberto Brilhante Ustra, *A Verdade Sufocada: História Que a Esquerda Não Quer Que o Brasil Conheça* (Ser ed, 2007) 566.

⁴¹⁰ Odilon Caldeira Neto, 'Neofascismo, "Nova República" e a Ascensão Das Direitas No Brasil' (2020) 10 Conhecer: debate entre o público e o privado 120, 133.

⁴¹¹ Olavo de Carvalho, 'Militares e a Memória Nacional' (31 December 2000) <https://olavodecarvalho.org/militares-e-a-memoria-nacional/> accessed 4 August 2020.

 ⁴¹² Elizabeth Jelin, 'Los Derechos Humanos y La Memoria de La Violencia Política y La Represión: La Construcción de Un Campo Nuevo En Las Ciencias Sociales' (2004) 27 Estudios Sociales 91, 97–98.
 ⁴¹³ Ferreira de Brito and Ferreira (n 335) 57.

right, reverberates the discussion beyond what is initially expected and spills in a broader political arena.

The re-emergence of the military memory of the dictatorship to the mainstream is evident with the election of Bolsonaro. Moreover, it was particularly easy because of the characteristics of the Brazilian transition to democracy (and its transitional justice experience) failed to compose a hegemonic collective memory on its authoritarian past. Soon, they were able not only to engage in this memory war for the meaning of the past but to participate actively in the current politics.

The interference of the military in politics after the democratization was restricted to block any attempts of opening the archives of the dictatorship. However, Murilo de Carvalho argues that this stated to change in 2015⁴¹⁴, amid the political and economic crisis of Rousseff's second term, when Gen. Hamilton Mourão claimed that "awakening of the patriotic fight" was the way out for the political crisis.⁴¹⁵ Mourão would be elected vice-president on Bolsonaro's ticket in 2018.

The military had already played an important role in Temer's government. Politically weak, he conceded positions never occupied by the armed forces since the democratization in 1985 and also approved the military intervention in Rio de Janeiro in 2018. The Army Commander-in-Chief Gen. Villas Boas said they needed "guaranties to act without the risk of another truth commission".⁴¹⁶ The military was now definitively active in the political landscape.

4.3 Bolsonarismo and Far-right Right Populism: The dictatorship nostalgia

The rise of Bolsonaro to power also represented the rise of far-right populism in Brazil. Still, even though many scholars' point how populism endangers democracy⁴¹⁷, there is not a proper consensus on how to define populism, making the term essentially a politically contested one.⁴¹⁸

⁴¹⁴ de Carvalho (n 29).

⁴¹⁵ Tahiane Stochero, 'General Defende "despertar Para Luta Patriótica" Em Palestra No RS ' (*G1 - Política*, 20 October 2015) http://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2015/10/general-defende-despertar-para-luta-patriotica-empalestra-no-rs.html accessed 4 August 2020.

⁴¹⁶ Mário Magalhães, Sobre Lutas e Lágrimas: Uma Biografia de 2018, o Ano Em Que o Brasil Flertou Com o Apocalipse (Editora Record 2019) 55.

⁴¹⁷ Federico Finchelstein and Nadia Urbinati, 'On Populism and Democracy' (2018) 1 Populism 15; Roger Eatwell and Matthew Goodwin, *National Populism: The Revolt Against Liberal Democracy* (Penguin Books Limited 2018); Henrik Bang and David Marsh, 'Populism: A Major Threat to Democracy?' (2018) 39 Policy Studies 352. ⁴¹⁸ Jan-Werner Müller, *What Is Populism?* (Penguin Books Limited 2017).

The ideational approach, by establishing some common characteristics shared among populists, is a successful attempt to explain the causes and effects of populism, allowing it to be applied to many different contexts. This approach focuses on defining populism as a thin-centred ideology that is based on a narrative in which a moral and Manichean distinction is made between the "pure people" and a "corrupted elite".⁴¹⁹

Cas Mudde defines populism as:

a thin-centred ideology that considers society to be ultimately separated into two homogenous and antagonistic groups, 'the pure people' versus 'the corrupt elite,' and which argues that politics should be an expression of the *volonté générale* (general will) of the people.⁴²⁰

Conceiving populism as a "thin-centered ideology" means that it always appears attached to other "concepts or ideological families".⁴²¹ While in most of the cases, nationalism is attached to populism, in Brazil, there is a specific kind of nationalism linked to Bolsonaro's populism, making it paired with a dictatorship nostalgia. The nationalism for the Brazilian far-right goes beyond the appropriation of national colors and symbols, adopting the aesthetics from the street protests for Rousseff's impeachment in 2015 in which the national football team yellow and green jerseys were the most fashionable outfit. Embedded in this particular type of populism is the elevation of the armed forces and the police into a beacon of national identity and pride.

Brazilian far-right populism walks hand in hand with dictatorship nostalgia. Bolsonaro's speech when he, as a congressman, voted for Dilma Rousseff's impeachment, can summarize his style of populism, and how intertwined it is with a dictatorship nostalgia:

"They had lost in 64; they lost now in 2016. For the families and the innocence of the children in the schools, that PT never cared for, against communism, for our freedom, against the *Foro de São Paulo*, for the memory of Colonel Carlos Alberto Brilhante Ustra, the tormentor of Dilma Rousseff, for the Army of Caxias, for the armed forces, for Brazil above everything and to God above all, my vote is: yes". (*Author's translation*)⁴²²

In Bolsonaro's populism, the "corrupted elite" encompasses the cultural, academic, and (part of) the political elite.⁴²³ Reviving the cold war use of communism as a political scarecrow, he

⁴¹⁹ Kirk A Hawkins, 'The Ideational Approach', *Routledge Handbook of Global Populism* (2018); Kai Arzheimer, 'Explaining Electoral Support for the Radical Right', *The Oxford Handbook of the Radical Right* (2018).

⁴²⁰ Cas Mudde, 'The Populist Zeitgeist' (2004) Government and Opposition 543.

⁴²¹ Cas Mudde and Cristóbal Rovira Kaltwasser, *Populism: A Very Short Introduction* (Oxford University Press 2017) 19.

⁴²² Oyama (n 92) 4.

⁴²³ Marcos Nobre, Ponto-Final: A Guerra de Bolsonaro Contra a Democracia (Todavia 2020).

labels all his opponents as leftists or communists, and blame them for any problems in the country.⁴²⁴ Bolsonaro uses this Manichean distinction to pose as a "Christian warrior of patriotism and family values" that needs to be trusted without questioning.⁴²⁵

Straight from the populist handbook, Bolsonaro also provided simple solutions for complex problems, especially regarding public safety, which resonated in cities like São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro and their struggle with violence and organized crime. In his conservative and populist narrative, Bolsonaro adopted firearms as a campaign symbol and promised that, if elected, he would legalize and promote their use for "good citizens" to be able to protect themselves and their property.⁴²⁶

Bolsonarismo is based on a promise that the military would play a technical and enhancing role in the future government, distancing itself from political indications and, therefore, corruption. Bolsonaro's dictatorship nostalgia was used as a campaign stance promising the "return to the old social order and traditional values".⁴²⁷ By revisiting and distorting the authoritarian past of the military dictatorship, Bolsonaro aims to pose as a "national savior"⁴²⁸ – his supporters calls him "Myth" – whose mission is to restore the social order, like he claimed the military did in 1964, and overcome the crises (economic, political and, for the far-right, also moral) that Brazil was facing since 2013.

