

## **Abstract**

This research work addresses the question of how the various roles of NGOs envisaged by the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings are related. It seeks to demonstrate how the potentially dichotomous roles of being a partner of the State while also critically monitoring the implementation of the Convention by the latter are interrelated, interdependent and also combinable. In order to do so, case studies on Austria, Italy and the United Kingdom are conducted. The comparative analysis reveals that the ideal way to improve action is the involvement of NGOs on the institutional level and in policy development. The inclusion of NGOs in the monitoring process conducted by GRETA is found to be most effective when using the channel of consultation with NGOs during country visits. The relationship between the two roles is proposed to be represented as a circle: working as partners of the State provides NGOs with more information to share – ideally – with the State for policy development or with GRETA. GRETA's report can then be used to put pressure on the State, also in terms of more NGO involvement, and thus bring about change and close the circle.

# Table of contents

Introduction .....	10
--------------------	----

## Chapter I

NGOs and the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings .....	13
1. Premise .....	13
2. The Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings .....	16
2.1 Background.....	16
2.2 The drafting process .....	17
2.3 The Convention .....	18
2.4 The monitoring mechanism .....	19
2.4.1 The Group of Experts on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings...	19
2.4.2 The Committee of the Parties .....	20
2.4.3 The Procedure.....	20
3. NGOs in the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings .....	21

## Chapter II

Co-operation and strategic partnerships between NGOs and State authorities and public officials .....	23
1. Premise .....	23

2. Areas of co-operation and partnerships .....	26
2.1 Involvement on the institutional level .....	26
2.2 Prevention.....	27
2.3 Identification.....	28
2.4 Assistance to victims .....	31
2.5 Repatriation and return of victims .....	33
2.6 Assistance and support to victims during criminal proceedings .....	33
3. Case studies .....	34
3.1 Austria .....	34
3.1.1 Background information.....	34
3.1.2 Co-operation with NGOs.....	35
a) Involvement on the institutional level .....	36
b) Prevention .....	37
c) Identification.....	37
d) Assistance to victims .....	38
e) Repatriation and return of victims .....	39
f) Assistance and support to victims during criminal proceedings.....	40
3.1.3 Partnership as perceived by NGOs.....	40
3.1.4 Existing challenges .....	41
3.2 Italy.....	42
3.2.1 Background information.....	42
3.2.2 Co-operation with NGOs.....	43
a) Involvement on the institutional level .....	44
b) Prevention .....	44
c) Identification.....	45

d) Assistance to victims .....	46
e) Repatriation and return of victims .....	48
f) Assistance and support to victims during criminal proceedings.....	48
3.2.3 Partnership as perceived by NGOs .....	48
3.2.4 Existing challenges .....	49
3.3 United Kingdom .....	49
3.3.1 Background information.....	49
3.3.2 Co-operation with NGOs.....	52
a) Involvement on the institutional level .....	52
b) Prevention .....	55
c) Identification.....	56
d) Assistance to victims .....	57
e) Repatriation and return of victims .....	60
f) Assistance and support to victims during criminal proceedings.....	60
3.3.3 Partnership as perceived by NGOs .....	61
3.3.4 Existing challenges .....	61
4. Comparative analysis.....	62
a) Involvement on the institutional level .....	62
b) Prevention .....	63
c) Identification.....	63
d) Assistance to victims .....	64
e) Repatriation and return of victims .....	64
f) Assistance and support to victims during criminal proceedings.....	65

## Chapter III

NGOs as a source of information in the monitoring process .....	67
1. Introduction .....	67
2. NGOs' involvement in the monitoring process.....	69
2.1 GRETA's monitoring work.....	69
2.2 The channels for NGOs' involvement.....	71
2.2.1 Consultation by the State.....	72
2.2.2 Information request by GRETA .....	75
2.2.3 Meeting with GRETA during its country visit.....	76
2.2.4 Submission of an alternative report.....	78
3. Case studies .....	81
3.1 Austria .....	81
3.2 Italy.....	83
3.3 United Kingdom .....	84
4. Comparative analysis.....	86
Conclusions .....	89
Bibliography.....	93
Appendix I: Workflow of the Monitoring Mechanism of the Council of Europe Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings .....	112
Appendix II: Questionnaire for NGOs .....	113
Appendix III: Questionnaire for La Strada International .....	117
Appendix IV: Questionnaire for GRETA.....	119