FROM 'RIGHTS BEARERS' TO 'RIGHTS BEGGARS'

THE PRACTICE OF HUMAN RIGHTS

UNDER THE RULE OF CORRUPTION

A theoretical argument on how dark social capital converts basic human rights into privileges via lack of trust and closed networks - focus on post-communist member states of the European Union.

e.ma European Master in Human Rights and Democratisation

MA Thesis Supervisor: Associate Professor Hajdea Iglič

Faculty of Social Sciences [Fakulteta za Družbene Vede]

Ljubljana - Slovenia

MA Candidate: Student Geanina - Gabriela Ţurcanu

European Inter-University Centre for Human Rights and Democratisation [EIUC] Venice/Lido - Italy

Abstract

In light of internationally defined and enforced human rights standards, the state is the only entity which can be held legally responsible for human rights violations of its citizens. The current Thesis asks the question of what happens when it is the state itself perpetrating infringements that do not always and necessarily amount to the status of violations, nonetheless the insidious mechanisms through which they are systematically and intentionally carried out trigger random regular negative impacts on the very substance of otherwise legally protected basic rights and freedoms of its citizens. Such is the example of public sector corruption in post-communist states and new EU member states implicitly, which triggers structural and regular negative impacts on the very basic human rights of its citizens.

The current Thesis constitutes an attempt to describe the above mentioned mechanisms through a theoretical endeavour, proposing to reconceptualise corruption through the lenses of the conceptual framework of 'social capital'. A sociological approach to corruption is desirable in light of the overwhelming failed attempts to tackle it which concentrated exclusively on a legal approach. In order to grasp the mechanisms through which insidious informal practices like nepotism, patronage and clientelism survive and undermine the rule of law, a distinction between exceptional and systemic corruption needs to be made, which the EU fails to acknowledge in its new anti-corruption strategy. Systemic corruption creates a rule of its own paralleling and undermining the rule of law and needs to be acknowledged as such.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ECHR European Convention on Human Rights

ECtHR European Court of Human Rights

EU European Union

GRECO Council of Europe Group of States against Corruption

ICCPR International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

ICHRP International Council on Human Rights Policy, Geneva

MS(s) Member State(s) of the European Union

NGO non-governmental organization

RoL Rule of Law

RoC Rule of Corruption

TI Transparency International

UN United Nations

UNCAC United Nations Convention against Corruption

Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	1
I.1. Empirical relevance	1
I.2. Theoretical contribution	2
I.3. Scope and methods	4
I.4. Argument	5
1.5. Thesis structure	5
Chapter II: The rule of law against the rule of corruption	5
Chapter III. The practice of social capital as social networks	6
Chapter IV. The practice of social capital as trust and norms of reciprocity	6
Chapter V. Conclusions	7
II. THE RULE OF LAW AGAINST THE RULE OF CORRUPTION	9
II.1. Human rights as defined through international and regional standards	9
II.2. Corruption as defined through international consensus	12
II.3. Present connection: human rights under the rule of law	17
II.3.1. Hypothesised link: human rights under the rule of corruption	17
II.3.2. Legal norms	20
II.3.3. The (un)democratic practice of (biased) legislatures and bureaucracies	22
II.4. The proposed connection	26
I1.4.1 'to uphold the civil rights of the whole population':	26
II.4.2. 'to establish networks of responsibility and accountability'	28
III. THE PRACTICE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL AS SOCIAL NETWORKS	31
III.1. Social networks in old democracies	31
III.2. Social networks in new democracies	35
III.3. The state and social networks	40
III 4 Social networks and human rights: the mechanism	45

III.4.1. 'to uphold the civil rights of the whole population'	48
III.4.2. 'to establish networks of responsibility and accountability'	50
IV.THE PRACTICE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL AS TRUST AND NORMS OF RECIPE	ROCITY
IV.1. Conceptualisation	52
Generalised trust:	54
Institutional trust:	55
Particularised trust:	55
IV.2. Determinants of trust	56
IV.3. Trust in old democracies: from norms of reciprocity to generalised trust	59
IV.4. Trust in new democracies: from norms of reciprocity to particularised trust	64
IV.5. (Lack of) trust and human rights: the mechanism	67
Generalised trust:	67
Institutional trust:	68
Particularised trust:	71
V. CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS	72
V.1. As to the current legal framework	72
V.2. Of relevance to the EU level policy debate	74