Finchelstein claims that the distortion of history is a "fundamental feature" of populism, as for leaders such as Bolsonaro, rewriting history is essential for their power-grabbing project.⁴²⁹ When Bolsonaro refuses to acknowledge the period of 1964-1985 in Brazil as a dictatorship, falsely claiming that it was a democracy, or when he proposes to celebrate the date of the 1964 coup officially, his objective is not only to praise the military but to replace "history with myth".⁴³⁰ Bolsonaro manipulates history and uses it as a propaganda tool, to normalize his politics based on "political violence, national chauvinism, and personal glorification",⁴³¹

Bolsonaro's disdain for any transitional justice measure as a way to reckon with the military dictatorship can be explained by his use of (distorted) history as a tool to justify a Manichean

⁴²⁴ Goldstein (n 103) 256.

⁴²⁵ Federico Finchelstein, A Brief History of Fascist Lies (1st edn, University of California Press 2020) 102.

⁴²⁶ Rosana Pinheiro-Machado and Lucia Mury Scalco, 'From Hope to Hate: The Rise of Conservative Subjectivity in Brazil' (2020) 10 HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory 21, 25.

⁴²⁷ Rebecca J Atencio, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (2019) 118 Current History 68, 74.

⁴²⁸ Pinheiro-Machado and Scalco (n 426) 29.

⁴²⁹ Finchelstein (n 425) 97–98.

⁴³⁰ ibid 99.

⁴³¹ ibid 100.

narrative in which he poses as the savior of the nation, while the other (i.e. the left) represent dangerous enemies. In 2011, Jair Bolsonaro, then a member of the parliament, called the NTC the "commission of retaliation" and when discussing the approval of the truth commission he wrote in an opinion article that it would create a "circus" and that the project would "throw the military into a cackle of hyenas, hurting the hierarchy and the discipline of the military class".⁴³²

Bearing office, Bolsonaro determined "proper commemorations" concerning the 55 anniversaries of the coup in 1964. At the ceremony, calling it "the democratic revolution of 1964", he said: "Where in the world you have seen a dictatorship just handle the power to the opposition in a pacific way? Only in Brazil. Therefore, it was not a dictatorship".⁴³³

Bolsonaro's attitude against the implementation of transitional justice in Brazil is not limited to discourses and symbols. He, like Michel Temer, changed members in both the Amnesty Commission and the CEMPD, including regime apologists and military personal in the composition of the reparation commissions while promising to tighten the rules concerning the approval of economic reparation to victims and to put an end in the works of the commissions during his first mandate.⁴³⁴

Questioned about the change in the commissions, Bolsonaro's minister of Human Rights, Women, and Family, Damares Alves replied:

The reason for the changes is that the president has changed as well. Now it is Bolsonaro, from the right-wing. Period. When they selected terrorists for the commission, no one complained. (*Author's Translation*)⁴³⁵

The interference by the federal government in the commissions happened a few days after the CEMPD issued a death certificate stating that the father of Felipe Santa Cruz, president of the Brazilian Bar Association (OAB), was murdered by the Brazilian state. The death certificate was issued after findings made by the NTC showed that Fernando Augusto de Santa Cruz Oliveira was arrested and killed by state agents during the military dictatorship. Asked about

 ⁴³² Jair Bolsonaro, 'Outra Opnião: Comissão de Retaliação' (*O Globo*, 26 September 2011)
 https://oglobo.globo.com/opiniao/outra-opiniao-comissao-de-retaliacao-2866269 accessed 5 August 2020.

 ⁴³³ 'Bolsonaro Diz Que Não Houve Ditadura No Brasil e Que Regime Teve "probleminhas" (*IstoÉ*, 27 March
 2019) https://istoe.com.br/comemoracao-de-1964-ficara-dentro-dos-quarteis-diz-bolsonaro-em-entrevista-na-tv/ accessed 5 August 2020.

⁴³⁴ André Shalders, 'Governo Bolsonaro: Ministra Damares Alves Quer Endurecer Regras Para Indenizações de Perseguidos Do Regime Militar' (*BBC News Brasil*, 13 February 2019) https://www.bbc.com/portuguese/brasil-47206186> accessed 5 August 2020.

⁴³⁵ Vitor Sorano and Felipe Néri, 'Bolsonaro e Damares Trocam Integrantes Da Comissão Sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos' (*G1 - Política*, 1 August 2019) <https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2019/08/01/bolsonaro-e-damares-trocam-integrantes-da-comissao-sobre-mortos-e-desaparecidos-politicos.ghtml> accessed 5 August 2020.

the matter by journalists, Bolsonaro replied: "Do you believe in truth commissions?". Besides, the president said that one day he would tell the truth about how Santa Cruz father died but warned that he "will not like to hear it".⁴³⁶

The dictatorship nostalgia is part of the DNA of Bolsonaro's far-right populism. It was not only a discourse used on the elections but also represented a distortion of the history of Brazil's authoritarian past to legitimize the mass participation of the military in his government. This explains the necessity of discrediting the transitional justice measures adopted by the state so far as well as the silencing of victims. However, the effects of *Bolsonarismo* and the military in power are showing to be not only detrimental to transitional justice efforts but to democracy itself. This far-right populism order also inaugurated an unprecedented wave of autocratization since Brazil's transition to democracy happened in 1985.

4.4 A Past Ahead: Autocratization in Bolsonaro's Brazil

The democratic setbacks happening in different countries in the world seem now a "global challenge".⁴³⁷ This recent wave of autocratization, including countries affected by far-right populism such as Hungary, Poland, Turkey, and Brazil, makes scholars agree on at least one key issue: democracies nowadays "erode gradually and under legal disguise",⁴³⁸ making the occurrence of any sudden democratic breakdown, such as military coups, rarer.⁴³⁹

Even if the literature on the topic still lacks consensus on how to frame and conceptualize this phenomenon, descriptions such as autocratization⁴⁴⁰, deconsolidation⁴⁴¹, or democratic backsliding,⁴⁴² all focus on democratic recessions that lead a regime towards more autocratic forms of organization. Moreover, they all acknowledge that this process happens in a gradual diminishing of democratic traits of regimes.⁴⁴³ Bermeo, for instance, coined the term "executive aggrandizement" to illustrate the process in which, through democratic representation, those

⁴³⁶ Guilherme Mazui, "Você Acredita Em Comissão Da Verdade?", Diz Bolsonaro Sobre Mortes Na Ditadura ' (*G1 - Política*, 30 July 2019) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2019/07/30/acredita-em-comissao-da-verdade-diz-bolsonaro-ao-falar-sobre-morte-de-pai-de-presidente-da-oab.ghtml> accessed 5 August 2020.

 ⁴³⁷ Anna Lührmann and Staffan I Lindberg, 'A Third Wave of Autocratization Is Here: What Is New about It?'
 (2019) 26 Democratization 1095, 1095 https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2019.1582029>.

⁴³⁸ ibid.

⁴³⁹ Nancy Bermeo, 'On Democratic Backsliding' (2016) 27 Journal of Democracy 5, 7.

