

LUXEMBOURG UNIVERSITY

European Master's Degree in Human Rights and Democratisation
Academic Year 2014/2015

**DIGITAL DEMOCRACY: IS
TECHNOLOGY EMPOWERING THOSE
WHO NEED TO BE HEARD?**

Two case studies from Chile and Brazil

Author: María Barreiro

Supervisors: Jean- Paul Lehnert & Raphael Kies

Abstract

Digital democracy has become a popular topic in current political debates. The relation between technology and theories of participatory and deliberative democracy has been analysed by a variety of scholars. The internet can strengthen democracy by: 1) making political information accessible to citizens, 2) creating new spaces for public discussion and social mobilization, and 3) enabling new channels of communication between representatives and those represented.

Early studies of e-democracy practices were characterised by great enthusiasm for the potential of the Internet for solving democratic deficits. Nowadays, scholars are cautiously focused on empirical-driven approaches that aim to research how to adapt theoretical models to socio-political realities. This dissertation wants to highlight the importance of the inclusion of all voices in democracy. In order to do so, two case studies of e-democracy in Latin America (specifically in Chile and Brazil) will be analysed with the objective of identifying current barriers to participation and to find out what voices might be underrepresented online.

The challenges of democracy in the 21st Century remain huge and are not only related to the empowerment of citizens through the web but, to a greater extent, to institutional architectures and political contexts that may hinder citizen participation.

Table of Content

1. INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 Outline	9
1.2 Literature Review: What is Democracy?	11
2. METHODOLOGY	26
2.1 Objectives	26
2.2 Methods: How to measure Inclusion?	28
2.3 Hypothesis	32
2.4 Data	33
2.5 Limitations	34
3. LATIN AMERICAN DEMOCRACIES	35
3.1 Socio-political context	35
3.2 Citizens´ perceptions of democracy	37
3.3 The three barriers for participation	42
3.4 The relation between participation and inclusion	47
4. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS: CHILE	48
4.1 Socio-political context	48
4.2 Virtual Senator	54
4.2.1 Description of the online forum	54
4.2.2 Measuring inclusion (<i>digital divide, analysis of data</i>)	56
4.2.3 Other variables (<i>technology interface, external impact</i>)	68
4.3 Conclusions of the case study	74
5. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS: BRAZIL	75
5.1 Socio-political context	75
5.2 Votenaweb	81
5.2.1 Description of the online forum	81
5.2.2 Measuring inclusion (<i>digital divide, analysis of data</i>)	82
5.2.3 Other variables (<i>t. interface, e. impact, deliberativeness</i>)	89
5.3 Conclusions of the case study	95

6. CONCLUSIONS	96
7. BIBLIOGRAPHY	101

Graphs, Illustrations and Tables

Graph 1 Perception of democracy in Latin American countries

Graph 2 “I live in a democracy with serious problems” in Latin America

Graph 3 Evolution of the level of satisfaction with democracy in Latin America

Graph 4 Support for democracy in Latin America (Churchill)

Graph 5 Support for democracy in Latin America (Morlino and Linz)

Graph 6 Decrease of participation in Chilean elections

Graph 7 “How often do you speak about politics?” in Chile

Graph 8 Confidence in Chileans in institutions

Graph 9 Profile of users of Virtual Senator: Gender

Graph 10 Human Development Index and percentage of participation in Virtual Senator

Graph 11 Profile of users of Virtual Senator: Age

Graph 12 “How often do you speak about politics” in Brazil

Graph 13 Profile of users of Votenaweb: Age

Graph 14 Relation between age and use of social media

Graph 15 Profile of users of Votenaweb: Gender

Graph 16 Profile of users of Votenaweb: State