⁴⁴⁰ Lührmann and Lindberg (n 437).

⁴⁴¹ Roberto Stefan Foa and Yascha Mounk, 'The Signs of Deconsolidation' (2017) 28 Journal of Democracy 5.

⁴⁴² Bermeo (n 439).

⁴⁴³ Lührmann and Lindberg (n 437) 1099.

elected start "undertaking a series of institutional changes that hamper the power of opposition".⁴⁴⁴

Varieties of Democracies (V-Dem), in their 2020 report entitled "Autocratization Surges – Resistance Grows", classifies Brazil as one of the countries with the most significant decay in the Liberal Democracy Index (LDI) in the last decade.⁴⁴⁵ However, a closer look at the specific data on the country shows how the LDI went down, especially after the 2013 June demonstrations, the impeachment in 2016, and, more prominently, after Bolsonaro's election. The effects of the *Bolsonarismo* and the military in power are already evident, with constrains in the media, civil society, and civil liberties.⁴⁴⁶

The gateway for this autocratization wave was the ascension of this specific kind of far-right populism that also brought the military back to the central stage of Brazilian politics. While it is more plausible to say that Bolsonaro was elected despite his extreme and revisionist views on the military dictatorship,⁴⁴⁷ the fact that he was elected even though publicizing such views, plus the acceptance by Brazilians of the role that the military plays in his government, can tell a lot about how ineffective transitional justice was in Brazil.

Through the lens of transitional justice, it is possible to argue how having a more complete and robust transitional justice process helped countries like Argentina and Chile to develop a better-shaped memory of their authoritarian past, and the human rights violations that happened in that period.⁴⁴⁸ These countries, utilizing transitional justice measures, produced informal political barriers that would prevent the militarization of their governments, even if through democratic ways, like what happened in Brazil.

Brazil stands apart when compared to other countries in Latin America concerning transitional justice activity. These countries have not only created truth commission earlier but generally also promoted deep reforms in their judiciary and armed forces, revised their amnesty laws and prosecuted members of their armed forces.⁴⁴⁹ The most assertive measure adopted by the Brazilian was the NTC, which not only came too late – more than twenty-five years after the

⁴⁴⁴ Bermeo (n 439) 10.

⁴⁴⁵ V-Dem Institute, 'Autocratization Surges - Resistance Grows. Democracy Report 2020' 40, 16 <www.v-dem.net>.

⁴⁴⁶ ibid.

⁴⁴⁷ Luca Manucci, *Populism and Collective Memory; Comparing Fascist Legacies in Western Europe* (Routledge 2020) 171; Atencio, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (n 427) 68.

⁴⁴⁸ Pereira, Political (In)Justice: Authoritarianism and the Rule of Law in Brazil, Chile, and Argentina (n 4).

⁴⁴⁹ Pereira, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' (n 304) 156– 158.

transition to democracy – but also failed to see its recommendations being implemented. Atencio argues that if the recommendations of the NTC were applied decades earlier, the dictatorship nostalgia in Brazil would find a more inhospitable ambient to thrive.⁴⁵⁰

This military participation in a democracy would not be possible in countries with a more negative view of their armed forces, such as Argentina or Chile. The controlled transition by the military in Brazil, along with the lesser number of causalities, allowed then to keep prestige within the post-authoritarian Brazil. Data from Latinobarómetro in 2018, concerning the confidence in the armed forces, illustrate how the military in Brazil, different from the other countries in the southern cone, was still trusted by the population even after the dictatorship years.⁴⁵¹ According to the Datafolha institute, in a pool of June of 2019, the armed forces are the most trustworthy national institution, being the political parties the least.⁴⁵²

Simultaneously, the literature on transitional justice also shows how it can impact regime consolidation⁴⁵³. Brazil, even though reaching satisfactory levels of democratization until 2017⁴⁵⁴, had left, by not dealing properly with its past, more prone to the kind of autocratization that is deepening since 2013, or more prominent, since Bolsonaro's election.

In 2009 only 12% of Brazilians said they were "not at all satisfied" with democracy in their country. This number, no more than a decade later, in 2018 was 46%.⁴⁵⁵ The disenchantment with democracy, and the political system overall, when added with the trust in the military, paved the way, among other relevant factors, for this specific and extremely dangerous to democracy type of radical right populism.

The failed politics of memory during the delayed implementation of transitional justice in Brazil, evidences how the process of transitional justice can (when well performed) present a shield against authoritarian revivals. That is not to say that it would turn Brazilian democracy immune to the far-right populism, and the dangers it represents as a global challenge. Nevertheless, it can be said that it would not allow certain kinds of populism to flourish in the

⁴⁵⁰ Atencio, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (n 427) 71–72.

⁴⁵¹ 'Latinobarómetro 2018' < https://www.latinobarometro.org/latOnline.jsp>.

⁴⁵² Guilherme Magalhães, 'Confiança Nas Forças Armadas Segue Como a Maior, Diz Datafolha; Nos Partidos é a Menor' (*Folha de São Paulo*, 10 July 2019) https://www1.folha.uol.com.br/poder/2019/07/confianca-nas-forcas-armadas-segue-como-a-maior-diz-datafolha-nos-partidos-e-a-menor.shtml accessed 5 August 2020.

⁴⁵³ Anja Mihr, 'Regime Consolidation through Transitional Justice in Europe: The Cases of Germany, Spain and Turkey' (2017) 11 International Journal of Transitional Justice 113.

⁴⁵⁴ Scott Mainwaring and others, 'The Fates of Third-Wave Democracies The Fates of Third-Wave Democracies' (2019) 30 99.

⁴⁵⁵ For comparison, in 2018 when asked the same question Argentinians and Chileans pooled 26,4% and 9,3% respectively, see 'Latinobarómetro 2018' (n 451).

political scenario. The proper implementation of transitional justice can create a scenario where Bolsonaro, a former military that praises the dictatorship, becomes an unviable vessel for this kind of political trend.

CONCLUSION

"Gradually, then suddenly".⁴⁵⁶ This is how Bolsonaro's far-right populism conquered Brazil and brought the military back to the central stage of politics more than thirty years after the Dictatorship had ended. Brazilian democracy faces its greatest challenge since the democratization in 1985.

This research argued that Bolsonaro's far-right populism, which is marked by the militarization of Brazilian politics, found success in Brazil partially because of the delayed implementation of transitional justice in the country, which prevented Brazilians from creating a collective national memory about the 1964-1985 dictatorship.

The political turmoil that takes place in Brazil since 2013 that led to the surprising election of Bolsonaro in 2018 is phenomena without full explanations yet. This dissertation contributed to the construction of such an explanation by evidencing how a proper reckoning of the authoritarian past in Brazil would strengthen its process of democratization. In this sense, the victory of far-right populism and the dictatorship nostalgia in the country is directly related to the process of transitional justice in the country.

The negotiated transition to democracy and the legal façade of the military regime are still significant obstacles to the adoption of transitional justice by the Brazilian state. Moreover, the persistence of the 1979 Amnesty Law greatly delimitated the transitional justice experience in Brazil to an extensive reparation programme, while truth-seeking initiatives lagged for decades and struggled to share their findings with significant outreach and none state agents were brought to justice.

The Worker's Party administrations (2003-2016) represented a shift in the transitional justice in Brazil where the reparation commissions were expanded and re-oriented to truth-seeking and memorialization. The 2011 truth commission is the most significant symbol of this new stateled approach. Nevertheless, it faced similar problems and was ultimately unable to promote justice for the victims of the Dictatorship or have a game-changing role in the politics of memory.

The transitional process in Brazil failed to be truly inclusive to its population and to promote democracy itself. The effects of this failure in the politics of memory are seen now with an

⁴⁵⁶ As a famous quote by Ernest Hemingway in the *The Sun Also Rises* (Charles Scribner's Sons 1954) 136.

unprecedented process of autocratization under a democratic regime. The transitional justice experience in Brazil was unable to form a shared understanding of the past and proved itself too fragile and dependent on a specific political scenario to thrive.

Thus, the Brazilian case can be a significant one to the discussion of the relationship between transitional justice and the politics of memory. While there is still no definitive consensus on if dealing with the past is always the better way for societies to make sense of their authoritarian past, at least in Brazil, the option for amnesia showed in the long-term a significant fragility in its process of democratization.

In this sense, this research argues that a robust, thorough, and holistic implementation of transitional justice measures can create an immunity barrier to authoritarianism revivals, especially in the case of Brazil, where the polarisation of the political in 2018 echoed the scenario of 1964 that preceded the coup by the armed forces. Further research questions can be dedicated to exploring how countries that adopted similar transitional justice strategies as Brazil, such as Spain, may be fated to the same challenges that having an unresolved past brought to the Brazilian democracy.

At the end of the first year of his term, Bolsonaro had the worst evaluation of all the elected presidents since democratization, with 32% of the population approving his government,⁴⁵⁷ which was enhanced by the country's poor economic performance (linked with a series of austerity measures), a series of diplomatic crisis, and the involvement of the Bolsonaro family in corruption scandals. During this political crisis, Eduardo Bolsonaro, federal congressman and son of the president, said that a moment of rupture is coming. In his words, "it is not a matter of 'if' but 'when' it is going to happen".⁴⁵⁸ Previously, he already mentioned that a "new AI-5" could be introduced "if the left radicalises to that point".⁴⁵⁹

The pact for amnesia that marked the Brazilian transition proved itself to be particularly dangerous to the democracy in the country in the long-term. The authoritarian past only resurfaced in the public sphere used as a political tool for the far-right populism, which is

⁴⁵⁷ Daniel Marcelino, Fábio Zambeli and Lucas Helfstein, 'Bolsonaro Tem a Pior Popularidade Entre Presidentes No Primeiro Ano Desde 1987' (*JOTA Info*, 4 October 2019) https://www.jota.info/paywall?redirect_to=//www.jota.info/dados/aprovacao-dos-presidentes/bolsonaro-tem-o-pior-primeiro-ano-04102019> accessed 5 August 2020.

⁴⁵⁸ 'Eduardo Bolsonaro vê "momento de Ruptura" e Cogita Adoção de "Medida Enérgica" Por Presidente' (*G1 - Política*, 28 May 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/05/28/deputado-eduardo-bolsonaro-cogita-necessidade-de-medida-energica-do-presidente.ghtml> accessed 6 August 2020.

⁴⁵⁹ Henry Austin, 'Bolsonaro's Son Criticised for Suggesting Brazil's Government Adopt Dictatorship-Era Tactics' (*The Independent*, 1 November 2019) https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/brazil-jairbolsonaro-far-right-eduardo-bolsonaro-military-dicatatorship-a9180256.html> accessed 6 August 2020.

thriving to the detriment of democracy itself. Under the horizon of fast autocratization, Brazil is fighting not only for its present but also for its past.

REFERENCES

Abrão P and Torelly M, 'Mutações Do Conceito de Anistia Na Justiça de Transição Brasileira: A Terceira Fase Da Luta Pela Anistia' in C Prittwitz and others (eds), *Justiça de Transição: Análises Comparadas Brasil-Alemanha* (2015)

Agamben G, State of Exception (University of Chicago Press 2005)

Allo AK, 'The Show in the Show Trial: Contextualising the Politicization of the Courtroom' (2010) 15 Barry Law Review 41

Almeida R de, 'The Broken Wave: Evangelicals and Conservatism in the Brazilian Crisis' (2020) 10 HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory 32

Alvarez SE, Engendering Democracy in Brazil: Women's Movements in Transition Politics (Princeton University Press 1990)

Anderson B, Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism (Verso 2016)

Anderson P, Brazil Apart: 1964-2019 (Verso Books 2019)

Angelo V de, 'Saudade Dos Militares: Os Pedidos de Retorno Das Forças Armadas Ao Poder No Brasil' (2018) 5 REB - Revista de Estudios Brasileños 93

Apfelbaum E, 'Halbwachs and the Social Properties of Memory' in Susannah Radstone and Bill Schwarz (eds), *Memory: Histories, Theories, Debates* (Fordham Univ Press 2010)

Arns PE and of São Paulo (Brazil) CCA, Brasil, Nunca Mais (Vozes 1985)

Arthur P, 'How "Transitions" Reshaped Human Rights: A Conceptual History of Transitional Justice' (2009) 31 Human Rights Quarterly 321

Arzheimer K, 'Explaining Electoral Support for the Radical Right', *The Oxford Handbook of the Radical Right* (2018)

Atencio R, Memory's Turn: Reckoning with Dictatorship in Brazil (University of Wisconsin Press 2014)

-----, 'From Truth Commission to Post-Truth Politics in Brazil' (2019) 118 Current History 68

Austin H, 'Bolsonaro's Son Criticised for Suggesting Brazil's Government Adopt Dictatorship-Era Tactics' (*The Independent*, 1 November 2019) https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/brazil-jair-bolsonaro-far-right-eduardo-bolsonaro-military-dicatatorship-a9180256.html> accessed 6 August 2020

Bakiner O, 'Truth Commission Impact: An Assessment of How Commissions Influence Politics and Society' (2014) 8 International Journal of Transitional Justice 6

-----, Truth Commissions : Memory, Power, and Legitimacy (University of Pennsylvania Press 2016)

Bang H and Marsh D, 'Populism: A Major Threat to Democracy?' (2018) 39 Policy Studies 352

Barahona de Brito A, 'Introduction' in P Barahona de Brito, Alexandra; Enriquez; C.; Aguilar (ed), *The Politics of Memory: Transitional Justice in Democratazing Societies* (OUP Oxford 2001)

-----, 'Transitional Justice and Memory: Exploring Perspectives' (2010) 15 South European Society and Politics 359

——, "Justiça Transicional" Em Câmera Lenta: O Caso Do Brasil' in Antonio Costa Pinto and Francisco Carlos Palmomanes Martinho (eds), *O Passado que não Passa: A sombra das ditaduras na Europa do Sul e na América Latina* (Civilização Brasileira 2012)

Barón F, 'O Inquietante "Fenômeno Bolsonaro" (*El País*, 2014) https://brasil.elpais.com/brasil/2014/10/07/politica/1412684374_628594.html accessed 17 July 2020

Bell C, 'Transitional Justice, Interdisciplinarity and the State of the "Field" or "Non-Field" (2008) 3 International Journal of Transitional Justice 5

Bermeo N, 'On Democratic Backsliding' (2016) 27 Journal of Democracy 5

Bethell L and Castro C, 'Politics in Brazil Under Military Rule, 1964-1985' in Leslie Bethell (ed), *Cambridge History of Latin America - Volume IX: Brazil since 1930* (Cambridge University Press 2008)

Bethell L and Nicolau J, 'Politics in Brazil, 1985-2002' in Leslie Bethell (ed), *The Cambridge History of Latin America, Volume IX - Brazil since 1930* (Cambridge University Press 2008)

'Bolsonaro Diz Que Não Houve Ditadura No Brasil e Que Regime Teve "probleminhas" ' (*IstoÉ*, 27 March 2019) <https://istoe.com.br/comemoracao-de-1964-ficara-dentro-dos-quarteis-diz-bolsonaro-em-entrevista-na-tv/> accessed 5 August 2020

Bolsonaro J, 'Outra Opnião: Comissão de Retaliação' (*O Globo*, 26 September 2011) <https://oglobo.globo.com/opiniao/outra-opiniao-comissao-de-retaliacao-2866269> accessed 5 August 2020

Braga R and Purdy S, 'A Precarious Hegemony: Neo-Liberalism, Social Struggles, and the End of Lulismo in Brazil' (2019) 16 Globalizations 201

Carvalho L, Valsa Brasileira: Do Boom Ao Caos Econômico (Todavia 2018)

Carvalho LM, O Cadete e o Capitão: A Vida de Jair Bolsonaro No Quartel (Todavia 2019)

Chapman AR and Ball P, 'The Truth of Truth Commissions: Comparative Lessons from Haiti, South Africa, and Guatemala' (2001) 23 Human Rights Quarterly 1

CNV, 'Comissão Nacional Da Verdade - Relatório' (2014)

Codato A, 'A Political History of the Brazilian Transition: From Military Dictatorship to Democracy' (2005) 2 Revista de Sociologia e Política 83

Connerton P, How Societies Remember (Cambridge University Press 1989)

Crocker DA, 'Reckoning with Past Wrongs: A Normative Framework' (1999) 13 Ethics and International Affairs 43

Daly E, 'Truth Skepticism: An Inquiry into the Value of Truth in Times of Transition' (2008) 2 International Journal of Transitional Justice 23

de Almeida G lecker, 'Undoing Brazil's Dictatorial Past' in Natascha Mueller-Hirth and Sandra Rios Oyola (eds), *Time and Temporality in Transitional and Post-Conflict Societies* (Routledge 2018)

de Almeida Teles J, 'The Araguaia Guerrilla War (1972–1974): Armed Resistance to the Brazilian Dictatorship' (2017) 44 Latin American Perspectives 30

—, 'Democratic Transition and Conciliation: Human Rights and the Legacy of the Brazilian Dictatorship' in N Schneider (ed), *The Brazilian Truth Commission: Local, National and Global Perspectives* (Berghahn Books 2019)

de Carvalho JM, Forças Armadas e Política No Brasil (Todavia 2019)

de Carvalho O, 'Militares e a Memória Nacional' (31 December 2000) <https://olavodecarvalho.org/militares-ea-memoria-nacional/> accessed 4 August 2020

^{(Defensores de Direitos Humanos Repudiam Intervenção de Temer Na Comissão de Anistia ' (*Ponte Jornalismo*, 3 September 2016) https://ponte.org/governo-temer-intervem-na-comissao-da-anistia/ accessed 29 July 2020}

Deo A, 'Uma Transição à Long Terme: A Institucionalização Da Autocracia Burguesa No Brasil' in M Pinheiro (ed), *Ditadura: o que resta da transição* (Boitempo Editorial 2015)

Dreifuss RA, 1964, a Conquista Do Estado: Ação Política, Poder e Golpe de Classe (Vozes 2006)

Eatwell R and Goodwin M, *National Populism: The Revolt Against Liberal Democracy* (Penguin Books Limited 2018)

'Eduardo Bolsonaro vê "momento de Ruptura" e Cogita Adoção de "Medida Enérgica" Por Presidente ' (*G1 - Política*, 28 May 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/05/28/deputado-eduardo-bolsonaro-cogita-necessidade-de-medida-energica-do-presidente.ghtml> accessed 6 August 2020

Elster J, 'Coming to Terms with the Past. A Framework for the Study of Justice in the Transition to Democracy' (1998) 39 European Journal of Sociology 7

——, Closing the Books: Transitional Justice in Historical Perspective (2004)

— 'Em 26 Anos, Bolsonaro Apresentou 171 Projetos; Dois Foram Aprovados' (*GaúchaZH*, 2017) <<u>https://gauchazh.clicrbs.com.br/politica/noticia/2017/07/em-26-anos-bolsonaro-apresentou-171-projetos-dois-foram-aprovados-9850750.html> accessed 17 June 2020</u>

Fernandes M, 'Mudanças Do Governo Bolsonaro Em Comissões Desmontam Anos de Políticas de Reparação Da Ditadura ' (*HuffPost Brasil*, 1 February 2020) https://www.huffpostbrasil.com/entry/comissos-ditadura-bolsonaro_br_5e2eed99c5b6779e9c37adc1?guccounter=1&guce_referrer=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuZ29vZ2xlLmvbS8&guce_referrer_sig=AQAAANmoXm-6EvlA7inJOOKi7eFnc1G7k30

CIdRqOLw_eBEya7Z_TC4V1rE8PsxLK6F0fZdhA6gMbYHbET6BaXQsdGnnWqxsLQdsTheSurDrHiRyRIY rGf-Z5a8CzBS1eB0-vOnRbE5Wl6jyFV0bjDy5EWNY4U7LifJ1_ICuoc0OF> accessed 29 July 2020

Ferreira de Brito AP and Ferreira MLM, 'As Reivindicações Por Memória e Verdade e a Comissão Nacional Da Verdade: Construindo a Memória Social Sobre o Período Militar No Brasil' in Emílio Peluso Neder Meyer and Marcelo Andrade Cattoni De Oliveira (eds), *Justiça de Transição nos 25 anos da Constituição de 1988* (Initia Via 2014)

Finchelstein F, A Brief History of Fascist Lies (1st edn, University of California Press 2020)

Finchelstein F and Urbinati N, 'On Populism and Democracy' (2018) 1 Populism 15

Fisher KJ, 'Defining a Relationship between Transitional Justice and Jus Post Bellum: A Call and an Opportunity for Post-Conflict Justice' [2018] Journal of International Political Theory

Fletcher LE, Weinstein HM and Rowen J, 'Context, Timing and the Dynamics of Transitional Justice: A Historical Perspective' (2009) 31 Human Rights Quarterly 163

Foa RS and Mounk Y, 'The Signs of Deconsolidation' (2017) 28 Journal of Democracy 5

Fontes P and Corrêa LR, 'Labor and Dictatorship in Brazil: A Historiographical Review' (2018) 93 International Labor and Working-Class History 27

Gaspari E, A Ditadura Envergonhada: As Ilusões Armadas (Companhia das Letras 2002)

——, A Ditadura Escancarada: As Ilusoes Armadas (Companhia das Letras 2002)

—, A Ditadura Derrotada (Editora Intrinseca 2014)

, A Ditadura Encurralada (Editora Intrinseca 2014)

—, A Ditadura Acabada (Editora Intrinseca 2017)

Goldstein AA, 'The New Far-Right in Brazil and the Construction of a Right-Wing Order' (2019) 46 Latin American Perspectives 245

Green JN, We Cannot Remain Silent (Duke University Press 2010)

Green JN, Langland V and Schwarcz LM, *The Brazil Reader: History, Culture, Politics* (Duke University Press 2018)

Halbwachs M, La Mémoire Collective (A Michel 1997)

Hawkins KA, 'The Ideational Approach', Routledge Handbook of Global Populism (2018)

Hayner P, Unspeakable Truths: Transitional Justice and the Challenge of Truth Commissions (2 ed, Taylor & Francis 2010)

Hemingway E, The Sun Also Rises (Charles Scribner's Sons 1954)

Hom SK and Yamamoto EK, 'Collective Memory, History, and Social Justice' (2000) 47 UCLA L Rev 1747

Hunter W and Sugiyama NB, 'Assessing the Bolsa Família' [2017] Democratic Brazil Divided 131

Huntington S, *The Third Wave: Democratization in the Late Twentieth Century* (University of Oklahoma Press 1993)

Inter-American Court of Human Rights, 'Case of Gomes Lund Et Al . (" Guerrilha Do Araguaia ") V . Brazil' (2010) 2010

Jelin E, 'Los Derechos Humanos y La Memoria de La Violencia Política y La Represión: La Construcción de Un Campo Nuevo En Las Ciencias Sociales' (2004) 27 Estudios Sociales 91

Kaiser AJ, 'Woman Who Bolsonaro Insulted: "Our President-Elect Encourages Rape" (*The Guardian*, 2018) https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/dec/23/maria-do-rosario-jair-bolsonaro-brazil-rape accessed 17 July 2020

Karstedt S, 'Introduction: The Legacy of Maurice Halbwachs' in S Karstedt (ed), Legal Institutions and Collective

Memories (Hart 2009)

Kingstone PR and Power TJ, 'Introduction: A Fourth Decade of Brazilian Democracy' [2017] Democratic Brazil Divided 3

Langland V, Speaking of Flowers: Student Movements and the Making and Remembering of 1968 in Military Brazil (2013)

'Latinobarómetro 2018' < https://www.latinobarometro.org/latOnline.jsp>

Leebaw B, 'The Irreconcilable Goals of Transitional Justice' (2016) 2 The New Legal Realism 201

Lemos TT, 'Justiça de Transição e 1988 Ou Sobre Porque Precisamos de Um Constitucionalismo Transicional e Políticas de Justa Memória Permanentes' in Lucas Azevedo Paulino (ed), *Constituição, democracia e jurisdição: um panorama dos últimos 30 anos* (IDDE 2018)

Lis L, 'TCU Decide Apurar Quantos Militares Ocupam Cargos Civis No Governo Bolsonaro' (*G1 Política*, 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/06/17/tcu-decide-apurar-quantos-militares-ocupam-cargos-civis-no-governo-bolsonaro.ghtml

López R, 'Legalising Collective Remembrance after Mass Atrocities' in Fraser M Ottanelli S. Elizabeth Bird (ed), *The Performance of Memory as Transitional Justice* (2015)

Lührmann A and Lindberg SI, 'A Third Wave of Autocratization Is Here: What Is New about It?' (2019) 26 Democratization 1095 https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2019.1582029>

'Lula: Brazil's Jailed Ex-Leader Barred from Presidential Race by Electoral Court ' (*BBC News*, 1 September 2018) https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-45380237> accessed 9 August 2020

Madeira JL, 'When It's So Hard to Relate: Can Legal Systems Mitigate the Trauma of Victim-Offender Relationships' (2009) 46 Hous L Rev 401

Magalhães G, 'Confiança Nas Forças Armadas Segue Como a Maior, Diz Datafolha; Nos Partidos é a Menor' (*Folha de São Paulo*, 10 July 2019) https://www1.folha.uol.com.br/poder/2019/07/confianca-nas-forcas-armadas-segue-como-a-maior-diz-datafolha-nos-partidos-e-a-menor.shtml> accessed 5 August 2020

Magalhães M, Sobre Lutas e Lágrimas: Uma Biografia de 2018, o Ano Em Que o Brasil Flertou Com o Apocalipse (Editora Record 2019)

Mainwaring S and others, 'The Fates of Third-Wave Democracies The Fates of Third-Wave Democracies' (2019) 30 99

Manucci L, Populism and Collective Memory; Comparing Fascist Legacies in Western Europe (Routledge 2020)

Manuel Antonio GM, 'Human Rights in Processes of Democratisation' (1994) 26 Journal of Latin American Studies 221

Marcelino D, Zambeli F and Helfstein L, 'Bolsonaro Tem a Pior Popularidade Entre Presidentes No Primeiro Ano Desde 1987 ' (*JOTA Info*, 4 October 2019) <https://www.jota.info/paywall?redirect_to=//www.jota.info/dados/aprovacao-dos-presidentes/bolsonaro-tem-opior-primeiro-ano-04102019> accessed 5 August 2020

Martins Filho JR, 'The War of Memory: The Brazilian Military Dictatorship According to Militants and Military Men' (2009) 36 Latin American Perspectives 89

Martins JT de S and Pereira AW, 'The Politics of Human Rights' in Barry Amers (ed), *Routledge Handbook of Brazilian Politics* (Routledge 2018)

Mazui G, "Você Acredita Em Comissão Da Verdade?", Diz Bolsonaro Sobre Mortes Na Ditadura ' (*G1 - Política*, 30 July 2019) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2019/07/30/acredita-em-comissao-da-verdade-diz-bolsonaro-ao-falar-sobre-morte-de-pai-de-presidente-da-oab.ghtml> accessed 5 August 2020

—, 'Com Escolha de Braga Netto, Só Militares Ocupam Gabinetes de Ministros No Planalto' (*G1 Política*, 2020) https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2020/02/13/com-nomeacao-de-braga-neto-so-militares-ocupam-gabinetes-de-ministros-no-planalto.ghtml> accessed 17 July 2020

McCann B, The Throes of Democracy: Brazil since 1989 (Zed Books 2013)

Meyer EPN, 'Criminal Responsibility in Brazilian Transitional Justice: A Constitutional Interpretative Process under the Paradigm of International Human Rights Law' (2017) 4 Indonesian Journal of International & Comparative Law 41

Mezarobba G, 'Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil' [2010] Sur - International Journal on Human Rights 7

Mihai M, 'Transitional Justice and the Quest for Democracy: A Contribution to a Political Theory of Democratic Transformations' (2010) 23 Ratio Juris 183

Mihr A, 'Transitional Justice and the Quality of Democracy' (2013) 7 International Journal of Conflict and Violence 298

—, 'Regime Consolidation through Transitional Justice in Europe: The Cases of Germany, Spain and Turkey' (2017) 11 International Journal of Transitional Justice 113

Molden B, 'Resistant Pasts versus Mnemonic Hegemony: On the Power Relations of Collective Memory' (2016) 9 Memory Studies 125

Moreira FT, 'Para Além Das Casernas: Memória de Direita, Revanchismo e Justiça de Transição No Brasil' in Maria Paula Araujo and António Costa Pinto (eds), *Democratização, memória e justiça de transição nos países lusófonos* (Autografia and EDUPE 2017)

Motta RPS, 'The 1964 Coup and Dictatorship in Opinion Polls' (2015) 20 Tempo 1

Moura M and Corbellini J, A Eleição Disruptiva: Por Que Bolsonaro Venceu (Record 2019)

Moustafa T, 'Law and Courts in Authoritarian Regimes' (2014) 10 Annual Review of Law and Social Science 281

Mudde C, 'The Populist Zeitgeist' (2004) Government and Opposition

Mudde C and Kaltwasser CR, Populism: A Very Short Introduction (Oxford University Press 2017)

Müller J-W, What Is Populism? (Penguin Books Limited 2017)

Murphy C, The Conceptual Foundations of Transitional Justice (Cambridge University Press 2017)

Nagy R, 'Transitional Justice as Global Project: Critical Reflections' (2008) 29 Third World Quarterly 275

Napolitano M, 1964: História Do Regime Militar Brasileiro (Editora Contexto 2014)

—, 'The Brazilian Military Regime, 1964–1985', Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Latin American History (2018)

Nery VE, 'Diretas Já: Mobilização de Massas Com Direção Burguesa' in M Pinheiro (ed), *Ditadura: o que resta da transição* (Boitempo Editorial 2015)

Neto OC, 'Neofascismo, "Nova República" e a Ascensão Das Direitas No Brasil' (2020) 10 Conhecer: debate entre o público e o privado 120

Nobre M, Ponto-Final: A Guerra de Bolsonaro Contra a Democracia (Todavia 2020)

O'Donnell G, *Modernization and Bureaucratic-Authoritarianism: Studies in South American Politics* (Institute of International Studies, University of California 1973)

of São Paulo (Brazil) CCA and others, Torture in Brazil: A Report (Vintage Books 1986)

Olick JK, Vinitzky-Seroussi V and Levy D, 'Introduction' in Jeffrey K Olick, Vered Vinitzky-Seroussi and Daniel Levy (eds), *The collective memory reader* (Oxford University Press 2011)

Osiel M, 'Ever Again : Legal Remembrance of Administrative Massacre' (1995) 144 University of Pennsylvania Law Review 463

—, Mass Atrocity, Collective Memory, and the Law (Transaction Publishers 1997)

Oyama T, Tormenta: O Governo Bolsonaro: Crises, Intrigas e Segredos (Companhia das Letras 2020)

Panepinto AM, 'The Right to the Truth in International Law: The Significance of Strasbourg's Contributions' (2017) 37 Legal Studies 739

Pereira AW, Political (In)Justice: Authoritarianism and the Rule of Law in Brazil, Chile, and Argentina (University of Pittsburgh Press 2005)

, 'Bolsa Família and Democracy in Brazil' (2015) 36 Third World Quarterly 1682

-----, 'Progress or Perdition? Brazil's National Truth Commission in Comparative Perspective' in Peter R

Kingstone and Timothy J Power (eds), Democratic Brazil Divided (University of Pittsburgh Press 2017)

-----, 'The US Role in the 1964 Coup in Brazil: A Reassessment' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 5

Phillips D, 'Jair Bolsonaro: Brazil Presidential Frontrunner Stabbed at Campaign Rally ' (*The Guardian*, 7 September 2018) https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/sep/06/brazil-jair-bolsonaro-far-right-presidential-candidate-stabbed> accessed 9 August 2020

Pinheiro-Machado R, Amanhã Vai Ser Maior: O Que Aconteceu Com o Brasil e Possíveis Rotas de Fuga Para a Crise Atual (Planeta 2019)

Pinheiro-Machado R and Scalco LM, 'From Hope to Hate: The Rise of Conservative Subjectivity in Brazil' (2020) 10 HAU: Journal of Ethnographic Theory 21

Piovesan F, 'Direito Internacional Dos Direitos Humanos e Lei de Anistia: O Caso Brasileiro' in V Safatle and E Teles (eds), *O Que Resta da Ditadura: A Exceção Brasileira* (Boitempo Editorial 2019)

Popkin M and Roht-Arriaza N, 'Truth as Justice: Investigatory Commissions in Latin America' (1995) 20 Law & Social Inquiry 79

Quadrat SV, 'The Skirmish of Memories and Political Violence in Dictatorial Brazil' in E Allier-montaño and E Crenzel (eds), *The Struggle for Memory in Latin America: Recent History and Political Violence* (Palgrave Macmillan 2015)

Quinalha RH, 'Com Quantos Lados Se Faz Uma Verdade? Notas Sobre a Comissão Nacional Da Verdade e a "Teoria Dos Dois Demônios" (2013) 15 Revista Jurídica da Presidência 181

Radstone S and Schwarz B, 'Introduction: Mapping Memory' in Susannah Radstone and Bill Schwarz (eds), *Memory: Histories, Theories, Debates* (Fordham Univ Press 2010)

Reis DA, Ditadura e Democracia No Brasil: Do Golpe de 1964 à Constituição de 1988 (Zahar 2014)

'Report on Allegations of Torture in Brazil ' (1972) < https://anistia.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Relatórioda-Tortura-1972.pdf> accessed 8 August 2020

Ribeiro da Cunha P, 'Militares e Anistia No Brasil: Um Dueto Desarmônico' in V Safatle and E Teles (eds), O Que Resta da Ditadura: A Exceção Brasileira (Boitempo Editorial 2019)

Ridenti M, 'The Debate over Military (or Civilian-Military?) Dictatorship in Brazil in Historiographical Context' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 33

Roht-Arriaza N, 'The New Landscape of Transitional Justice' in Naomi Roht-Arriaza and Javier Mariezcurrena (eds), *Transitional Justice in the Twenty-First Century: Beyond Truth Versus Justice* (2006)

Rollemberg D and Thompson T, 'The Brazilian Exile Experience: Remaking Identities' (2007) 34 Latin American Perspectives 81

Rosenfeld GD, 'A Looming Crash or a Soft Landing? Forecasting the Future of the Memory "Industry" (2009) 81 Journal of Modern History 122

Rotondi JV and Eisikovits N, 'Forgetting after War: A Qualified Defense' in Jack Volpe Corradetti, Claudio; Eisikovits, Nir; Rotondi (ed), *Theorizing Transitional Justice* (Routledge 2015)

Rudling A, "I'm Not That Chained-Up Little Person" Four Paragons of Victimhood in Transitional Justice Discourse' (2019) 41 Human Rights Quarterly 421

Salomão L, 'Clube Militar vê Coleção de "calúnias" Em Relatório Da Comissão Da Verdade ' (*G1 - Política*, December 2014) http://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2014/12/relatorio-e-absurdo-em-nome-da-causa-socialista-diz-clube-militar.html accessed 4 August 2020

Samuels D and Zucco C, 'Lulismo, Petismo , and the Future of Brazilian Politics ' (2014) 6 Journal of Politics in Latin America 129

Santos CM, 'Transitional Justice from the Margins: Legal Mobilization and Memory Politics in Brazil' in N Schneider and M Esparza (eds), *Legacies of state violence and transitional justice in Latin America : a Janusfaced paradigm?* (Lexington Books 2015)

Schneider AM, 'Legislative Efforts against Impunity in the 1979 Amnesty Debate in Brazil' (2018) 37 Bulletin of Latin American Research 18

Schneider N, 'Breaking the "Silence" of the Military Regime: New Politics of Memory in Brazil' (2011) 30 Bulletin of Latin American Research 198

——, 'Impunity in Post-Authoritarian Brazil: The Supreme Court's Recent Verdict on the Amnesty Law' [2011] European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies 39

——, "'Too Little Too Late" or "Premature"? The Brazilian Truth Commission and the Question of "Best Timing" (2013) 19 Journal of Iberian and Latin American Research 149

—, 'Introduction: The Brazilian Truth Commission in Local, National and Global Perspective' in Nina Schneider (ed), *The Brazilian Truth Commission: Local, National and Global Perspective* (Berghahn 2019)

Schneider N and de Almeida GI, 'The Brazilian National Truth Commission (2012–2014) as a State-Commissioned History' in Berber Bevernage and Nico Wouters (eds), *The Palgrave Handbook of State-Sponsored History After 1945* (Palgrave Macmillan UK 2018)

Schudson M, Watergate in American Memory: How We Remember, Forget, and Reconstruct the Past (BasicBooks 1992)

Schwarcz LM and Starling H, *Brazil : A Biography* (Allen Lane 2018)

Shalders A, 'Governo Bolsonaro: Ministra Damares Alves Quer Endurecer Regras Para Indenizações de Perseguidos Do Regime Militar ' (*BBC News Brasil*, 13 February 2019) https://www.bbc.com/portuguese/brasil-47206186> accessed 5 August 2020

Sharp DN, Re-Thinking Transitional Justice for the 21st Century (Cambridge University Press 2018)

Sikkink K, Mixed Signals: U.S. Human Rights Policy and Latin America (Cornell University Press 2007)

Sikkink K and Lutz E, 'The Justice Cascade: The Evolution and Impact of Foreign Human Rights Trials in Latin America' (2001) 2 Chicago Journal of International Law

Sikkink K and Walling CB, 'The Impact of Human Rights Trials in Latin America' (2007) 44 Journal of Peace Research 427

Simoni M, 'TCU Decide Levantar Número de Militares No Governo Bolsonaro' (*Metro1*, 2020) https://www.metro1.com.br/noticias/politica/93514,tcu-decide-levantar-numero-de-militares-no-governo-bolsonaro> accessed 17 July 2020

Singer A, Os Sentidos Do Lulismo: Reforma Gradual e Pacto Conservador (Companhia das Letras 2012)

-----, O Lulismo Em Crise: Um Quebra-Cabeça Do Período Dilma (2011-2016) (Companhia das Letras 2018)

Skidmore TE, The Politics of Military Rule in Brazil, 1964-1985 (Oxford University Press 1990)

Sontag S, Under the Sign of Saturn (Farrar, Straus & Giroux 1980)

Sorano V and Néri F, 'Bolsonaro e Damares Trocam Integrantes Da Comissão Sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos' (*G1 - Política*, 1 August 2019) < https://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2019/08/01/bolsonaro-e-damares-trocam-integrantes-da-comissao-sobre-mortos-e-desaparecidos-politicos.ghtml> accessed 5 August 2020

Spektor M, 'The United States and the 1964 Brazilian Military Coup' [2018] Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Latin American History 1

Stepan AC, 'Paths Toward Redemocratization: Theoretical and Comparative Considerations' in L O'Donnell, G; Schimitter, P; Whitehead (ed), *Transitions from Authoritarian Rule: Comparative Perspectives* (Johns Hopkins University Press 1986)

-----, Democratizing Brazil: Problems of Transition and Consolidation (Oxford University Press 1989)

Stochero T, 'General Defende "despertar Para Luta Patriótica" Em Palestra No RS ' (*G1 - Política*, 20 October 2015) <<u>http://g1.globo.com/politica/noticia/2015/10/general-defende-despertar-para-luta-patriotica-em-palestra-no-rs.html></u> accessed 4 August 2020

Subotic J, 'Expanding the Scope of Post-Conflict Justice: Individual, State and Societal Responsibility for Mass Atrocity' (2011) 48 Journal of Peace Research 157

Sznajder M and Roniger L, 'Political Exile in Latin America' (2007) 34 Latin American Perspectives 7

(SEDH) SE dos DH da P da R, 'Direito à Verdade e à Memória: Comissão Especial Sobre Mortos e Desaparecidos Políticos' (2007)

(STF) STF, 'ADPF 153' (2010) < http://redir.stf.jus.br/paginadorpub/paginador.jsp?docTP=AC&docID=612960>

Teitel RG, Transitional Justice (Oxford University Press 2000)

—, 'Human Rights in Transition: Transitional Justice Genealogy' (2003) 16 Harvard Human Rights Journal 69

Teles E, 'Transição, Consenso e Violência Política Na Democracia Brasileira' in Pontes et Al (ed), *Cultura, memória e poder: diálogos interdisciplinares* (EdUERJ 2013)

Teles E and Quinalha R, 'Scopes and Limits to the Transitional Justice Discourse in Brazil' in Nina Schneider and Marcia Esparza (eds), *Legacies of state violence and transitional justice in Latin America*: a Janus-faced paradigm? (Lexington Books 2015)

Torelly M, Justiça de Transição e Estado Constitucional de Direito: Perspectiva Teórico-Comparativa e Análise Do Caso Brasileiro (Editora Fórum 2012)

—, 'Assessing a Late Truth Commission: Challenges and Achievements of the Brazilian National Truth Commission' (2018) 12 International Journal of Transitional Justice 194

Tushnet M, 'Rule by Law or Rule of Law?' (2014) 22 Asia Pacific Law Review 79

Ustra CAB, A Verdade Sufocada: História Que a Esquerda Não Quer Que o Brasil Conheça (Ser ed, 2007)

V-Dem Institute, 'Autocratization Surges - Resistance Grows. Democracy Report 2020' 40 <www.v-dem.net>

Villa MA, Ditadura À Brasileira: 1964 - 1985 - A Democracia Golpeada à Esquerda e à Direita (Leya 2014)

------ 'Voto Do Ministro Eros Grau é Pela Anistia Ampla, Geral e Irrestrita' (*Supremo Tribunal Federal (STF)*, 2010) http://www.stf.jus.br/portal/cms/verNoticiaDetalhe.asp?idConteudo=125398> accessed 30 July 2020

Waldron J, 'Superseding Historic Injustice' (1992) 103 Ethics 4

Walker MU, 'Troubles with Truth Commissions: Putting the Moral Aims of Truth Commissions to the Fore' in Fraser M Ottanelli S. Elizabeth Bird (ed), *The Performance of Memory as Transitional Justice* (2015)

Wilde A, 'Irruptions of Memory: Expressive Politics in Chile's Transition to Democracy' (1999) 31 Journal of Latin American Studies 473

Zarecka II, Frames of Remembrance: The Dynamics of Collective Memory (Transaction 1994)

Zerubavel E, Social Mindscapes: An Invitation to Cognitive Sociology (Harvard University Press 1997)